

Q1 We want this to be the best possible project, and that's why we want to hear from you before plans are finalized. Please take a look at the latest Burnet Woods dog park design renderings below and let us know of any feedback, ideas, or thoughts on the design, and how we can make it better.

Answered: 962 Skipped: 0

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	Lipstick on a pig. A bad idea for the environment because it destroys biologically rich green space and replaces it with a combination of sterile and antimicrobial synthetic materials that are an abomination of nature. A bad idea for the community because it creates a permanent nuisance for hundreds of nearby residents, most of whom are renters who have little awareness and effectively no voice in a decision that affects their well being. A bad idea for park users because it disturbs their quiet enjoyment of nature, a rare and diminishing commodity that is a necessity to finding escape from the stress of living in the technological machine of modern society. A terrible idea for the future of the environment and our very existence, because it takes a natural environment to teach people what they need to learn about ecology so they understand that the world needs to be saved from our human-centric view of the existence. And - shame on the Park Board's current leadership for its dogged insistence on ignoring the voice of citizens and park users!	12/15/2023 11:56 PM
2	No! Do not replace healthy green space with concrete & plastic. The park is beautiful as it is. Do not build a dog park.	12/15/2023 11:52 PM
3	Please don't destroy the park. Every thing is under construction. Where does it end? I get people love their pets but the wildlife deserve a place to live too.	12/15/2023 11:48 PM
4	The majority has spoken. No design is necessary because there should be No dog park in Burnet Woods. What a waste of taxpayers money. Goetz is obviously being given bribe money. No consideration for the damage done by artificial turf or the amounts of dog waste flowing into pond. How will our budget strapped park workers now also maintain the constant cleaning it will need? Leave the woods alone! Stop trying to develop Burnet woods at all costs!	12/15/2023 11:43 PM
5	Please do not install this dog park. Leave the edges wild.	12/15/2023 11:42 PM
6	Don't build a dog park (Puppy Prison) across the street from my home. Dog parks should be far from all residences. How smart does someone need to be to know this obvious truth? This proposed space is thought to be unused, but it is now used as a park should be, for peaceful communing with nature, not a "social clubs" of the artificial. The better space for a proper dog park (A Doggie DESTINATION) is on the 18th hole of the frisbee golf course. I know you might think this space is already used, but the 18th hole can be relocated. This hill top site is a destination - - A resort like setting. It is a perfect location accessible to all people walking or driving.	12/15/2023 11:40 PM
7	This is a bad idea. Why would you dig up actual green space, to install artificial turf that is bad for the environment and the dogs? The people have made it clear that they don't want it and you don't care. So why would you pay attention to this. Your greed is disappointing.	12/15/2023 11:31 PM
8	It is not too late to cancel this project or move it to another location, which is what Cincinnati Parks should do. This park violates the standards previously outlined for a dog park and goes against the criteria Clifton Town Meeting adopted years ago. Parks has ignored the Corryville and CUF community councils as well. It has treated the residents of multi-family buildings surrounding Burnet Woods as second-class citizens compared with how it sought and listened to feedback from the residents near Dunore Park. It's time for the department to listen to what Burnet Woods neighbors and stakeholders have been telling it for years: This is not an appropriate location for a dog park.	12/15/2023 11:30 PM
9	I am opposed to the adding the dog park, in that location, because it will change the character	12/15/2023 11:16 PM

New Burnet Woods Dog Park Update - We Want to Hear from You

of the area, and worsen the parking situation. As someone who grew up in Clifton, and who has resided in Clifton 2018-2023, I have a sentimental attachment to Burnet Woods. I am also concerned that the dog park appears to be the pet project of one of the Park Board Commission, who appears to have a private interest in the dog park, enen when it uses money which could be used to catch up with \$70 million of deferred maintenance in the park system.

10	As a native of Cincinnati, I am against any proposed dog park in Burnet Woods. Please, please leave well enough alone.	12/15/2023 9:47 PM
11	It seems so many are rightfully not happy about this plan, for such use in Burnet Woods; and the still toxic astro-turf especially, which will be more toxic waste at some point. What's the story on using the lower part of Mt. Storm Park for a dog park, near where Lafayette comes down the hill? Seems like pretty much already mowed space back in there could be explored; or where Lafayette divides, the sportsfield has already has parking there. Is this private or publically owned? There is currently parking close, too, in Mt. Storm, a few handicapped spaces nearby, might suffice? I do appreciate Anita Buck's well researched feedback: "That entrance to Burnet Woods is the only entrance accessible to people on foot pushing strollers. There are a great many young families living in apartments and condos in the neighborhood with no lawn of their own, and the lawn that is going to be bulldozed, carpeted in plastic, and fenced will then not be accessible to those parents who play with their little ones there. Traditionally that area is where people read, play tag, sunbathe, picnic and in winter it is where small children can safely sled. There is also the idea of introducing PFAS chemicals into the woodland ecosystem, and indeed, to the dogs that will pick up plastic microparticles on their paws and fur and then lick them off. The Parks' claim that the dog park turf will be PFAS free is bonkers. They refuse to acknowledge the growing body of evidence against synthetic turf, which pretty much HAS to contain PFAS because of the materials and process of manufacturing. Instead the Parks are relying on the very carefully worded claims of the manufacturers, whose statements of being PFAS-free specify 12 or so compounds that are not present. The thing is, there are hundreds of these compounds and each one requires a quite specific test. More and more entities are suing turf manufacturers and design consultants over their misrepresentation of turf as PFAS free." Please look also at stromwater runoff there, which sounds like a legitimate concern, too. Please keep in mind there are studies now that show how those holding power-over others can loose empathy, in imperial disconnect, markedly visible in brain scans. We cannot let greed lead, more than ever now, already in mass extinction. Please consider it is better to keep empathy, ear to heart, conscience, soul - not lost, ear to feelings of others, presented as quite legitimate as this process needs be; and how, with global lightspeed mutual peoples media, people are naturally mutualizing, shifting the imbalances of our world too long and gradually moving out of imperialism, including ecological imperialism. Records of such imbalanced are now much more easily kept for the legacy of your lives and contributions. Please be truly public servants, also charged for our ecological wellbeing, our greater body of Life. Sincere Thanks, for seeking best practices and being the most genuine, real you, you can be! George Hardebeck	12/15/2023 9:23 PM
12	Asking for comments on design without first (or every) asking for comments on the basic idea (I'm against the whole idea) is disingenuous. Digging up grass to put in plastic seems like a bad idea to me. If you want actual comments I think you should present information about the costs of the project. If you're spending more on a dog park than you did on a playground for kids you're priorities are very far away from those of everyone I know who uses the park regularly.	12/15/2023 9:00 PM
13	CANCEL this ill-advised, intrusive, and degrading project. This is a bad idea for all but a fraction of dog owners who might use it from time to time. Unfortunately, this blight will be there, regardless, 24/365. If the dog owners really need such a thing, there are several others already in the area that they can use. Otherwise, consider a new one, perhaps at the 'bottom' of Ludlow Avenue (former police station site) or other site away from parks, homes, and the majority of us who don't have or care for any association with other peoples' pets.	12/15/2023 8:38 PM
14	Do not build a dog park in Burnet Woods. The woods are valuable bird habitat and an oasis for people from the sin of the city. Put the dogs elsewhere and respect the quiet of the woods	12/15/2023 8:19 PM
15	Not a good use of money. When all experts, local and national, and dedicated volunteers who do the work that has been neglected for decades, don't want this, but the pushy rich people do... It tells the city you don't give two damns about the community. You care about pleasing the rich people....Why can't you ever lean into supporting the people who do the hard parts?	12/15/2023 8:13 PM
16	Please say NO to the Burnet Woods dog park. Please protect our city's greenspaces and public lands from being plasticized and degraded by environmentally destructive and health	12/15/2023 7:43 PM

New Burnet Woods Dog Park Update - We Want to Hear from You

damaging PFAS "forever chemicals" by encoding protections into city ordinance and holding city officials accountable for their decision-making in use of these toxic materials.

17	Location is unsuitable! Plastic grass is unhealthy for the environment and for people.	12/15/2023 7:23 PM
18	Please put this in a location that needs to be put to better use. Don't ruin a perfectly good natural space. Thanks.	12/15/2023 6:48 PM
19	I asked quite a lot of people for their opinion about this project before submitting my comments. Apparently, the common story is that this is the "pet" project of the chief of Cincinnati Parks. Everybody who disagrees with him gets fired. That is embarrassing. Outside the chief's office, this is a widely hated project. And I have to say, I agree. I think it is silly, too. Why break up Burnet Woods to serve only the interests of one particular group? And why would you put astroturf on what is meant to be a natural or green area? Also, putting a dog park in the middle of a block along Clifton Avenue is a bad idea. The location would only encourage excessive pedestrian and dog traffic along a very busy street. It is going to create a lot of problems. More likely, people won't use it. Think about what it would look like a year or two from now: It would be an unused, abandoned eyesore and a magnet for crime. I think this an unpopular idea because it is ridiculous.	12/15/2023 6:42 PM
20	I am the great grandchild of Irwin M Krohn. He cared about parks and preservation. Please do not develop these parks. Without these parks, we will become a concrete jungle. Thank you. Rabbi Elena Stein	12/15/2023 5:57 PM
21	This is a predetermined outcome that you're looking for. The vast majority of people who use Burnett woods are opposed to any construction of the dog park. What's the weather. The destruction post not only by the construction, but the artificial materials and the dog themselves will ruin. What is a jewel of natural preservation within the city limits. There are many groups and individuals who have spoken up against this, and this has been railroaded through at the behest of special interests. It is time to listen to the people and stop pursuing. What is clearly a damaging and on popular construction project. Log on way that, but there is increasing evidence. The dog parks themselves are not healthy or appropriate for the dogs. Themselves. The only way to make this project better is to cancel it. I use the park with some frequency, I pay taxes, I have a network of like-minded friends and we all vote.	12/15/2023 5:52 PM
22	I oppose the dog park. It is not good to develop the park. Like the CCAC that was rejected, the dog park should not happen. There is not enough parking.	12/15/2023 5:51 PM
23	I strongly oppose a dog park. Let's keep this park free of any human development. This is a rare treasure.	12/15/2023 5:46 PM
24	Terrible idea and waste of taxpayer dollars.	12/15/2023 5:36 PM
25	Here's an idea. Why don't we use the money that is being spent on this dog park and put it towards fixing the myriad of delayed maintenance projects in Burnet Woods? Cincinnati Parks has 73 million in deferred maintenance with at least \$4 million needed to complete important projects in in the Burnet. Why is the parks board spending tax payer dollars on this vanity project when they do not have the funds to fix existing infrastructure? Take a stroll through the park. There is crumbling asphalt, buildings requiring repairs as well as piles of debris along the walking paths that have been there for years. Word it any way you like but this is not a responsible use of public funds. Finally, in a couple years when the dog park requires maintenance and repairs, the people who brought us this scheme will be gone and the crowd will have moved on to the next shiny thing. Who's going to pay for it? I guess it will be deferred...	12/15/2023 5:34 PM
26	Looks great Thanks.	12/15/2023 5:30 PM
27	The main thing wrong with this dog park is the proposed location. I am utterly dismayed that the park board continues this relentless march towards destroying the natural biome of a 150+ year old natural meadow. This green oasis in the middle of the UC/Corryville concrete jungle, is being threatened by the very park board mandated to protect our parks and green spaces. How does this fit with the Park's master plan? Dozens of people have spoken at your meetings about the reasons this is a bad location; yet, you deflect all of the science and environmental concerns. It is concerning that there is no one on the park board who is a scientist or environmentalist. It appears you are all developers. You are catering to a small, elite group and disregarding the thousands of people who have valid arguments against this. You have written opposition from the Audubon Society of Ohio, The Hillside Trust, The Sierra Club, and others. There is a petition against the dog park in Burnet Woods by Friends and Neighbors of Burnet	12/15/2023 5:21 PM

New Burnet Woods Dog Park Update – We Want to Hear from You

Woods with over 1400 signatures. There are nearly 1600 signatures on a different petition asking City Council to ban installation of synthetic turf in our parks. In the specialized survey for the proposed original Dunore site for the dog park, 43% or 366 responders were not in agreement with that site. There are THOUSANDS of people against the Burnet Woods site and our pleas fall on deaf ears. The people responding now never had the chance to give community engagement BEFORE the site was chosen and went to design. Today, I went to the site and measured the distance to residences from the proposed perimeter of the dog park. It is only 185 feet away from the apartment building across the street. There are other residences in near proximity to the dog park on that side of the street. It is even closer than the condos on the Burnet side of Jefferson, which is still problematic at 290 feet. You are taking the back yard of people who do not have back yards. It would be interesting to know how large the backyards of your handful of donors and supporters are. You are being disingenuous at best by stating the K9 turf is PFAS free. You know there are thousands of toxic PFAS chemicals and your supplier has tested for only a handful. We demand this turf be tested by an independent laboratory capable of testing for total fluorines. Greater Cincinnati Water Works is on high alert regarding PFAS in the drinking water, and you blithely rush towards exacerbating the issue. Are you aware that other cities are banning plastic turf? Have you consulted the green Cincinnati plan to see if this installation fits that? It would be interesting to know how the supplier of this turf is related to park board members or their friends. Are you aware UC students began a petition in 2019 to President Biden to ban PFAS at a National level? FIVE YEARS later than the 2014 DAAP homework assignment done by a handful of students you use to claim UC Students want the dog park. By the way, there is a student organization at UC that centers around Burnet Woods and they have come out against the dog park. It would be interesting to contact those who turned in the 2014 homework assignment and see what they think about this current idea now. Tree roots extend beyond the canopy. New studies show the surface roots of trees extend up to three times the height of the trees. The trees at the perimeter of your excavation and terrain shaping will be damaged and will likely die over time, exactly what happened at Hyde Park Square. Apparently your in-house experts are lacking. It is important to consult an actual, experienced arborist to assess the impact on the existing trees. My colleagues and I have tested the surface temperature of the existing dog parks and other turf sites and found temperatures at 160 degrees on a 90 degree day, with temperatures up to 140 degrees on mild, 80 degree days. Here we are in the throes of discussion regarding global warming and climate change, with full understanding that natural green space mitigates the effects, while you unflinchingly attempt to ram this selfish project through. It would be an act of transparency to let us know how much of the \$150,000 in donations has been collected and what additional costs you expect in building this. Already, the ask for allocated funds was increased from \$150,000 to \$300,000. It would better serve the community to use this nearly half a million dollars to repair and upgrade existing amenities. Currently, the Trailside Nature Center is not ADA accessible, nor is the Bandstand assessable to disabled musicians/performers. You have had 33 years to reach ADA compliance, and yet, have not done so. Since I became aware of this issue, I have visited the Westwood dog park as this proposed site has been likened to that one. In my multiple visits, at various times of the day, there have not been more than two dogs there and most of the time it is vacant. What is there EVERY SINGLE TIME is piles of poop and smears of poop on the green carpet where responsible dog owners have tried to pick it up. To think you are insisting on installing a similar “amenity” in Pleasant Valley in Burnet Woods, destroying an undisturbed meadow of at least 150 years is incomprehensible. You will destroy important habitat and feeding sources for uncountable species of wildlife. Do you know Burnet Woods is an Audubon Important Birding area and people come for miles to birdwatch? Barred owls live in Burnet Woods and use Pleasant Valley as their hunting ground. What is the budget for maintenance and repair of the dog park? How will you keep it up when the rest of Burnet Woods is in decline? Just today, a woman sat at the picnic table in Pleasant Valley and the end of the bench broke off from rot, dumping that 61 year old woman on the ground. Who will pick up the dog poop left behind by careless owners? Who will clean the poop smeared into the plastic carpet by conscientious owners picking up soft dog poop? Where do the chemicals used to clean the turf go? Where will the contaminated water runoff go when the plastic turf is hosed? There are so, so, so many reasons why the dog park in Burnet Woods is a terrible idea. Please put the brakes on this, and do some real community engagement to assess other more suitable sites.

