

# City of Cincinnati Gender Study

Presentation for  
EIYA Committee  
by  
UC Gender Equity Research Team  
College of Arts & Sciences

# Introduction: Study Overview

Two-year (2017-2019) mixed-method study which involves quantitative & qualitative elements.

Research informed by and extending beyond other Cities for CEDAW studies.

# Quantitative Elements

- “Rough cut” analysis of gender and race breakdowns of City of Cincinnati employees per department and in appointments to boards & commissions, including gender wage gap(s), based on HR-provided data
- Determine 4-5 “deep-dive” departments (based on thematic criteria of health, economics, and safety; gender criteria of female-dominated, gender neutral, and male-dominated; and significant enough size)
- Conduct online surveys of employees in identified “deep dive” departments to refine demographic data/variable controls and determine job satisfaction and opportunities for advancement by gender (in combination with race)
- Conduct network analysis

# Qualitative Elements

- “Rough cut” comparisons of department budgets and missions by gender make-up.
- “Deep-dive” departments research: textual analysis of missions, strategic plans, job announcements, etc.; gender-responsive budget analysis; and post-survey interviews of employees to further identify gender (in combination with race) bias in hiring, budgeting, and programming.
- Final focus groups within “deep dive” departments to encourage further self-study and recommended improvements.

# Quantitative Analysis: Step 1

- Evaluate average pay differences between male and female employees *across all departments*
- Accounting for various factors
  - Job title
  - Education
  - Race
  - Full-time vs. part-time

## Summary of Preliminary Findings

- Overall, on average, female employees make 10 cents less per hour than male employees.
- Overall, on average, black employees make 12 cents less per hour than white employees.

## Quantitative Analysis: Step 2

- Establish percentage of male vs. female workers within each department
- Establish average pay differences between male and female workers within each department
- Evaluate average pay differences between male and female employees within each department *accounting for various factors*

# Results

- Demographics
  - 11 of the 21 City Departments are at least 60% men.
  - 6 of the 21 City Departments are at least 60% women.
- Pay
  - Males make more in 16 of 21 City Departments
  - Controlling for other factors, male pay bias is found in 6 out of 21 City Departments
- Lessons
  - Men tend to be overrepresented
  - Men tend to make more on average, but this effect decreases when we adjust for job title, education, etc.
  - This variation leads us to believe that women tend to not be in higher paying positions.

# Department Choices

- Thematic Criteria
  - Health, Economics, and Safety
- Gender Criteria
  - Female-Dominated, Neutral, and Male-Dominated
- Number of Employees
  - >75

# Recommended Departments

| Department                          | Prop. Male | Pay Gap: M-F | F/Pay relationship |
|-------------------------------------|------------|--------------|--------------------|
| PROPOSED "DEEP DIVE"<br>DEPARTMENTS |            |              |                    |
| <u>Health-Related</u>               |            |              |                    |
| Health Department                   | .16        | 9.86         | Male Bias          |
| <u>Economics-Related</u>            |            |              |                    |
| Community & Econ. Dev.              | .60        | 1.42         | Female Bias        |
| City Planning                       | .67        | 5.13         | Neutral            |
| <u>Safety-Related</u>               |            |              |                    |
| Fire                                | .93        | 2.12         | Male Bias          |
| Police                              | .67        | 5.84         | Female Bias        |

# Survey Overview

- Conduct Initial Online Survey (early/mid-April 2018)
- Multiple Rounds
  - 2-3 times
- Demographic Variables
  - Age, race, gender, marriage status, sexuality, immigration status, children, veteran status, education, position, supervisor status, represented/non-represented/code status, etc.
- Network Variables
  - Friendship, leadership, advice
- Job Satisfaction Variables
  - Raises, happiness, perceived advancement opportunities, respect of co-workers, professionalism, etc.
- Perceptions of (Hetero)sexism and Racism in the US

# Goals

- Demographic Models
  - Gender → Job Satisfaction
  - Race → Job Satisfaction
- Network Models
  - Gender → Networks → Job Satisfaction
  - Race → Networks → Job Satisfaction

# Qualitative Analysis

- Limited to: Health, Community & Economic Development, City Planning and Police
- Preliminary Findings on
  - Boards and Commissions
    - Largely white, male, middle to upper class
  - Overall Budget
    - Operating Budget
      - Largely spent on personnel
      - Police & Fire=66%
    - Little mention of gender/race in budget priorities
    - Some data on CincyInsights disaggregated by gender & race (but no intersectional data)