28	Looks good	12/15/2023 5:11 PM
29	No synthetic turf or no dog park at all. Synthetic turf is terrible for dogs and for the environment.	12/15/2023 5:01 PM
30	Despite the significant financial investment to develop this design, many local residents	12/15/2023 4:48 PM

New Burnet Woods Dog Park Update - We Want to Hear from You

remain unhappy with this use of resources. Does the parks department intend to allocate funds in the future to maintain this design or facility? How soon will residents and city citizens be informed of the amount of funding and the donors? The responses to these questions should be published and publicized before a final vote. The public should know these details for all redevelopment of public spaces.

31	<p>The design improvements represent a strong good-faith effort to "make it right" but it's challenging to overcome the ecological impact of loud, loose predators on native birds and mammals (dogs in a dog park DO behave differently from leashed dogs on a walk) and impact of polymer (plastic) leachables and particulates. I think we're all realizing our collective impact on the world and trying to be more active and mindful in the way we approach improvements and assess and prevent potential harm. This dog park is well intentioned but in a bad location. There's so little habitat for some of these birds in our city and it's very scattered. It is really difficult to wrap my head around "WHY HERE" when Parks owns countless greenspaces that wouldn't have the potential to harm an Important Bird Area. Putting plastic/polymer/elastomer (plastic rubber) particulates on the ground poses potential harm. Due to the GRAS-based approach the US has had on new materials, there is often a years to decades delay from the time the product is first sold to when scientists and government bodies recognize it's harm. Plastics/elastomers degrade from heat, UV radiation, abrasion, and oxidation. It is nearly impossible to understand the breadth of countless possible degradants (gaseous, aerosolized, or otherwise) and the potential health, safety, or ecological impact of each. Pharmaceutical companies spend hundreds of thousands of dollars and years to make sure that the glass vials and elastomer (plastic rubber) stoppers used to hold their medications don't produce degradants over time that could impact human health. The same level of rigor is not applied elsewhere. The rubber crumb companies don't know their materials unless that is their design intent (I'm not sure they'd pick a polymer product if it was!). These companies come and go without repercussion or fallout. They don't live with the impact. We do. I cannot control the cars on the road, tire/brake dust, or fast fashion loved by the masses. We need plastics for medical products and I can't stop restaurants from using takeout containers. But I can respond to this survey and beg you: Please do not add millions of mystery plastic particles and all of their future degradants into our natural spaces. Please do not do this to a space that belongs to all of us. Please act with the best of our intentions with the knowledge we have today. Please find a better greenspace location that *will not* harm an Important Bird Area. At a high level: No turf, move elsewhere Thank you and I appreciate your willingness to try to make this right. We're all trying to do the right thing. Please have a lovely weekend and holiday.</p>	12/15/2023 4:20 PM
32	<p>Don't destroy nature with plastic.</p>	12/15/2023 4:09 PM
33	<p>Please do not do this. Dog parks are a terrible idea anyway. Why ruin this sweet little gem of a birding/ wildlife paradise when it is completely unnecessary. I was born in CLIFTON, when to U.C., worked at the zoo and spent many hours in that place. It simple is too important of a habitat for wildlife to turn it over to dogs. So it is a 100% no from me! Thanks!</p>	12/15/2023 2:56 PM
34	<p>This is a second entry from the same device in the same household.</p>	12/15/2023 2:48 PM
35	<p>Thanks for all the excellent work that has gone into the planning and design. As a Clifton resident and dog own, I'm extremely excited about the park; currently, we have to drive 20-30 mins to get to a dog park. This will also increase community by bringing people together in the park - strengthening community connection. Re improvements, making it slightly bigger would be great - though I realize there's likely opposition to that so just happy that the neighborhood will be getting a dog park. Even the current proposed size will be a huge improvement.</p>	12/15/2023 2:42 PM
36	<p>I am opposed to the idea of converting a small portion of the Woods to a dog park, which will entail extra maintenance and more taxpayer money to be spent on this project. I do not own a pet.</p>	12/15/2023 2:33 PM
37	<p>no, please do not build the dog park</p>	12/15/2023 2:05 PM
38	<p>This entry is proof that this feedback mechanism aka survey permitted one person to respond multiple times, skewing the ability to meaningfully quantifying results.</p>	12/15/2023 1:34 PM
39	<p>This is a rare forest in the Cincinnati Parks system. This area should not accomodate any new development. They should not be catered to private interests. In addition to the above, I am concerned about the PFAS issue.</p>	12/15/2023 1:13 PM
40	<p>I would recommend moving one of the two benches near the entrance down to the E area. There seems to be a corner there with little sit down options for supervision which may lead to</p>	12/15/2023 1:08 PM

New Burnet Woods Dog Park Update - We Want to Hear from You

issues among the dogs in that area.

41	I'm opposed to the dog park because no matter how contained the dogs are physically, the noise will be disruptive to the wildlife in the park. Burnet is such a jewel of open spaces and biodiversity it would be a shame to introduce an antagonizing element.	12/15/2023 12:58 PM
42	NO to clearing existing ecosystem to replace with harmful plastic. I moved here because of Burnet and this plan ruins it, actively destroying habitat for wildlife. LISTEN to the community! 99% of opinions say this does NOT belong here.	12/15/2023 12:42 PM
43	This design should be applied to an existing surface parking lot, such as those at Cincinnati State University, to transform a gray space into something better. Teardown of a high value greenspace is an affront to the mission of Cincinnati Parks and indicative of the mission corruption that an unqualified, politicized, Park Board has wrought through abuse of their power positions. Cincinnati Parks has denied appropriate community engagement for this project in favor to their elite and privileged social networks. It's disgusting and the fallout of this project on the community will follow that thread of mistrust for Cincinnati Parks and disgust with the elite pushing this project.	12/15/2023 12:27 PM
44	I appreciate the passion of the people who have taken on this project, but I am opposed to a dog park of any kind in Burnet Woods. I'm a dog owner who regularly walks in the park, and I always enter at the proposed location. I am opposed for several reasons : 1) I enjoy the silence of the natural surroundings. 2) Many dog-owners who use Burnet Woods currently have their dogs off-leash and out-of-control. This behavior gets magnified in a dog park when owners are not appropriately supervising their dogs. 3) Dog parks are loud and dirty. 4) A dog park is never environmentally friendly, even with the no-PFA plans. 5) The proposed park disturbs the beauty of Burnet Woods. 6) There are several dog parks nearby. One could drive to OTR from Clifton in less than 10 minutes. 7) I recently witnessed a horrible attack at the OTR dog park. A careless owner brought an aggressive dog, which attacked two smaller dogs. One of the dogs was bleeding profusely and likely didn't survive. The incident was awful, and of course this behavior happens regularly in dog parks. 8) This dog park has been a very divisive issue in our community, and it hasn't even been built. People who are against the park will not "come around" once it's built. They'll just have a monument to their anger. 9) The dog park is monumentally expensive and the funds could be used to preserve Burnet Woods instead. 10) We can't keep chipping away at our precious natural resources by claiming bits of our Cincinnati Parks for development ideas. 11) I personally will be less likely to use Burnet Woods if I have to walk my dog by the dog park to enter. 12) I will never use the dog park, because of the behavior reasons I stated above. Even in your renderings below, the cartoon people aren't even watching their dogs!	12/15/2023 12:16 PM
45	I don't think there should be use of any synthetic turf grass. We already know that stuff that isn't natural harms everyone. We shouldn't be bringing those harmful materials into any of the little amount of true green spaces we have left. Parks should truly be parks. Putting down turf made out of harmful plastics is a shame. It's already bad enough that grass dominates our parks instead of native ground floor coverage but for someone to put down artificial turf just crosses the line. Please let the grass be grass and don't use synthetic materials. Please use sustainable materials that won't have any bad side effects and will actually be helpful for our environment. We want to send positive messages to our future generations. What would they think if one of our parks put down this synthetic material to replace something natural? They know that we know the current science and that is that green space is already dwindling. They will think it's a shame we ruined a natural green space. And it's a failure of the board to put down synthetics in park space. That is all. I hope this message will actually be listened to because it's very important to not be part of adding onto the many problems we already have. Thank you.	12/15/2023 12:00 PM
46	Burnet Woods has had to survive development attempts every couple years! This is a treasure inside the city of undeveloped land that should remain as forest and greenspace. If this is done, why not all the other developments that have been proposed that would slowly eat away at the integrity of the whole? Better left alone.	12/15/2023 11:34 AM
47	So dissatisfied in the park board and city council for trying to build a dog park in existing green space. Burnet woods is a city green space refuge for birds and other wild animals. The current plans would have ecologically negative impacts on Burnet Woods. No to the synthetic turf which would create more micro plastic pollution. Stop trying to build a dog park there and instead use an already developed vacant lot somewhere.	12/15/2023 11:22 AM
48	This looks great! I'm a resident of the Parkside, just a short way away from this site, and I	12/15/2023 10:52 AM

New Burnet Woods Dog Park Update - We Want to Hear from You

could not be more excited to have somewhere to take my dog to socialize. Keep up the good work!

49	<p>One of the primary purposes of parks is to provide a place to get away from the bustle of everyday life and find some peace and calmness provided by a quieter environment and the healing nature of grass, trees, and plants. Having lived in Clifton for more than 50 years and having businesses on Ludlow Avenue for 35 years, I have walked up to Burnet Woods thousands of times to escape the bustle of everyday life and find some calmness and a renewed perspective. Studies have shown that walking in nature is wholesome and healing, but this dog park will disrupt the natural flow. When entering the park, I will need to contend with face chain-linked fences, many more cars, and much more noise and disturbances. Furthermore, this section of Burnet Woods is one of the most beautiful places in Clifton. Despite all the designs and plans to make it lovely and environmentally friendly, it will be like putting a bumper sticker on the Mona Lisa. Ed Kluska 545 Ludlow Ave. Cincinnati, OH 45220</p>	12/15/2023 10:52 AM
50	<p>These renderings look great! I'm excited for this part of the park to be activated in a new way! Thanks for your careful and deliberate community engagement work on this project.</p>	12/15/2023 9:25 AM
51	<p>It looks awesome. Honestly. Can't wait. From who I talk to, the Clifton community is dying for this project, notwithstanding the incredibly vocal minority, that may lead someone to conclude otherwise. Big picture: dog parks are community-builders. Put three random people on benches in a park and they won't speak to one another - put them in a *dog* park, with their pets, and they will become 2- and 4-legged friends. It's one of the best things we can do for our community and this rendering is great. Well done!</p>	12/15/2023 9:07 AM
52	<p>Thank you for asking the public to give more input. I know your dog park plans look really pretty in design renderings and in the imagination. I agree they are very pretty drawings. I still OPPOSE the dog park, as the real treasure of Burnet Woods is the fact that it is a migratory bird magnet in the middle of an urban area. Its strength is in this tiny bit of wildness, and in the mature trees that are there. I believe the dog park will stress the trees just where they need the soils and roots to be protected. One reason fragmentation is so bad for natural areas is that it provides lots more edge space for damage to be done - and the ill-conceived dog park is one example. I think it would be really pretty in design renderings AND in reality if you were to create there an area of native perennials, so that there would be no lawn at all, and no lawn mowing, which compacts the soil and contributes to tree decline. This park because of its relative good health as a habitat and its service for bird migration, really deserves to be considered a city treasure and protected in all possible ways. Nature can't serve all functions, and if you turn a portion of it into a dog park for the convenience of local residents that can only undermine the health of its natural systems. Over the years, I've seen that the city keeps wanting to build in the park, whether it be a restaurant or a dog park. Why not let it be a natural space ? That will be of so much greater value in future decades. And there are plenty of other spaces that can serve for human hardscape. Thanks for listening.</p>	12/15/2023 9:02 AM
53	<p>I object. Burnet Woods has unique ecological properties that will be compromised by a dog park. The tranquility and beauty of Burnet Woods will be diluted. Please don't continue with this misguided plan!</p>	12/15/2023 8:09 AM
54	<p>This looks amazing! As a Clifton resident, the underutilization of Burnet Woods has been a chronic issue. I'm so pleased to see these beautiful thoughtful renderings and happy that many people and their pups will be able to use a small portion of the park. We need more activated spaces in Burnet Woods!</p>	12/15/2023 8:08 AM
55	<p>Wow, it's so great to see this come to life! Thank you! I'm impressed about the tree and other ecological protections, the drainage plan and accessibility. It is smaller than I anticipated, but I hope it will still be a socialization hub for our 65-pound dog.</p>	12/15/2023 7:13 AM
56	<p>My house borders Burnet Woods on the East side of the Park, and I do not believe the oldest smartest best equipped and funded design team in the world could improve enough on the design of the allegedly impending Dog Park to make it acceptable to me, or to put it more economically, to make the Dog Park a better idea than simply leaving Burnet Woods alone, to be what it is already - - a sylvan, natural retreat. It is already all right, just the way it is. Bring dogs in, walk them, let them enjoy the park, just like people currently do. Not every square foot needs to be "designed" or "improved". The Park needs to be defended, far, far more than it needs to be "improved". Nature is doing OK by herself, with no need for AstroTurf. Original Turf works pretty well, and has for thousands of years. No plastic grass, please!</p>	12/14/2023 11:31 PM
57	<p>Why are we spending money on this from the city has a \$400 million backlog in existing</p>	12/14/2023 11:27 PM

New Burnet Woods Dog Park Update - We Want to Hear from You

infrastructure? This seems like a bad use of funds. The you benches are nice though.

58	The Burnet Woods dog park has been a terrible idea from the start, environmentally suspect and invasive to the wild creatures that already live in this valuable woodland. No amount of design updates will change my mind.	12/14/2023 10:39 PM
59	We do not want a dog park in Burnett woods. The ecological properties are too unique to accommodate any dog park. It's a mediphydic environment.	12/14/2023 10:06 PM
60	I'm opposed to the dog park. There are better places to put a dog park and burnet woods has to many special ecological features to remove the old trees that are growing there.	12/14/2023 9:43 PM
61	The ecology of Burnet Woods is too precious and rare for a dog park. Public land should not be used for private purposes.	12/14/2023 9:37 PM
62	Love this idea for this area!!!	12/14/2023 8:31 PM
63	I don't like dog parks, I think dogs can be walked on leashes in the park as it is. I think turf is unattractive because it is artificial. I also don't like the thought that this caters to those who pass horror stories about Burnet Woods. This will allow dog owners to avoid the park entirely and just set up in the dog park. It is also to me a sign of gentrification. It's all around not attractive to me to have a dog park in the neighborhood. I'd rather the parks focus on keeping the trails maintained, the playgrounds repaired, and host events for the public in the parks.	12/14/2023 7:37 PM
64	As a long time resident of Clifton and Cincinnati I do not want to see a dog park in Burnet Woods. . I want to keep our parks free of artificial turf and free from on encroachment our natural green space.	12/14/2023 7:23 PM
65	Even though the artificial turf is touted as PFAS free, it is still plastic which will degrade over time and put more micro plastics into our waterways. People already consume the equivalent of one credit card per week so why keep adding more to the environment? Also this artificial turf will destroy anything that lives in the soil which contributes to a healthy natural environment. I used to look to Cincinnati Parks as an organization that tried to promote healthy land management but not anymore. I also think the space is not suitable in size and will quickly get too crowded. A different location which is larger and wouldn't require artificial turf would be better. The northwest corner of Burnet Woods seems much more suitable. I don't why the people in favor of a dog park are against this. It seems like they wanted this one location and it was all or nothing. A very silly sstubborn stance since they could get a bigger space that isn't used by anyone now.	12/14/2023 5:25 PM
66	Looks amazing can't wait for a dog park here!!	12/14/2023 5:11 PM
67	As someone who lives in Clifton and is very connected to the community, in my opinion the opposition is not necessarily to the Dog Park itsself. The opposition and animosity the community has to the Dog Park is actually pent up frusturation to the way Parks is run and resources are allocated. Foe decades, Clifton community members have been asking Parks and the Park Board for very simple things that any well-run organization could easily accomplish: 1. Equitable division of financial resources to Cincinnati Parks by acreage (not by status of neighborhood or status of Donor) 2. All defered maintenance at Cincinnati Parks be completed before new projects are undertaken 3. Revamping of Park Board to elect officials rather than appoint and shorten terms 4. Rewrite the MOU between Parks and the Foundation ensure donated dollars are used for their intended purpose in a timely manner, directed to a deferred maintenance budget or not accepted if there intended purpose is not in keeping with the mission of Parks 5. Drastically increase free programming within each park with a focus on activities children, teens and families 6. Pledge to end the demolition of historic structures within Parks for new projects (snack bar by Burnet Woods Lake for canoe project) Until these things are accomplished, I can assure you that the group against the Dog Park will continue to thwart projects within each park as they believe (seemingly rightly so): -the Park Board is working with their own personal agendas not for the good of the parks, the wildlife or the neighbors each parks serves -Parks executives do not have a equitable financial structure for maintaining Cincinnati Parks or control of their organization (it is believed that Jim Goetz is solely responsible to the ousting of the last two directors and refusing to let law enforcement prosecute illicit activites within Cincinnati Parks) -there no plan for Cincinnati Parks to increase funding/propose a tax levy to complete all the deferred maintenance -the belief is that the Foundation spends the vast majority of the year working on the Hats Off Luncheon to raise money to cover their operating expenses rather that raising, managing and implementing donor gifts to Parks. Currently, all of the programming in Burnet Woods (except for summer camps)	12/14/2023 5:11 PM

New Burnet Woods Dog Park Update - We Want to Hear from You

is volunteer led. -They are the voice to the voiceless: flora, fauna, animals, birds and people who may not have access to their own personal greenspace and rely on Parks for relaxation & recreation Finally, the chosen location for the Dog Park is deemed a "slap in the face" to Clifton residents. In a 90 acre park, the area that was chosen is the only area in the entire park that abuts housing. The entire side of the park next to UC is available yet that site was not chosen. I am candidly sharing all of this information as I love the outdoors, I believe in Parks and the good they can do for the community, but Cincinnati Parks has an uncanny ability to create disharmony in communities and rely much, much, much too heavily on volunteer resources to maintain their Parks which gives those volunteers (an honestly earned) yet outsized voice on what happens within each Park.

68	I think that this idea will be a great addition to burnet woods and Clifton because as of now, that space is not being put to use. I think that this will also allow for a fu nate space for dogs to play. This will be a very positive addition to a space that has so much potential but isn't being used as of now.	12/14/2023 4:56 PM
69	This will be a great addition to the park! Bravo.	12/14/2023 4:41 PM
70	Glad to see dedicated space for dogs in Burnet Woods. Still not clear in any of the descriptions why any Astro-turf, even PFAS-free, is needed there...grass seems best ecologically , doesn't it?	12/14/2023 4:26 PM
71	I believe Burnett woods has been cut up and diminished too much over the years--UC and MLK drive. No need for a dog park in Burnet Woods. Use the park down Ludlow or the naturalized area in Mt. Storm. Don't take more of Burnet Woods. A long time resident of Clifton. Susan Namei	12/14/2023 4:23 PM
72	A dog park is not appropriate for Burnet Woods. There are plenty of opportunities for people to walk their dogs in the park and many do. People need to walk also for health. This concept of a dog park is not needed. A pop up dog day once in awhile should satisfy those who want to gather. The designs presented will sit vacant in the hot summer and cold winter. Please dont waste our resources on this idea.	12/14/2023 4:21 PM
73	Looks great, keep up the good work, no matter what old grouchy people say	12/14/2023 3:31 PM
74	I oppose the development of a dog park within Burnet Woods. My family has come from Appalachia years ago and we maintain an appreciation for the importance of nature. And there is still ample space to welcome the inclusion of dogs and walking as it is currently, without taking away more space from nature. I do appreciate dogs and the availability of a space for them to interact as well and support finding another urban area within range for this activity (outside of Burnet Woods).	12/14/2023 3:23 PM
75	I think this dog park is a very bad idea. The construction and maintenance would have very damaging effects on the wildlife in the park. Not only would the turf be harmful but the runoff from the waste and other possible ecosystem interruptions. Burnet Woods is one of the only old growth parks in Ohio and as such should be protected at all cost. This dog park would set a bad precedent and attract people who would care much less about the health of the flora and fauna in the park. One can already walk dogs through the park as is and this seems pointless and costly. Why not spend that money on park upkeep and other land management measures to keep the forest as healthy as possible instead of inserting an artificial blob in the middle of it. I am 100% against the construction of this dog park	12/14/2023 3:13 PM
76	I love everything about the design except the artificial turf. I won't get into the PFAS or not issue (is any turf PFAs free? research is showing no. Maybe check with the EPA that is just down the street what they think about this idea) or that artificial turfs can breed bacterial issues. But mainly, my tiny dog does not enjoy the dog parks with artificial turfs. Her paws are very little and the artificial turfs hurt her paws. She can't run on them at all. As for me, I think artificial turfs look cheap; especially after a couple of years. They start to bunch up and slide around. I know that the design is leaning towards the k9 grass because they think the maintenance is cheaper. But when I looked online, the k9 grass only has a ten year warranty. I think if the park could figure it out and just do regular grass, it would make the community a little bit happy about the whole idea of a dog park in Burnet Woods.	12/14/2023 12:46 PM
77	This is not a good use of money. People can walk their dogs around the park already. The \$300,000.00 could be use to make shelters more accessible - the only one that is accessible by people with handicaps is in poor condition, the nature center does not have accessible classrooms or bathrooms and the lake walk is not accessible.	12/14/2023 12:14 PM

New Burnet Woods Dog Park Update - We Want to Hear from You

78	Looks incredible!! as a UC student, I look forward to seeing this put into action!!!	12/14/2023 11:59 AM
79	This is a great placement for a dog park, feels like a refresh to a long neglected space! Me and my pups tails are wagging :)	12/14/2023 11:21 AM
80	I am opposed to the dog park.	12/14/2023 10:33 AM
81	I do not want the dog park in burnet woods. Putting a synthetic turf structure in burnet woods is going to damage the existing ecosystem and cause serious runoff problems. Many dogs and people are also attacked and killed at dog parks and I do not want that to happen in Clifton. Please please do not move forward with the plan. As a Clifton resident I know many neighbors who are opposed to the dog park for ecological reasons as well as concerns over noise in the area. The dog park is a good idea in another place that isn't already an incredible natural habitat for animals	12/14/2023 10:27 AM
82	It is a bad idea to put a plastic dog park in Burnet Woods and cover a portion of this wooded park in plastic -- this is the wrong direction and in direct conflict with the Green Cincinnati Plan and what this city needs as we face catastrophic climate change in the decades ahead.	12/14/2023 10:14 AM
83	Don't build a dog park in Burnet Woods.	12/14/2023 10:11 AM
84	I think it's so pleasing to the eye. Many local families without yards will love to bring pups there! We will too.	12/14/2023 10:09 AM
85	Please DO NOT build this park. I live in the neighborhood and I greatly prefer this to be an oasis in the city. If I want a concrete city park I'll go to Washington park.	12/14/2023 10:08 AM
86	Can't wait! Good job Cincy Parks!	12/14/2023 10:02 AM
87	I am opposed to the Burnet Woods dog park. Burnet Woods should remain as naturally pristine as possible. Let's not turn Burnet Woods into an overly developed suburbs-style 'park'. And let's listen to those of us who live near the park, not outsiders who have 'ideas'. Jack Brennan, 252 Loraine Ave, 45220	12/14/2023 10:02 AM
88	The design looks great. I do not have any suggestions for improvements. I am looking forward to this dog park.	12/14/2023 9:19 AM
89	design looks very nice - we hope the project can be successfully completed soon.	12/14/2023 9:11 AM
90	The park looks amazing! Love it And so do my dogos	12/14/2023 9:05 AM
91	Epidemiological studies have revealed associations between exposure to specific PFAS and a variety of health effects, including altered immune and thyroid function, liver disease, lipid and insulin dysregulation, kidney disease, adverse reproductive. So why would you risk the health of our pets? They can walk the park on leash and the owner walks, too. Healthy for all.	12/14/2023 9:00 AM
92	Long overdue! I only wish it were bigger! Beautifully planned.	12/14/2023 8:43 AM
93	This is a beautiful dog park and it will be great for Clifton. There are so many dogs in our community. Thank you Park Board for everything you have done to make this happen!	12/14/2023 8:35 AM
94	Oh my, finally!!! The design looks amazing and I'm so excited to have this dog park added to our clifton community! I look forward to meeting new friends and neighbors. Thank you Cincinnati parks!!	12/14/2023 8:21 AM
95	Love love love	12/14/2023 8:11 AM
96	Cool design!!	12/14/2023 8:09 AM
97	Dog parks are dangerous for dogs, as professional dog trainers know. Leave it for the birds.	12/14/2023 7:44 AM
98	This would be better in an area that isn't so important to migratory birds and the diverse wildlife living in those woods	12/14/2023 7:02 AM
99	The Cincinnati Park Board's job is to listen to the community, who despite your denial and blatant ignoring are inherently against the dog park. You have continuously ignored public opinion and opted for the option that benefits the wealthy donors. This decision will be a blunder that will follow you for the rest of your career. Also the rendering is bland and does nothing special; if you're going to destroy the ecosystem of a city park, at least make it pretty. <3 XO XO	12/14/2023 1:02 AM

New Burnet Woods Dog Park Update – We Want to Hear from You

100	I think a dog park is unnecessary. As I understand it dogs are already allowed anywhere in the park as long as they are on a leash. I believe Burnett Woods should be left undisturbed and in its current condition.	12/14/2023 12:52 AM
101	I oppose the proposed dog park in Burnet Woods. There should be no development in Burnet Woods because of the unique ecological properties of this forest which make it a mixed-mesophytic forest.	12/13/2023 10:17 PM
102	This dog park should be stopped. It's a terrible, misguided idea. Burnet Woods does not need it. Keep the wonderful natural green space as is. It's a treasure. Dogs can use the park like the rest of us.	12/13/2023 10:07 PM
103	I don't want a dog park in burnet woods. The park is to small and fragile. this is a forested area and should remain forested.	12/13/2023 10:04 PM
104	It's hard to imagine a worse location for this dog park than this one. Not only is this in a park that the community has said time and time again should NOT be developed, it is too close to too many homes. Surrounding the 4-foot fence with grasses isn't going to do anything to mitigate noise, odors or hide the tacky plastic grass from neighbors who look down the hill into the park. This "survey" is essentially saying "hey, we've put mustard on this sh*t sandwich we're force feeding you, isn't it going to be delicious now?" You've never asked "does Cincinnati want/need another dog park" or "what neighborhoods want/need a dog park" or "how should we prioritize those wants/needs for a dog park across the city" or "is Burnet Woods where people want a dog park." You have no legitimate data indicating demand. You have no money for maintenance. You've already doubled the amount Parks planned to spend on this by reducing donors' burden. In the 3 years of pursuing this location, Parks has failed utterly to facilitate any productive community conversation, leading Clifton to fracture as a community in the worst ways. In raising concerns to my community council, I've been told by the community council president (a former long-time Parks employee) simply to "move" only a year later for the same person to try kicking us out of the neighborhood by changing Clifton's boundaries in retaliation for neighbors' opposition. Far from bringing the community together, this project, its supporters and Parks have intentionally excluded people from the decision-making process and have routinely sent the message that Parks are only for those with a cozy relationship with one of the Clifton-based Parks commissioners who lives two blocks — just far enough away not to be bothered - from the proposed site. The whole thing stinks. STOP the dog park in Burnet Woods.	12/13/2023 9:38 PM
105	I think putting plastic covering (astroturf) over natural surface is a horrible idea.	12/13/2023 9:23 PM
106	Hello. Several points : Over the past 3 years, I have taken many walks with my dog Roxie in Burnet Woods near the proposed site. Here are my thoughts: 1. Since this project would cost \$450k I believe that the money would be better spent on repairing existing infrastructure (such as repairing the steps from the lower shelter to the bandstand; they are crumbling and are a hazard). Why add more infrastructure when the Park Board cannot maintain the current? 2. Start a tree replacement /planting program in the woods. Many older trees have died and need to be replaced. This is how Mt Airy forest became reforested in the early 1900 from being totally bare. 3. How has the need for the dog park been established? 4. If a dog park is inevitable, why use artificial turf instead of real turf? We do not need the use of more plastics in Burnet Woods. Thank you.	12/13/2023 9:12 PM
107	I am disappointed that turf is being used. Burnet woods is lovely, and i do not see why the dog park cannot use grass like the rest of the park. It would save a lot of effort in installing the turf, and it would keep the plastic from the turf from spreading throughout the environment. Additionally, turf heats up more than grass does which could cause injuries to the pads of paws during the summer.	12/13/2023 8:52 PM
108	Put it in a non-wooded, previously developed area.	12/13/2023 8:37 PM
109	Please do not put a dog park in Burnet Woods. Expand existing dog parks, consider a pocket park area elsewhere in Clifton, or just defer to existing options for dog walking and play. Dog parks are unsafe (e.g. the spread of disease, dog bites, etc.), and most importantly, this is in a significant natural area home to migratory birds.	12/13/2023 7:57 PM
110	I spent most of my adult life in Cincinnati with many, many trips to Burnet Woods. For myself and my children it was our "backyard" having been renters in Over-the-Rhine and Northside. After retirement, I moved to the West Coast, but still return to Cincinnati to visit family and friends. I hope you will regard my remarks as from someone who loves the Burnet Woods Park	12/13/2023 7:31 PM

New Burnet Woods Dog Park Update – We Want to Hear from You

Area. I think this is a terrible idea and a waste of money which I'm sure could be well spent elsewhere. Dog parks are nothing new to the West Coast. They are used by residents when their dogs become too rambunctious to walk on leash. They are not a place to take your dog when you want to play fetch. They aren't a place to hang out with friends while your dogs play with your friends' dogs. They are a place where your dog can run off energy while engaging in pack behavior. That pack behavior demands the close attention of owners while the dogs exert their Alpha priorities, often fighting, always marking territory, and rolling in other dogs feces because to them it smells heavenly. Not so much for the owners who have to go home with a dog that stinks and with their own shoes that may have crossed into the off-leash area to pick up poop and break up fights. Most owners stand by the gate and hope their dog returns to them when called. No one would dream of taking small children or small dogs into the enclosure, never mind want to sit on a bench to eat your lunch or read. You could not go there to study. This idea is seriously flawed. The artificial turf will be expensive to keep up, even more so than a natural lawn after a number of dogs have run and peed, and dug up the grass. The idea that you'll have flower beds is a fantasy that won't survive the repeat planting and upkeep necessary. The Survey says you'll be using this almost 9,000 sq ft of parkland that is currently inaccessible and convert it to a space for all. I have unstable footing due to age. I have friends who are mobile only with a walker or a wheel chair. Going into this dog park would be the worst advice for us. As terrible as it sounds, dogs when in a pack mentality have attacked and killed small children, as happened here in Portland to a six year old two weeks ago, despite the owner trying to stop them. I hope you are indemnified to protect yourselves from law suits that are bound to happen if you encourage all residents to use this park. How outrageous to spend this amount of money and by law needing a sign saying "Welcome to This Cincinnati Park – Enter at Your Own Risk" would look. If I were you, I'd vote to stop this project now, cut my losses, and put the money where it is truly useful. As I've seen said elsewhere, "Why take away space that is appreciated and wanted, and replace it with something as unwanted as it is unusable for a majority of visitors."

111	Please do not build a dog park in Burnett Woods!!!! This will be detrimental to the beautiful old park that is the only old growth woods in that urban area. This is totally unnecessary and unwelcome to the primary users of the park, specifically the birds and other wildlife. Shame on you Cincinnati Parks. I will not be voting for future funds. Listen to your citizens!!!!	12/13/2023 7:27 PM
112	I am not in favor of a dog park. Please stop encroachment of man made materials and structures in our greenspace. Please use funds to better make existing facilities more accessible. Thank you.	12/13/2023 6:55 PM
113	What a tragedy that you have continued to ignore Clifton community feedback on this. We continue to lose green space and natural habitats at an alarming rate. This should be shelved.	12/13/2023 6:02 PM
114	No fake turf! Please only use natural elements! Thank you!	12/13/2023 4:53 PM
115	Please don't destroy the natural habitat of birds. Do not build this dog park!	12/13/2023 4:23 PM
116	Definitely concerned about the impacts on local bird populations. Have studies been done on the impacts to bird habitat and if so can they be shared?	12/13/2023 3:43 PM
117	Do not put artificial turf there.	12/13/2023 3:38 PM
118	Regardless of the designs I do not want a dog park in Burnett woods. It's toxic and will hurt the natural ecosystem.	12/13/2023 3:20 PM
119	No dog park here please	12/13/2023 2:15 PM
120	The design is offensive in its entirety. The whole idea behind a DOG park in an URBAN PARK was cited by the Audubon Society as a refuge for BIRDS! Dog parks are full of forever chemicals (artificial turf) that certainly do not belong in a centuries-old nature preserve. Dogs are already welcome in Burnet Woods, on leashes. I urge you to listen to the hundreds of people, community councils and associations who have begged you to keep Burnet Woods natural, for nature.	12/13/2023 1:37 PM
121	I love it. Please dont listen to the few grouchy people who don't like change. I think this addresses a need for the area and provides a benefit to the entire Clifton area. I appreciate all the work you are putting in to making this happen. I don't think there needs to be any changes.	12/13/2023 1:08 PM
122	Great concern about the parking needs, which will eliminate many spots needed by the local residents. Also concerned for the excavation needed (with no mention from you) to bring water to the dog park. With the establishment of Burnet Woods as a refuge for wildlife and old(er)	12/13/2023 12:53 PM

New Burnet Woods Dog Park Update - We Want to Hear from You

park. Dog parks are a known cause of dog diseases. Most vets and trainers recommend that dog owners avoid them.

134	Please do not destroy the 126-yo legacy that is the Pleasant Valley entry to Burnet Woods Park. This natural area is an essential part of habitat the park provides for wildlife. It is a popular sledding area for children in winter. It is a peaceful sitting area for people. This is already a valued space, at no additional cost, with no extra maintenance needs, and does not pollute. The proposed dog park has high maintenance needs and introduces a number of pollutants. A caged area for loose dogs is not needed and introduces a potential danger. Please cancel this project.	12/13/2023 10:35 AM
135	I don't believe that a dog park in this area would be beneficial to burnet woods. The noise levels, biowaste, and increased car traffic would be a disturbance to the natural inhabitants of the area.	12/13/2023 10:33 AM
136	NO, a Dog Park for Burnet Woods is not a good use of funds or space. The city has other dog parks, dogs are already allowed in Burnet Woods on leashes. The Audubon Society has designated Burnet Woods as an Important Bird Area, and it should be left alone. We love the park now. NO Dog Park should be built there to ruin a historic urban parkland and birding.	12/13/2023 10:29 AM
137	Should be grass and NOT in Burnet Woods. Terrible idea.	12/13/2023 10:24 AM
138	I cannot emphasize how deeply disappointing it is that the parks board chose not to listen to the community. From the petitions, extensive online feedback and in person feedback, it is abundantly clear that this dog park is not wanted. It will have a terrible environmental impact. On top that, dog parks are not good for dogs. There have been so many studies to prove this. Dog parks are for the owners, not the dogs, and ample dog owners nearby have expressed their intense disdain for the dog park. Why on earth have you continued to go through with this plan? Cincinnati residents have really lost their faith in the park board's abilities. Don't make it worse.	12/13/2023 10:20 AM
139	This is a vital ecosystem for native plants, birds and other species. Please don't destroy their environment and replace it with a dog park. Greenspace, especially in an urban environment, should be protected and preserved.	12/13/2023 10:17 AM
140	You could make it better by not building it.	12/13/2023 10:13 AM
141	Do not use artificial grass, please!	12/13/2023 10:12 AM
142	Removing greenspace to install plastic grass is the WRONG direction and in direct conflict with the Green Cincinnati Plan. Why is the city using plastic grass? The Green Cincinnati Plan wants to expand natural spaces, not take them away. We are facing catastrophic climate change in the decades ahead, so let's help prevent that rather than make it worse. Use natural products only. Artificial turf contains numerous harmful chemicals that will diminish the park's natural qualities and add additional pollutants to an area already struggling with air quality concerns. Other parts of this park experience combined sewer overflows. There has not been sufficient community engagement for a regional park such as Burnet Woods. This is the first time Cincinnati Parks has reached out to the broader community or park visitors at all. At no time has Parks asked "does Cincinnati want/need another dog park?" or "is this the right spot for a dog park?" Why has there not been more and wider dialogue on this proposal? Maybe using already degraded land would be better, since it is already degraded. Why take a natural setting and turn it into degraded land? The City should know better.	12/13/2023 10:10 AM
143	Dogs have leashed access to the entire Woods. I am opposed to tearing out grass and native plants to replace them with plastic. I do not want my dogs playing on plastic. "Paved paradise and put up a parking lot". Keep BW close(r) to nature. No plastic!	12/13/2023 10:09 AM
144	The proposed dog park would be an unnecessary and dangerous (chemicals and waste bleeding into the park) intrusion into the urban wildlife island that is Burnet Woods.	12/13/2023 9:41 AM
145	Using natural turf would be better. Ensure that no runoff occurs into recreational areas.	12/13/2023 9:33 AM
146	Relocate it- there's no good parking here and it disrupts natural space unnaturally	12/13/2023 9:29 AM
147	Please do not destroy beautiful, open green space to build this unnecessary and unwanted dog park. Please protect the park, trees, animal habitat and hunting grounds, and precious native flora and fauna. Dog walkers already use this park daily; they don't need a designated area for dogs. I have been visiting Burnet Woods my entire life and have a particularly special memory of nursing my now 25 year old son while sitting on the grass in the exact spot that would be	12/13/2023 8:47 AM

New Burnet Woods Dog Park Update – We Want to Hear from You

defiled and destroyed by building a dog park there. Please instead use the money for much needed and overdue maintenance and improvements to existing facilities and to protect and preserve our few remaining natural green, wooded spaces.

148	NO DOG PARK. JUST STOP.	12/13/2023 8:40 AM
149	Thank you for asking, but absolutely NO. Leashed dogs are already allowed in the park. Destruction of Burnet Woods is not necessary.	12/13/2023 8:35 AM
150	Preserve Burnett Woods as it is - as woods and do not build the dog park there. On leash, dogs already are allowed in Burnett Woods. We need to preserve these trees as is. No dog park here!	12/13/2023 8:25 AM
151	Please locate somewhere else so you do not upset the bird habitat	12/13/2023 8:23 AM
152	No dog park! It is a beautiful green area with natural beauty; much needed to remain such	12/13/2023 8:22 AM
153	I live nearby and I am OPPOSED to putting this ugly structure in Burnet Woods.	12/13/2023 8:15 AM
154	It looks great. Go for it!	12/13/2023 8:10 AM
155	Except for the turf, nice design. But this plan could be implemented anywhere....doesn't Cincinnati have any abandoned sites, especially industrial, that could be re-purposed for this plan? Or is Cincinnati unlike most other urban municipalities that have oodles. It's a shame it has to be put in over existing park land. Even a site that has environmental contamination issues could be used for purposes of a dog park. Why use pristine Park land?	12/13/2023 7:38 AM
156	I do not support this project. Keep this land a green space that is good for migrating bird species and urban wildlife.	12/13/2023 7:19 AM
157	The proposal misses the most significant issue brought up through efforts of Preserve Burnet Woods. It is not about tweaking dog park plans. The reduction of a historic precious small urban natural area is the most significant concern. Also calculation of dog park area does not take into account significant clouds of disturbances (above & below ground) that result from an insult to habitat that don't obey proposed boundaries. The analysis of concerns raised by Clifton residents and others is that an existing natural park has an incalculable greater present and future significance than a dog park for the city, environment, and future for all of us. I am a dog owner and lover who appreciates Burnet Wood's natural trails and current green spaces every day as do many. There is not a part of the Park that is unused as is and more would benefit with repair and upgrades to facilities and for accessibility. Persons can use other dog parks within a few miles or find a different place to build one.	12/13/2023 6:34 AM
158	Save money and preserve Burnet Woods - don't build it AT ALL!	12/13/2023 1:07 AM
159	Leave it the way it was originally. No dog park.	12/13/2023 1:04 AM
160	I do not support a dog park. This is an important birding area, and should be left as is. Dogs are already allowed in the park. Cincy parks, please protect our natural resources instead of turning them into development projects. Thank you for listening.	12/12/2023 10:58 PM
161	I am a local long-term resident and I do not think this is a good idea. It breaks my heart to see these plans which will be very disruptive to local wildlife. Please reconsider.	12/12/2023 10:01 PM
162	If you want this to be the best possible project then don't put it In burnet woods, this is not only an irresponsible place to put a dog park, but a downright terrible one. Listen to the community, the majority say no.	12/12/2023 9:00 PM
163	This should not move forward. This is an important bird area, along a migratory path- very vital for migrating species! There has to be another place for this project. Please consider choosing and rendering another location.	12/12/2023 8:48 PM
164	Don't do it. Burnet Woods is an IBA (Important Bird Area) and building a dog park with plastic "grass" will irreparably damage this urban gem.	12/12/2023 8:47 PM
165	No dog park while upkeep is needed in other areas. Especially no plastic turf. I am a dog walker and my clients do not use dog parks. That area of Burnet Woods is perfect as it is.	12/12/2023 8:46 PM
166	Move the location to a different park.	12/12/2023 8:40 PM
167	It would be better if there was no dog park in Burnet Woods at all. BW has far greater funding needs and the Park Board knows this, so who is pushing this idea?	12/12/2023 8:38 PM

New Burnet Woods Dog Park Update – We Want to Hear from You

168	I think it's perfect	12/12/2023 8:33 PM
169	Don't build it, it's in the middle of green space that draws and nourishes many species of migrating birds. Keep it natural and green, no fake turf.	12/12/2023 8:33 PM
170	This is a natural area. it's a wonderful urban park. Not the place for a dog park... dog parks aren't good for dogs anyway. The board should be listening to the residence and not just plowing through with their own ideas. That's the most disappointing part.	12/12/2023 8:21 PM
171	I am viscerally shattered when I walk by Pleasant Valley at the prospect of a dog park, an irrevocable spiritual, environmental and ecological loss -- enjoyed for 150 years -- which, as a historic legacy, will forever be denied to the generations that follow.	12/12/2023 6:40 PM
172	The community does NOT want this park. You have all the feedback you need. DONT ruin the environment. LEAVE BURNET HOW IT IS. Good luck keeping up with the maintenance and vandalism. Going to be a very expensive endeavor for this city.	12/12/2023 2:45 PM
173	This dog park, in its current location, will disrupt the natural life and peaceful nature of Burnet Woods. Also, other parts of Burnet Woods are in disrepair (stairs, trails)..	12/12/2023 2:29 PM
174	I believe a different location would be best. This project has become polarizing and I see my neighbors fighting consistently over it. I would love to see the Park Board move this out of Burnett Woods as a good will gesture to community harmony. I know there are plenty of other great locations.	12/12/2023 2:22 PM
175	I love it! There should be bike parking included as many (like myself) will bike from work to meet my partner with our dog - at the dog park. Excited to have a place for my dog to run and play with other dogs.	12/12/2023 1:58 PM
176	No fake grass and do not cut down the trees!	12/12/2023 1:53 PM
177	NO DOG PARK! Please focus on making existing amenities in the park inclusive.	12/12/2023 1:32 PM
178	DO NOT BUILD in Burnet Woods. This is an unnecessary park that many of us in the neighborhood DO NOT WANT.	12/12/2023 12:53 PM
179	I do not understand why you are taking a park that is woods— that is what is is, a natural wooded space in a densely populated urban area- and turning a big chunk of it into a dog park. You are taking natural space that can be enjoyed by all, tearing out trees hundreds of years old, and putting in a public bathroom for dogs, which only dog owners get to use. You have been ramming this down our throats for over two years now. Why don't you improve the WOODS for everyone? Why don't you leave the hundreds of years old native trees to be a park for all? Also the synthetic turf is unsanitary not to mention unnatural in a park setting the everyone should be able to enjoy.	12/12/2023 10:30 AM
180	As stewards of the parks, paid for by the Cincinnati taxpayers, you should really listen to the overwhelming number of folks opposed to this dog park in Burnet Woods. It's frankly shocking at this point how willfully you continue to do what you want, with no regard for the constituents to whom you are beholden. Why? Why? To what end? Why? For the love of Pete, do not put this dog park in Burnet Woods. How many new and different ways do your constituents have to tell you that they don't want something? No means no, fam. I guess it only works when you say "no" to us, huh?	12/12/2023 10:18 AM
181	Please do not do this. The way to make the design better— don't build a dog park. Don't! Please don't!	12/12/2023 9:08 AM
182	This is a bad plan and bad idea, please do not go forward with this.	12/12/2023 8:45 AM
183	I think you have made excellent design features	12/12/2023 8:04 AM
184	So excited for this dog park! I love the use of existing large trees and planting of new trees, as everyone loves trees. Thank you for making this so accessible... so very excited for everyone.	12/12/2023 7:29 AM
185	The dog park sounds like a wonderful idea for fur parents who are looking to connect with others and have a safe space for their pups to burn off some energy.	12/12/2023 7:10 AM
186	This does not belong in our park. Move it to another location.	12/12/2023 5:55 AM
187	For reasons mentioned by our local naturalists and ecology experts, and as a long time Cincinnati, Mt Auburn, and Clifton resident, this project does not have my support.	12/12/2023 5:37 AM

New Burnet Woods Dog Park Update – We Want to Hear from You

188	Dog parks are unsanitary cesspools to spread disease. Do not bring this in to our community. There is a respiratory virus right now in fact, veterinarians are asking dog owners to keep their dogs away from other dogs to prevent the disease from spreading. Your project has the potential to kill many beloved pets. Irresponsible.	12/12/2023 5:21 AM
189	Dog park is a horrible idea Don't waste the money Aggressive unvaccinated dogs will be taking advantage of this I will not use it as I am a responsible pet owner	12/11/2023 11:00 PM
190	I do not support a dog park in Burnet Woods. I live .25 miles from the park, and regularly walk my dog there. there is not enough room nor enough parking for a dog park. There are plenty of sidewalks and trails for anyone who wishes to walk their dog on a leash, as I do. A dog park at that location is unnecessary and an irresponsible use of park land and funds. Please use the "extra" money to upkeep the park itself, much of which is in disrepair as far as stairs and trails. Again, I DO NOT support this dog park.	12/11/2023 9:41 PM
191	There are many, many residents, naturalists, community associations, dog owners and park users who do not want this. Don't you listen to the taxpayers who support the parks? This is a bad idea supported by a small special interest group. Why are you proceeding with this unwanted project?	12/11/2023 9:31 PM
192	I do not think the dog park is a good idea. The other dog parks around the city are large enough for my dog to run around. This is not. I don't take my dog to the Washington park for that reason. The money should be spent improving the trails and getting rid of the honeysuckle and kudzu.	12/11/2023 7:06 PM
193	I think it looks great!	12/11/2023 5:48 PM
194	Thanks for adding extra plantings. Dog park design is great. Will bring folks to Burnet Woods.	12/11/2023 12:28 PM
195	I am very excited about this project. I am a dog owner who lives adjacent to the park and this would be amazing. I have also noticed that this area is more or less unused whenever I walk by except for homeless people sleeping on the picnic tables or on the hill that is adjacent to this area.	12/11/2023 11:24 AM
196	One suggestion: rescind your decision on the site. Even if this turf WERE PFAS-free—and this is doubtful, because PFAS chemicals make manufacturing so much easier, and there's no evidence indicating that any PFAS-free synthetic turf in fact exists—the Parks would still be destroying a healthy, carbon-sequestering greenspace and carpeting it with a petroleum-derived product that has a huge carbon footprint and is difficult, practically impossible in fact, to recycle. It's crazy that a park system would choose to do this. No environmentally minded person would choose to do this. So Burnet Woods advocates understand, as perhaps the Commissioners do not, how painful and embarrassing it is for your Parks staff—the ones who actually understand ecosystems—to carry on. They are constrained by their professionalism from complaining outside their own ranks but I assure you they are working in full damage-control mode, not enthusiastic compliance. I bet not one, save perhaps Director Barron, has told you that Burnet Woods is a great place to site a dog park. Here's a challenge for you, Commissioners. Survey Parks staff anonymously whether THEY would site this dog park where you have decided. Make sure the staff understand there will be no repercussions. Have someone from outside the Parks handle the survey. Because they want to keep their jobs and they probably don't trust you, because they too recognize misinformation and a lack of transparency when they see it. Parks staff love the Parks, and are looking at the big picture, and hoping that someday Parks leadership will care as much about the irreplaceable resources of the Parks as much as they do about the fad attractions that gain press and praise. There are parks where another dog park won't chew away at a functional ecosystem. There are even parks where fake turf could be laid with less impact to natural systems, though in those cases you could work with much less damaging, as well as cheaper materials, because the dog park would not have to pretend to be part of an ecosystem it so clearly is not.	12/10/2023 6:55 PM
197	1. Why this location in Burnet Woods? Why not the valley where the shelter is? Vastly underutilized space, noises would be buffered, out of sight yet easy to find. 2. Anything BUT an artificial surface. I'm not going to make exaggerated claims about extraction & run off (I'm a chemist and any extraction would likely vastly yield tolerable levels of PFAS. Why not mulch or shredded tires like playgrounds often use? Maintenance not complicated. Just add more mulch each season. You do it for the swings and other play areas. 3. Money spent would be better applied to maintenance. There is a mostly dead tree at the south end of the lake loop (over hanging the pedestrian path) that I've pointed out to several Park Maintenance staff over the last 3 years. You'd better hope the branches come down in a night time windstorm. Impact	12/10/2023 6:15 PM

New Burnet Woods Dog Park Update – We Want to Hear from You

on a pedestrian could be deadly. 4. Poor community services & Communication: I'm appalled at the lack of community conversations from the Park Board to local residents. All your touted words about communication with local residents is B.S. Public relations B.S. It's not the first time the Park Board has tried to put something into Burnet Woods without community input (all communities, not just Clifton). The Camping & Education Foundation tear down of a hillside for admittedly, a just cause, but cramming it down local residents throats made it unpalatable. It seemed like a decent idea when it meant the Trailside Nature Center would be improved, but that was never going to happen because of drainage problems in that valley. Will that ever be properly remediated? Get the concrete out of the ephemeral stream bed that parallels Ludlow-Jefferson.

198	Citizens of this and surrounding neighborhoods do not want this dog park at all!	12/10/2023 5:35 PM
199	Please don't build a dog park there	12/10/2023 4:54 PM
200	This is really small. Is is meant for small dogs? Most dog parks allow dogs to run .	12/10/2023 4:25 PM
201	Please DO NOT put a dog park in Burnet Woods! I have dogs and would never let them play in a dog park. You know nothing about the other dogs and the PFAS surface is dangerous for dogs, humans and the park!	12/10/2023 1:37 PM
202	No artificial turf	12/10/2023 1:31 PM
203	I am a resident of Clifton and have been for 4 years. I value Burnet Woods as a natural space. I do not believe this dog park is something that the community wants or needs, and have spoken against in many times. This project is wasting taxpayer time and money and should instead be focused on creating more areas of beneficial ecosystem services within our parks. 1. There has not been enough interaction or communication with community members regarding this project. With feedback that has been gathered, many residents oppose this project completely. Almost 1,600 members have signed one particular petition against the use of turf. When you considered adding a dog park within Dunore Park, the community was strongly against it. Many of those same concerns have been leveled against this project in Burnet Woods, and have been ignored. 2. Artificial turf is NOT a healthy or safe alternative material. There are many cities that have banned the use of it completely. In Portsmouth NH, the contractor they worked with claimed to use "PFA certified free" material but it was later discovered that this was NOT accurate. I have valid concerns over the type of material proposed in this plan. Even plastics that are PFA free are wasteful and detrimental to the health of our communities. Artificial turf breaks down into microplastics which will be released into the surrounding environment, regardless of "PFA certified" status. 3. Burnet Woods Lake is at risk of being contaminated with runoff from this dog park which sits upslope from the lake. Burnet Woods should be preserved and kept as natural as possible. This provides benefit for both the wildlife and residents who use it. 4. Other investments could be made to Burnet Woods to improve trail signage and access, continue removing invasive plants, plant new trees in existing lawn areas. These would be a better use of funds.	12/10/2023 11:15 AM
204	I don't have a dog but I'm in favor of the dog park in Burnet Woods. I like the latest design. Michele Murphy (Clifton resident)	12/10/2023 8:01 AM
205	Don't think this unnatural element should be carved out of the woods. There are other places nearby that would be far better suited to a fenced off synthetic turned area.	12/10/2023 7:49 AM
206	Dear ones, I am opposed to the desecration of the land and park that are Burnet Woods. We do not want or need a dog park there. The park is already a beautiful and vibrant oasis of life and nature in the city. It does not need to be "improved" or modernized in this way. We, the people, want the park to be preserved and protected and nurtured as it is without the construction of an artificial turf dog park. Please consider the widespread opposition to this project. Visit the park and realize it is already filled with people, filled with dog walkers, filled with life. This project would not improve the park in any way. It is unnecessary and unwanted. Instead, consider maintenance and improved bathroom facilities and projects to protect and preserve nature in the park, such as reforestation and community gardening. Sincerely, Amy Wakeman	12/10/2023 4:52 AM
207	Build it some where else. Leave the park alone.	12/9/2023 8:49 PM
208	my family loves Washington Park and this looks very similar. We can't wait. Thank you!	12/9/2023 8:16 PM
209	It looks very nice but adding artificial turf to a green space, especially a historic park like Burnet Woods feels wrong to me. Even though it's a PFAS free turf, it still has potential for	12/9/2023 6:32 PM

New Burnet Woods Dog Park Update - We Want to Hear from You

some future, currently unknown hazard to the environment, the dogs and to us. Please keep it simple and as natural as possible.

210	I love this design. It's a better use than the unused area currently in place.	12/9/2023 6:16 PM
211	We don't want a dog park in Burnet Woods	12/9/2023 6:01 PM
212	I do not feel that the park needs development, nor that a project that solely benefits a certain population (dog owners) is a good use of limited public funds that should benefit everyone.	12/9/2023 5:45 PM
213	It is wrong all you're proposing. Please don't put in Burnet woods.	12/9/2023 3:44 PM
214	I love the way it fits in the park. As a very frequent walker in the park, I am thrilled at an addition that brings people to Burnet Woods. I grew up within a mile of the park. It seemed so massive when we first moved here. My kids grew up hiking the trails, attending nature camps and classes at the planetarium. I miss the days when people picnicked in the park and the sounds of children's laughter filled the air. I see the dog park as a great way of bringing people to the park- especially to an area rarely used except by the occasional sun bather	12/9/2023 2:33 PM
215	You can make it better by not building it. It is too small and will be crowded which will encourage dog fights. Does anyone involved know anything about K9 behavior. Dog parks are just a bad idea perpetuated by dog owners to lazy to exercise their dog and engage appropriately.	12/9/2023 2:00 PM
216	Please reconsider where this is going. I'm not part of any Burnet Woods groups, but I have lived in Clifton for 15 years and have 2 dogs. I have not talked to one neighbor that likes the location of this park. My neighbors and I all like the location next to Good Sam on MLK that was an option. It seems like that would be a great location with parking that the whole community could get behind. It is away from houses and Burnet Woods, but still close to the community and anyone doing on MLK. Please reconsider so that we can have a location that everyone can get behind. Thanks, Mike Ulrich 3308 Morrison Ave. (If you refuse to move it, please at least keep it all natural with wood and real grass and no synthetic turf)	12/9/2023 1:36 PM
217	Design looks beautiful. Can't wait to use this. Hope there are plenty of benches inside and outside to sit and socialize. Thanks Parks!	12/9/2023 12:01 PM
218	I love it. I appreciate the changes. I feel this needed.	12/9/2023 11:17 AM
219	I hope they know many dogs and jump a 4 foot fence especially with that 2 foot booster ledge. I also hope they have fixed things so the play area at the base of the slide is functional again. First things first and all that. Peace, Nancy Lyman	12/9/2023 11:14 AM
220	It's beautiful and perfectly suited to the area. Well-designed. Personally would have preferred the larger design but understand modifications. This will help to make the park safer - the is a city park and should serve the city residents. Next could we please have a paved, lighted walk & bike trail?? Currently (and sadly) it is too dangerous to walk in (know from personal experience). Would love to see students, families and residents able to bike/walk around the park on a nice day. Kudos for all your hard work.	12/9/2023 10:58 AM
221	As a resident of Clifton, a partner to a biologist, a person who visits Burnet for its ecological getaway in the center of the city, I disagree with cities plans to build a dog park right there. It would be a disaster for many of the species plants and animals that, migrate, feed or grow on the land that you are willing to use. The beauty of nature through Burnet woods should be preserved and un-disturbed for decades upon centuries to come. Instead I think that the dog park would make a better addition to Dunore park which currently has nothing to offer the residents of Clifton. Keep Burnet untouched and put it someplace else, you will ruin the park by in multiple ways just by installing a dog park.	12/9/2023 10:33 AM
222	Whoever has come up with this idea to place this in Burnet Woods has their head put on backwards(to say it nicely) They know nothing about design in conservation! Do NOT go forward with this. Terrible idea. Sincerely, Linda Kreidler Kreidler Design	12/9/2023 7:20 AM
223	No dog park! This is a human park. I've seen another dog parks around Cincinnati, and they are eye sores. I love animals and nature, but a dog park is inappropriate for a human park.	12/9/2023 6:35 AM
224	We live in Mt Auburn and love the idea of another dog park in the city . A lot of people live in apartments and need a place for their trusted companions to play and socialize - not all have their own yards . All the designs look great - for some reason 2 appeals the most . Can't wait to see this happen ! Thank you Cincy Parks!	12/9/2023 5:19 AM

New Burnet Woods Dog Park Update - We Want to Hear from You

225	You can make it better by not installing it. There is nothing fun or welcoming about a dog park in Burnet Woods. Burnet Woods is a small park. It should remain in its natural state. Even if the artificial turf is PFAS free, it still contains other dangerous chemicals. There will still be run-off of dog urine & fecal matter into the lake, polluting a lake used for fishing. Valuable flora & fauna will still be destroyed I also feel barking dogs in proximity to public access will cause some to be fearful of even entering the park, especially children unaccustomed to being in the company of dogs. I know adults who fear dogs. The entirety of a public park should be accessible to all the public. There shouldn't be carve-outs to accommodate certain people.	12/9/2023 12:49 AM
226	Please cancel the plans for a dog park in Burnet Woods. Let's keep nature in the woods. NO DOG PARK IN BURNET WOODS	12/8/2023 11:42 PM
227	Fake grass is bad bad bad!! Selective native grasses and a more environmentally friendly, responsible option for open green areas.	12/8/2023 11:03 PM
228	I am opposed to the dog park. This is money that could be spent elsewhere and the land is important green space for people. Leaving it as it maximizes the flexibility of the space for both people and dogs as both would be able to enjoy. A space specifically for dogs is not a good use of the land.	12/8/2023 9:26 PM
229	I live in the CUF neighborhood and have 2 dogs - have had dogs for the 33 yrs. I have lived in this neighborhood - NO DOG PARK wanted in this location - what don't you understand about the resounding NO? for all the reasons that have been stated, reiterated and illustrated with so much accurate data. NO!!! NOT WANTED. for so many reasons that have been stated over and over.....NO!!!	12/8/2023 7:57 PM
230	I would LOVE to see a dog park there. We need more dog parks. There's not that many. Maybe put in a pool. Like Fur Field. In Fairfield. And also Doris Day dog park has a few kiddie pools for the dogs. Also some fire rings for in the summer to keep the people warm. Please make sure there are plenty of drinking fountains, and bath rooms, Some shade for everyone. Some tunnels and agility toys for the dogs. Thank You, Please let this dog park happen. Nancy Meyer	12/8/2023 7:13 PM
231	No dog park is appropriate for Burnet woods. It will disturb wildlife. In addition to that dog parks are noisy and the perfect venue for dog fights and dog illnesses. Not only that there will be people dumping their dogs there as it has happened in other dog parks. Burnet Woods is a lovely green space in which one can walk dogs, children or picnic. Let's leave it a place for all to enjoy! No Dog Park!! And yes I own dogs!	12/8/2023 6:22 PM
232	No dog park	12/8/2023 6:14 PM
233	Looks fine. Little small but for all the apartment dwellers it will be useful	12/8/2023 4:01 PM
234	It looks outstanding. Perhaps use a fence that is earthy in color to blend in with the environment more than a black fence does.	12/8/2023 3:36 PM
235	Less turf would be desirable.	12/8/2023 2:53 PM
236	Love the design and hope this dog park gets put into Burnet Woods soon! Please don't listen to the "haters" of this dog park idea. Those people have nothing else better to do than to complain about anything they can possibly complain about. They likely complain about what they have to look at in the mirror every day.	12/8/2023 2:03 PM
237	Leave the dog park all grass. Dogs prefer grass not man made surfaces. It will also save money. All you need are some benches and a fence with a gate. This project shouldn't cost us (the taxpayers) more than \$30,000.	12/8/2023 1:53 PM
238	Not wanted and not needed!!!	12/8/2023 1:43 PM
239	I am opposed to this dog park..There are better uses for these funds	12/8/2023 12:46 PM
240	We don't want a dog park! Dog owners can walk their dogs without a dog park. This process has been disrespectful to our community. There are much more important priorities for this park. Get rid of honeysuckle etc,	12/8/2023 12:20 PM
241	I think it looks great and is a wonderful addition to the neighborhood. I live in the gaslight and am excited to be able to walk to this. Thank you for persevering.	12/8/2023 10:32 AM
242	I do not live in the city. Nor do I own a dog. I do enjoy the parks. I continually read about the inability to maintain the needs of existing parks. Keep what we have and keep it better.	12/8/2023 10:05 AM

New Burnet Woods Dog Park Update - We Want to Hear from You

243	Mound landforms are not common in dog parks. Suggest removing the one in the middle to provide a longer flat stretch for dogs that like to run and throwing balls or frisbees	12/8/2023 9:58 AM
244	No dog park in burnett woods please, not compatible to the existing park	12/8/2023 9:49 AM
245	The Parks should take care of the park assets it already has instead of spending money on new facilities. So many things in our parks are in need of significant maintenance and repair. It's sad to see the parks falling apart and then see new projects like this being proposed. This will be just another part of our parks that is not maintained.	12/8/2023 8:45 AM
246	There should be no turf at all... Since when is grass not good enough for dogs?	12/8/2023 8:44 AM
247	I think putting any dog park in Burnet Woods is a terrible idea. The proposed space uses one of the very few areas of the park that is actually easily accessible to people. Most of the park is woods, so your % of park figure is disingenuous. Spend the money on deferred maintenance and something that is actually needed and supported by the community.	12/8/2023 8:39 AM
248	What's the point of this survey? You are clearly insisting on forcing something on the community that a LARGE part of the community clearly does not want. Please use the money instead to remove invasive honeysuckle.	12/8/2023 8:23 AM
249	I do not think this is a good location for this. The combination of artificial turf and concentrated dog pee and poop is my main reason.	12/8/2023 8:13 AM
250	Don't build it. It's a waste of money and resources.	12/8/2023 7:25 AM
251	There should be no dog park in Burnet woods. There is a plethora of research how dog parks are awful for the environment and a waste of space.	12/8/2023 6:08 AM
252	Cancel the entire project and stop trying to develop natural areas in parks. At the very least eliminate ALL artificial turf. You can't even keep your nature center open at the same park, why is there so much money available for developing and building things in parks but not maintaining or staffing your existing stuff? Its also been pretty clear that Cincinnati Parks is not actually interested in hearing feedback that this project is not wanted. Listen to the public that is telling you that a dog park and other development is not wanted in Burnet Woods.	12/8/2023 5:48 AM
253	DO NOT BUILD THIS !!! NOBODY WANTS THIS!!!!!! This is a disgrace to cincy parks and SO harmful to the important environment there. Please. We are begging you not to do this.	12/8/2023 1:03 AM
254	i think this is a really bad idea. Replacing a natural green space with artificial turf that contains toxic chemicals and gets significantly hotter than the ambient temperatures is not something we should be adding to the park. There is also the issue that animal wastes could create toxic run off problems. Please don't pollute the park! Find another location better suited to use as a dog park.	12/7/2023 10:53 PM
255	Don't do it! Leave real grass and real trees alone.	12/7/2023 10:39 PM
256	Wow! This is incredibly exciting. I am really delighted to think that we will finally have a dog park in Clifton. I knew this was in the works, but I guess I didn't realize what it was actually going to look like. I am blown away by these drawings and especially the visuals of where the park will be. I pass through here all the time and love the idea that people will be gathering in this area. I do not personally have a dog but I think this is going to bring more people to what is a truly great and underused park, and I look forward to watching dogs play as I walk through the park to UC and back.	12/7/2023 9:50 PM
257	Seems like a lot of money for fake grass in such a small area. Real grass is far better for all.	12/7/2023 7:31 PM
258	Looks wonderful! Just what our community needs!	12/7/2023 3:56 PM
259	I have a few suggestions I really hope someone sees! Firstly, I think a great addition to this design would be a community donation station near the entrance! Where people could drop off/donate dog items like toys, clothes, poop bags, etc. It wouldn't be something anyone would have to monitor either being donation based so no additional costs would come of it outside of initial setup! I'm imagining something like those free little libraries, but a bit bigger. I think that would really help some people who might be in need and also really boost that feeling of community sharing! I also think a simple wooden cork board or something similar for people to post pet related things would be nice! It would be great for sharing trainer info, dog events, resources, or even putting up pictures of your pup! My final suggestion is that you reach out to local artists to make this something special. People LOVE their dogs, and a dog park should	12/7/2023 3:33 PM

New Burnet Woods Dog Park Update - We Want to Hear from You

be a place that reflects that love, you should feel happy and uplifted in such a place! Cincinnati has a rich and vibrant art culture and the one thing people hate to see with new construction in this city is the same bland, lifeless designs and colors. So please, reach out and see if people will donate their skills! I know I will! Imagine a colorful pawprint trail leading you to the entrance! Imagine dogs of all breeds and shapes and sizes painted along the concrete curbs! Dogs see best in yellows and blues so I really think you could incorporate those colors in your designs, in collaboration with local artists to make this dog park something we can all be really proud of! Thank you for your time and consideration!

260	I love this design and truly appreciate all the work that has been put into this project. It's evident that the designers have listened to the concerns of the community and incorporated design elements in response. I'm most appreciative of the effort that has been made to ensure this park is universally accessible and inclusive of those with all abilities. Thank you so much for your work on this!	12/7/2023 10:39 AM
261	Looks like an unnecessary project and expense that will need constant maintenance.	12/7/2023 9:56 AM
262	Why is this dogpark so close to the CPB President and VP house? Is it just a pet project to support their few neighbors? This tiny park will not provide safe environment for dogs to truly run and exercise. It's in a terrible location, is destroying a perfectly clean natural area. Also be aware that this project being marketed as accessible will be challenged in court immediately. It is obvious that the grades on the street will prohibit true accessibility from the point of parking to being in the park. The adjoins sidewalks are far steeper than allowable for accessible route. The park should not be advertised falsely.	12/7/2023 9:44 AM
263	Synthetic turf? Seriously? Hope you like the smell of urine.	12/7/2023 3:04 AM
264	Thanks for soliciting feedback. No ideas. I won't likely use it due to safety concerns for my dog. People already walk with their dogs offleash in the park and cause havoc. So I doubt they'll be more responsible in the dog park. Wishing everyone all the best and I'm proven wrong.	12/6/2023 11:41 PM
265	I do not think an existing park that provides habitat and could have continued restoration is an appropriate site for a dog park. Synthetic material regardless of the lack of PFAS has environmental impacts that are being disregarded. There are alternative sites outside of this green space that could be used as a dog park. While I understand there are constituents with dogs who would like an area to recreate this project location could be changed to make all parties happy.	12/6/2023 9:16 PM
266	Even with the update, I do not think that this area should go through with the plans to add a dog park.	12/6/2023 8:44 PM
267	Please do not go through the with this. Burnet Woods is beautiful and the home to so many migratory birds and different animals, adding the dog park would ruin its natural beauty. Burnet Woods is already a great place to take your dog on a walk or hike on the trails, there is no need to add a fenced in piece of plastic to it.	12/6/2023 7:29 PM
268	Artificial turf seems ridiculous to me. I say, stick with natural turf.	12/6/2023 7:28 PM
269	This is a SHAM of a so-called survey that asks for input on dog park DESIGN when it should be asking for input on the entire CONCEPT and is being FORCED upon the MAJORITY of Community Members who do NOT want this dog park located in Burnet Woods - TRICKERY & CHICANERY posing as a request for Community Engagement - EXTREMELY INSULTING!!!!	12/6/2023 6:03 PM
270	I have a dog. We don't need this park. Please save this space for the birds, bees, butterflies, etc. Besides, it will not look nice and manicured the way the drawing suggests. The grass will be gone and it will be all dirt. When it rains, it will be a giant muddy mess. Having taken my dog to many dog parks, I can tell you it will smell. Like urine and like feces. Not to mention said urine and feces (which is never totally picked up and discarded of properly) will be concentrated and isn't good for the plants in this area. Please stop taking what few bit of natural spaces we have left.	12/6/2023 4:43 PM
271	Would be nice if we could practice more 'leave no trace' in our Park lands. As a Park there should be some sort of stipulation regarding how much space is allowed to be developed but most space should be nature and preserve plant & wildlife. We have enough dog parks in the city of Cin. We all need to become better stewards of the land that is set aside for all to enjoy versus decimating it every chance we get	12/6/2023 4:42 PM

New Burnet Woods Dog Park Update - We Want to Hear from You

272	Synthetic turf and concrete do not belong in Burnet Woods; they are counter to the Green Cincinnati Plan. Asking for the community's input this far along in the process is an insult to the community and a violation of community engagement best practices. Do better.	12/6/2023 4:38 PM
273	This is the only area to bring young ones to play and to access with a stroller from the area. To get to the playground a caregiver would need to go all the way around the park and into the steep driveway to get there! I don't want to push my baby stroller and hold another child's hand in a roadway! You're taking away one of the best places to let my children get some exercise in the grass.	12/6/2023 3:30 PM
274	The creation of this dog park, at this time, will go down as a huge fiasco for Cincinnati Parks. Just when a new and sometimes fatal dog illness is sweeping the country and dog parks are shutting down all over the US, Cincinnati Parks appears to be tone deaf to the well-being of dogs. It is a known fact that dog parks are not good for a dog's health. They are places that harbor ringworm, hookworm and other diseases and pose other potential hazards, such as cigarette butts, discarded drug paraphernalia, etc. With veterinarians and animal welfare groups advising dog owners to stay away from dog parks, who in their right mind will come to this dog park with their dog?? At least delay the project until this disease is better understood and then evaluate if it is worth spending the hundreds of thousands this project will cost.	12/6/2023 2:18 PM
275	I am a former resident of Cincinnati (1988-1999), including the Clifton neighborhood. I cannot emphasize enough the uniqueness of the natural assets of Burnet Woods. The continued efforts to develop it are an affront to that natural heritage, and an insult to those who enjoy "passive" recreation opportunities for hiking, birding, botanizing; and who find it a lovely refuge from concrete, asphalt, barking dogs....Please rescind all plans for *any* dog park, let alone one with plastic "turf." Listen to your full constituency on this! Thank you.	12/6/2023 1:46 PM
276	Change locations. This is first of all, terrible for the environment. Plastic grass in our hot summers are hard on any dogs paws. Secondly, burnet woods is a historical location. Lets keep it the way it is. It is beautifully taken care of and a great place for family picnics as a clifton local. Stop pushing your agenda and listen to the community. If you didnt look, on your last post a large majority was negative feedback. Please dont do this and choose another location.	12/6/2023 1:27 PM
277	It's awesome. Please don't let my peers aged 22-25 years old, who are rebels without a cause, deter this project.	12/6/2023 1:24 PM
278	I am incredibly frustrated that you continue to use turf as a base for this dog park. Look at Furfield, look at Mt. Airy dog park. If you must continue to remove important habitat for our local wildlife, the least you could do is have a more eco-friendly approach and not use synthetic materials. Supposedly your mission statement is " to conserve, manage, sustain, and enhance parks' natural and cultural resources and public greenspace for the enjoyment, enlightenment and enrichment of the Cincinnati community." How is this conserving or maintaining a natural resource?	12/6/2023 1:24 PM
279	I don't think this is necessary or welcomed by the community it surrounds. If it is adopted I'd advise leaving as many native flora as possible and focus on removing honeysuckle and other invasives. Without ground covering it may become a muddy mess so Clover could be an option but you really want something with much deeper roots than grass and ideally lower maintenance. Regardless of all this, I recommend the plan be abandoned as a whole.	12/6/2023 1:00 PM
280	You mention the many conversations you've had with community residents. Really? One complaint has been that, actually, you, already, had the funding and, possibly, the design plans, before you began your very limited contacts with Clifton residents. More importantly, your assert that the artificial turf you intend to use	12/6/2023 12:46 PM
281	Why would you put synthetic turf in a preserve? Why not wood mulch or other natural materials?	12/6/2023 11:40 AM
282	Please consider dedicated off leash hours (much like they do in Prospect park in NYC) instead of this. We do not want old growth forest and important wildlife habitat destroyed for this.	12/6/2023 11:04 AM
283	I am a lifelong resident of Cincinnati and love the Cincinnati parks. I started as a freshman at the University of Cincinnati in 1968, and have lived most of my life since then in Clifton. I enjoyed Burned Woods even before that, especially the stone slide and "lake" pond area. I now have a daughter and grandchildren living in Clifton. I took my children and grandchildren to that part of Burnet Woods. I have read the current dog park plans and oppose that location and the introduction of artificial turf for dogs anywhere in the park. I would not mind a natural dog park	12/6/2023 10:50 AM

New Burnet Woods Dog Park Update – We Want to Hear from You

in the heart of Burnet Woods in an area where small children and persons with walking problems would not be present for reasons other than use of the dog park. Here are my reasons. The Cincinnati Parks are mainly natural, and this should not be changed to accommodate dogs. My adult children avoid buying even small plastic toys for my grandchildren because of the danger of artificial products to our environment, and artificial turf is many times more harmful. It should not be allowed in Cincinnati Parks except if needed for very specific safety reasons. In addition, dog urine and other waste should not be allowed to run into the Burnet Woods pond. And, perhaps most disturbing to me is the danger of that location to many people using the park as they always have for reasons unrelated to dogs. I am a grandma with difficulty walking, and a fall is particularly dangerous at my age. I would not go into the dog park enclosure, but the area you are talking about is convenient for me to meet my children and grandchildren, picnic, enjoy the pond and enjoy my grandchildren using the slide, etc. I also have enjoyed the area while picking up my grandson at the Trailside center after camp. Those using the dog park would have to bring their dog(s) to the dog park enclosure, either by walking or by parking and walking the rest of the way. We have all seen happy, excited (or angry) dogs get away and run, dragging their leashes, or big dogs pulling their owners by the leash in a direction the owners don't want the dogs to go. I have friends who have tripped over dogs, and I can see myself easily tripping. So please, don't use that area (which is accessible for me and others to walk peacefully) to increase the dog usage by dogs trying to get to the dog park. Moreover, dogs can present a danger to young children who are playing and thus not necessarily restrained by their parents. Two incidents that actually happened to me when I was with my grandchildren illustrate the danger. These did not happen by a dog park, but proximity would increase the likelihood of such danger. I was walking in a park with my two-year-old grandson, and he excitedly caught sight of a large dog walking on a leash with an older lady, quite a distance away. He wanted me to take him over to see the dog, but as soon as the lady saw us heading her way she waved us off. She apparently knew that she could not control her dog near a toddler. My grandson was disappointed, but I was grateful. On another occasion, in my own Clifton neighborhood, I was walking with a toddler grandchild and stopped to talk to my friend in front of her house. When she came to the sidewalk to talk with us, she inadvertently neglected to completely close her gate, and her two large dogs excitedly ran toward us. I was not particularly worried (they were my friend's dogs after all) but because of their speed and the dogs ignoring her commands to stop, I picked up the toddler and turned my back. My thinking was that I wanted to be between the dogs and the little one if anything went awry. When my friend had gotten the dogs back behind the fence, she returned, clearly shaken. She told me that I had done the exact right thing, and the dogs were not used to children and would not have been safe with a toddler. Finally, my daughter (a dog owner who loves dogs) was an eyewitness to the beloved small dog owned by a man with special needs, being killed by two large dogs who got away from their restraints. So please, if there is to be a dog park in Burnet Woods, please move it to where there would not be children and people who might fall around, other than the dog lovers who wanted to be near the dog park. And please do not introduce artificial turf into our park. Thank you for your consideration. Susan Grogan Faller 513.646.0630

284	Why would you make it turf? Dogs like grass, and there is much less of an environmental/ecological issue with grass than with the huge amount of plastic manufacturing that goes in to turf production. There are many beautiful dog parks that exist without using turf. Just make it the same way you plan to, but forget the turf. Use grass.	12/6/2023 10:30 AM
285	this all looks great. I and many, many of my Clifton neighbors are for it.	12/6/2023 10:26 AM
286	The best to improve this project would be to find another location. The community has made it clear that they do not want this this dog park in burnet woods. Burnet Woods is a precious green space and should be preserved in its entirety. The amendments proposed do little to mitigate the impact on the park. Please reconsider and have real open forum for community engagement before moving ahead with project.	12/6/2023 9:39 AM
287	Please don't build this dog park here, it is a beloved green space I utilize for reading and picnics. I wouldn't be able to use this space or the surrounding area due to noise from animals. I don't want this dog park and I have been a resident of the area for 10+ years.	12/6/2023 9:27 AM
288	These designs still seem to involve adding newly constructed elements to Burnet Woods, which is a bad mistake. The best park design would be to do absolutely nothing and leave this area of Burnet Woods just how it is now. Please don't build this awful dog park that nobody wants.	12/6/2023 8:09 AM
289	Please do not build a dog park in Burnet Woods! The best possible design would be to stop	12/6/2023 7:52 AM

New Burnet Woods Dog Park Update - We Want to Hear from You

mowing that space and let the forest take back that area.

290	You probably don't want my feedback - but to be honest, this is crap. Why is there the need to "develop" Burnet Woods? There are plenty of other places to put a dog park if that's what you're intent on doing.	12/6/2023 7:10 AM
291	Please do not build this. Burnet Woods is a refuge for many local and migratory species, and it's crucial that we keep at least what little green space they have left so we can keep these species alive. Find somewhere else to build your damn dog park.	12/6/2023 6:15 AM
292	Get rid of this terrible idea and don't destroy the park.	12/6/2023 5:45 AM
293	I am so disappointed you'd put native species who have nothing else to rely on but natural green spaces at risk like this. I love the Parks so much and brag about them all the time because they're such a great attraction for wildlife. The natural surroundings and wildlife make Cincinnati exceptionally beautiful for a city this large! Please don't damage that and make it harder for the wildlife, especially when there are plenty of other places for people to take their dogs. I'm a dog owner & love them, but this is not necessary at Burnet Woods. Please don't do it. Thank you.	12/6/2023 1:10 AM
294	The best way to make this project better is to cancel it. I don't know of a single person in Cincinnati, myself included, who wants to destroy precious ecosystems for fake turf and dog excrement. There are plenty of places where habitats have already been destroyed for people to exercise their pets. The flora and fauna of this city NEED this green space. Please reconsider this environmental disaster.	12/5/2023 11:26 PM
295	Please reconsider. Your constituents do not want this. I go to burnet woods to experience nature. We do not need to take any more of it for something as senseless as a dog park.	12/5/2023 10:45 PM
296	By not doing it at all	12/5/2023 10:39 PM
297	No synthetic turf. Thank you	12/5/2023 10:16 PM
298	This idea is an abomination! A stinking shit lot within the nearly pristine urban greenspace. Howling, snarling, fighting noise nuisance directly across from Clifton Library! NO. Gross.	12/5/2023 10:12 PM
299	Scrap the dog park altogether and leave it the way it is	12/5/2023 10:04 PM
300	We don't want artificial turf and stuffs in the park. I see all pet owners enjoying the park in it's natural beauty that's what is required not artificial turfs.	12/5/2023 9:47 PM
301	Over 5,000 sq feet of synthetic turf smothering the soil 🙄 Why oh Why can't you move this proposal to an already developed plot of land??? I sure hope that you pause this project or scrap it altogether. The community is voicing their concerns loudly, science is on our side.	12/5/2023 9:24 PM
302	I think it's a wonderful idea and a beautiful design.	12/5/2023 9:16 PM
303	Looks great! Can't wait to see the plan in action.	12/5/2023 9:01 PM
304	Burnet Woods should be preserved. A dog park is a foolishly BAD idea	12/5/2023 7:12 PM
305	Move this development to Mt. Storm instead. The Corryville Community Council and CUFNA have already stated that they do not want this project in Burnet Woods.	12/5/2023 5:46 PM
306	Thank you for taking in all of the positive and negative feedback and using that to create this new version of the dog park. The changes you made seem reasonable and are going to be better for all.	12/5/2023 4:37 PM
307	Please don't create a dog park that removes grass, and adds synthetic materials. This park should be preserved.	12/5/2023 4:21 PM
308	My feedback would be don't proceed with this project. Burnet woods should be preserved as it is. A woods. Artificial turf and a mass of barking dogs do not belong there. Several other dog parks are located near by and are already established. The need for another simply doesn't seem to be there.	12/5/2023 4:13 PM
309	No fake grass! NONE. Let it be. There are only a few elites that want the darn thing	12/5/2023 3:58 PM
310	With all the beautiful woods and park, I don't agree with Burnett Woods being a good fit for this or need for this. I get the need in cities where there is no green space. Seems like a waste of money and destruction of a beautiful historic park.	12/5/2023 3:46 PM

New Burnet Woods Dog Park Update – We Want to Hear from You

311	I live in the area. It looks great. My family can't wait to use it. Please ignore the small but loud group of individuals who have been complaining and spreading lies about the park since the beginning. Kevin Mohan	12/5/2023 3:38 PM
312	Why build a dog park when you have Burnett woods to walk around in? This area is a poor choice for a dog park. It is on a hill, stormwater will run right in to the storm drains, increasing nitrogen levels in water. Synthetic turf is an incredibly poor choice, as this introduced more microplastics to the environment, small pieces can harm the animals at the park, additionally the work needed compact soil and regrade will destroy what little are the tree roots have available. This park plan was not well advertised to any part of the community, additionally asking for feedback at the planning stages is a slap in the face to the public. It simply means Parks is going to do whatever it wants and will not truly listen to what the public, various communities, councils and advocacy groups are saying. Its just checking a box. I highly recommend that Parks does not build this dog park in Burnett Woods. Perhaps pick a place that is devoid of greenspace? An area that is truly in need of a dog park, and where a community would welcome one...not where so many people are opposed, especially when they are losing greenspace that has multiple uses right now, not just for dogs. DO NOT build this park.	12/5/2023 2:29 PM
313	I love this idea, especially that the turf is PFA-free. I am hoping this will become a wonderful gathering place for dogs and their humans.	12/5/2023 2:03 PM
314	Please don't do this. Use the funds to support the nature center and promote the biodiversity already at Burnett woods. Or improve the walking trails. This is a waste of money. Shameful	12/5/2023 1:48 PM
315	Replace "bench with center arm" with "bench." There is no need for hostile architecture. Perimeter plantings are a good addition. I'm unlikely to sit on the concrete curb around the islands, as the exterior wall is covered in dog urine. Instead of marking parking spots we should install bollards at the park entrance to prohibit vehicles from entering the park. Consider installing bollards at all the park entrances. Every road bordering the park allows on-street parking. Short of that, I'm unlikely to use the new crosswalk because drivers enter the park at high speeds. I only cross that asphalt further away from the intersection. The crosswalk could be improved by adding bump-outs and raising it. Furthermore, I recommend that the city implement curb extensions for the entire Brookline/Ludlow/Jefferson intersection. The narrower corners slow turning vehicles, which makes it safer for residents to get to and enter the park.	12/5/2023 12:44 PM
316	Do not build this eye sore!!! Plenty of people walk their dogs just fine, leave that ecosystem alone and stop wasting our resources on dumb stuff.	12/5/2023 4:26 AM
317	Absolutely awful for all the beautiful ecological restoration and habitants that live there. Dog park are over all a horrible idea in general. They're a great way to expose other dogs to disease and toxic substances that humans leave behind. Dogs in general are pretty unpredictable towards each other in crowded places such a dog park and are no way a good place to socialize your dog with other unfamiliar dogs. Horrible ideas and even worse placement. Leave Burnet woods alone.	12/5/2023 4:15 AM
318	You cannot destroy old wood forest and owl hunting ground	12/5/2023 1:05 AM
319	I don't think synthetic turf is ideal for this space. Many people including myself want to preserve the nature of this park and synthetic turf and excess amounts of urine are not good for the park. I don't think the park board is listening to its constituents and is moving forward with a very unpopular idea	12/5/2023 12:19 AM
320	If you really listened to the feedback— you would not still be making plans for a dog park in a community that has spoken loud and clear about one of the last green spaces in Clifton. CHOOSE A NEW LOCATION!!!!!!	12/4/2023 11:11 PM
321	Coming from a sustainability major, i think it looks pretty good! i'm excited for this dog park in my area, it was really needed!	12/4/2023 10:46 PM
322	Please don't take an otherwise-natural environment of turf and trees and dedicate it to more plastic astroturf, hard surface and gimmicks. There is plenty of "urbanity" surrounding Cincinnati's much needed green space. We depend on parks for that.	12/4/2023 10:38 PM
323	Don't do it	12/4/2023 10:21 PM
324	I am opposed to any dog park in Burnet Woods.	12/4/2023 10:07 PM

New Burnet Woods Dog Park Update - We Want to Hear from You

325	<p>Context: I moved to Gaslight Clifton in 2015, and at the time had a dog. (He passed away last year.) For many years, I wished Clifton had a dog park, and having spent COUNTLESS hours walking around the entire neighborhood with my dog, I am quite certain that Dunore is the best location for it. But, given that we are only being given the option of Burnet Woods now, I can only say that I do not think a dog park is necessary enough to bite into Burnet Woods for. Burnet Woods is special, precious, and not like other parks in the city. I did not realize this when I first moved here, but I am convinced of it now. I am also certain that everyone in the area is doing just fine either walking their dogs around the neighborhood, OR driving to an existing nearby dog park, such as Washington Park or Mt. Airy. So again, a dog park is not essential for Gaslight Clifton or the surrounding areas, and the potential negative consequences for Burnet Woods outweigh the benefits of this dog park. THAT being said, I'm sure the dog park will make plenty of people (and dogs) happy. I might even try it out myself someday, when our family is ready to get another dog. I think both sides have blown things out of proportion. It's a dog park, not a nuclear waste site. So, my vote would be no dog park in Burnet Woods, BUT I'm not gonna have a cow about it. I appreciate the efforts to reduce the synthetic turf, although I think they could be pushed further. Dogs really don't care if they are playing/running on concrete or rubber mulch or real grass or fake grass or gravel or sand -- so why not just use the materials we think are best? They just need enough area to do their business, and the rest to play. Has anyone looked into the idea of those bricks/pavers that are designed to have grass grow through them? Would that work as a way to let us keep more real grass in the design, while protecting it from eroding under the foot/paw traffic?</p>	12/4/2023 10:05 PM
326	<p>Don't put a dog park in Burnet Woods.</p>	12/4/2023 8:30 PM
327	<p>The public has clearly stated that we do not want a dog park in Burnet Woods. However, if the City of Cincinnati is going to disregard those wishes and continue developing the dog park, please don't use synthetic turf. It defeats the whole purpose of creating a biodiverse ecosystem by destroying green space and replacing it with (basically) plastic. Although I am happy to see it is PFA-free synthetic turf, it just does not logically make sense to place turf in a dog park. For one, the grass is already there. Another reason is the high potential for fecal matter residue dirtying the turf. It just does not make logical sense to me.</p>	12/4/2023 8:22 PM
328	<p>It looks good! I'm excited to have a close dog park. I'm glad the design takes into account the trees and stuff that are already there. It will be great to be able to let the doggos run free.</p>	12/4/2023 7:58 PM
329	<p>Great design. Add a few benches on outside of fence. Some folks without dogs might enjoy sitting on that side of the fence to watch dogs.</p>	12/4/2023 7:51 PM
330	<p>The dog park does not need to be turf. This is going to impact so much of the beloved wildlife in burnets woods and the dogs as well. Turf will not stay contained to the designated area no matter how hard you try and it will litter and pollute so much of the Clifton area. Not to mention, wildlife will more than likely pick it up and eat it. You are destroying their home for the sake of what, some revenue for the city? Find a better way. I and so many other students of UC are incredibly disappointed to the lack of care and listening that has happened throughout this process.</p>	12/4/2023 7:15 PM
331	<p>Who is excited? Not many people I know. You can make it better by actually listening to more of the community BEFORE you submit lots of designs. Don't do it there.</p>	12/4/2023 7:13 PM
332	<p>Get real community input. The park is a horrible idea, rejected by other communities who had fair input. This is a backdoor project with serious impact issues being foisted on the community.</p>	12/4/2023 6:59 PM
333	<p>It looks beautiful. What a great addition to a wonderful neighborhood. Thank you park services for making this available to us.</p>	12/4/2023 6:15 PM
334	<p>This is a terrible idea. If you're just going to destroy an already beautiful green space with real grass for a poison mat, find an abandoned parking lot and put a dog park there. This is an abomination, no matter how pretty you think the dog park will be.</p>	12/4/2023 6:13 PM
335	<p>Absolutely do not put a dog park in Burnet woods. What is wrong with this city? This is not the right park for it. This park is used and loved by so many in the neighborhood and in the city. Meanwhile other city parks are abandoned by neighborhoods. Revive a park that's dying. Don't ruin a park that is actually utilized. My autistic son is afraid of dogs. It's bad enough that non-service dogs are in stores now, but we have to start giving up our parks to dogs too? Why such aggressive behavior to make a dog park? Is there not any other park more suited? Just</p>	12/4/2023 5:54 PM

New Burnet Woods Dog Park Update - We Want to Hear from You

crazy. If the people of the city are signing petitions against the dog park, then why are you even considering this? Your constituents have spoken. No dog park in burnet Woods.

336	I think you should get rid of everything and leave the space how it is!	12/4/2023 4:48 PM
337	I love this idea. It offers people an opportunity to meet other people in a stress-free environment - unlike a bar, for example. People feel increasingly isolated, and this offers an alternative. It fosters a sense of community. All for it, love it.	12/4/2023 3:58 PM
338	How about ditching the synthetic turf. Dogs don't like it.	12/4/2023 3:12 PM
339	I think this is a terrible idea and the park should be left as it is.	12/4/2023 3:05 PM
340	This dog park shouldn't be built. Greenspace in cities is already so hard to come by, don't decrease it even more. The wildlife in the park needs its habitat.	12/4/2023 2:53 PM
341	Do not put this in Burnet Woods.	12/4/2023 2:52 PM
342	Put it somewhere where there isn't an old growth forest!	12/4/2023 1:49 PM
343	I don't agree with the idea of adding a dog park with synthetic turf. I love the idea of making the park more accessible overall, but I have concerns about migratory species, birds in particular, continuing to use the spot as a crucial migratory stopover. I am concerned the dog park within the space will deter the fragile space these birds currently have. Please consider placing the dog park elsewhere or keeping the existing preserved free space at least larger than the dog park if unwilling to relocate it.	12/4/2023 1:49 PM
344	Traffic is difficult in this area most hours of the day. Cars get backed up on Jefferson/Ludlow at the light (near Skyline) Dog park in my opinion is too close to the street and entrance and will cause safety and traffic issues. Also, the park appears to be designed mostly for little dogs. I anticipate many safety issues with dogs of all types in the small space. Please note, I am a dog owner and take my dog to dog parks.	12/4/2023 12:45 PM
345	It would be a better project if you didn't build a dog park. Besides dog parks being an eyesore and bad for the environment, it isn't even necessary. Burnet Woods is a dog park already; it doesn't need a specific place. It breaks my heart that people want to ruin one of the best natural environments within the city.	12/4/2023 12:16 PM
346	Please do not move forward with this dog park. I am soundly against it, and to use synthetic turf would be such a shame.	12/4/2023 12:01 PM
347	I believe that putting a dog park in this space goes against the city's reputation for protecting our delicate urban forest spaces - money could be used differently. Especially with the introduction of Burnet parking passes, no further traffic for this Cincinnati park should be required. Please don't do this.	12/4/2023 11:51 AM
348	No, this dog park is not needed in Burnet Woods. This area should remain natural in order to allow wildlife, such as the owls who hunt in this area, to not have their hunting grounds encroached upon. Artificial turf is a bad idea and ruins the natural setting. Stop this project and ask neighbors what they want instead of forcing this bad idea on them.	12/4/2023 11:48 AM
349	Do not develop! Let wild be wild!	12/4/2023 11:31 AM
350	Do not build this proposed park! It will only undermine all of the rest of the good that happens there.	12/4/2023 11:12 AM
351	When the weather is nicer, I use this area often for picnics and I know I'm far from alone. I see other picnickers, people playing catch (even badminton) and hosting other events here - I don't think we should sacrifice this multi-use space and turn it into something that's only useful for a slim minority of people. Sure this is only 0.2% of Burnet, but do you think this world got the way it is all at once? It got that way by taking 1% here, 0.5% over there, 0.2% here until we find ourselves in the mess we're in today. Let's not forget how large Burnet Woods itself once was.... Other dog parks in the city (and within Cincy Parks) use real grass and have large enclosures that they rotate around so as to avoid destroying green space and replacing it with plastic. Is Burnet so large and abundant with available spaces that we can spare 0.2% of it for plastic turf? Or is it so small that a dog park couldn't possibly be done "the right way" and has to be done in this synthetic, unnatural, destructive way? Both can't be true. The classic National Parks slogan for campers is "leave no trace" or "leave it better than you found it". If we expect average Americans to be able to follow that rule when camping in our National	12/4/2023 10:45 AM

New Burnet Woods Dog Park Update - We Want to Hear from You

Parks, shouldn't we be able to trust that our own Parks board will as well? If you know anything about environmental science and sustainability, you'll know how absurd it is that you've got hippies and environmentalists over here defending monoculture grass!!! That's how bad of an idea this whole thing is. Your rendering doesn't even have an accurate depiction of that area of Burnet. It is not wooded, it's an open field free of the shade that would keep this plastic turf from getting ridiculously hot in the summer..... Please, please consider the mission of Cincinnati Parks and whether this project adheres to that mission because from where I stand, it doesn't even come close.

352	My dog and I have spent many hours walking the trails in Burnet Woods. I think only a small percentage of dog owners actually take their dogs to dog parks. There are so many risks involved with unknown dogs meeting in an uncontrolled setting. Even normally well behaved dogs can behave aggressively in the chaos of a dog park. I just don't think tearing up grass to install an artificial surface for a dog park makes much sense.	12/4/2023 10:38 AM
353	Using synthetic turf is killing our ecosystem. Isn't the parks system supposed to protect Cincinnati wildlife? This is killing it	12/4/2023 10:36 AM
354	Find a different place for the dog park to protect Burnet Woods and our wildlife!	12/4/2023 10:24 AM
355	I do not want a dog park in Burnet Woods. Dogs are already allowed in the park, and that is sufficient. If you continue to insist on this bad idea, which is limiting the use of the park for people who don't have dogs, at least please do not use synthetic turf of any kind. I do not believe the synthetic turf is truly PFAS free. There are thousands of PFAS, and from the information I have seen, this turf was only tested for 2 PFAS and at 100ppt. Current analytical methods can detect PFAS at <10ppt. <100ppt is not PFAS free.	12/4/2023 10:17 AM
356	I think this is a terrible idea. Burnet Woods is home to so many threatened species of birds that require open areas, and a dog park will push these native species out, which are already struggling due to loss of habitat. Please consider putting the dog park in another area, like a parking lot, or doing away with the idea all together. To put things in perspective, dogs are not native species to the U.S., whereas the birds and other wildlife that depend on Burnet Woods ARE native species.	12/4/2023 10:09 AM
357	I don't think that there should be a Dog Park at all. It is a terrible, terrible idea to replace real grass with artificial turf. Artificial turf contains a lot of chemicals that could have a negative impact on humans and nature (there still needs to be more research into this, but why risk it when you can just keep the real grass?).	12/4/2023 9:58 AM
358	Don't install synthetic turf. The local bird population has dwindling territory as it is, and this will put their food supply in jeopardy.	12/4/2023 9:54 AM
359	Cancel the entire project	12/4/2023 9:51 AM
360	I am a Clifton resident and homeowner within walking distance to Burnet Woods. I use the park regularly, typically for nature walks and jogging. It is an important respite from the city and work-life that I do not take for granted. I am heartened that people are willing to make investments to improve the park. I do not understand how or why this dog park is at the top of the list. I believe the first focus should be on fixing/removing the large amounts of crumbling pavement that covers a significant portion of the park. Replacing crumbling pavement with a dog park, would be a great way to do that. It could incorporate bike/pedestrian paths and return more nature to the park. Since it's beginning, nearly 150 years ago, Burnet Woods has been slowly cut down to its current size, nearly half its original designation. People all believe that each small and large development that displaced the natural areas of the park was of value, and they wouldn't be wrong, but every small development adds up into massive displacement over time. When we plan development, especially affecting our highly valued and limited natural park space, we need to be thinking not just about what some people may want today, but the impact 10 years from now and 100 years from now. In my opinion, this is yet again, another cutting down of our limited natural space to give it to a small group of people who want it for their short term wants. There is a simple way that the park board can have its cake and eat it too! We know that we are going to have to do something with the pavement zones of the park at some point. Integrate the dog park into a plan to improve the unused/under utilized crumbling pavement zones. There are multiple locations that could work, the roundabout in the middle of the park that teenagers use to do donuts. It's a huge empty plate of deteriorating pavement in the middle of the park, we need to do something about it, why not have the dog park there? The currently closed off road from the lake to the bandstand, it may be too steep for a park, but there is room at the top of the hill for a dog park there. The pavement around the	12/4/2023 9:41 AM

New Burnet Woods Dog Park Update - We Want to Hear from You

bandstand is wide enough for a freeway, is there a way to fix that area and include a dog park? The citizens of Clifton and Cincinnati deserve more. We will not be pushed into accepting something inferior that we do not want. We need this to be part of a larger plan that improves the park and takes into account the long term future of the entire space. This entire process has been catered to a small group of people who seemed to be solely focused on getting a dog park somewhere in Clifton and do not care about Burnet Woods. The park board needs to think bigger than what a "Pop-up Puppy Party" group wants. They may say the community wants it, but it seems to me that we don't, just this small group of people. I was at the Clifton Community Golf Outing, which was hijacked by the Puppy Party, and I can confirm that most people there go every year and enjoy the community and comradery, and don't care about the puppy party. It was shame they made this community building event into a fundraiser for a divisive issues. So yes, I will show up on your list for people who donated, even that list includes people who do not want this. I would be happy to talk with the park board or anyone interested in coming up with better ideas, but it looks to me like they are not interested in better ideas, just whatever the Pop-up-Pup-Party wants. Kyle Murray 3439 Whitfield Ave 513-295-0266 gratefulmurray@yahoo.com

361	While this is a lovely design that could be implemented in another green space with less historical roots, I do not believe this should be executed in Burnet Woods. Burnet is home to so many wonderful flora and fauna that would be put under distress and possibly displace them if a major construction project were to take place. Please reconsider implementing this design in Burnet.	12/4/2023 9:30 AM
362	Real grass instead of turf.	12/4/2023 9:22 AM
363	The best thing you could possibly do is STOP PUTTING DOWN PLASTIC!!!!!!!!!! This is damn disgrace. This project should NOT go through. The neighborhood does NOT want and does NOT need this. We have a 90 acre greenspace you will be destroying for GENERATIONS if this goes through. Studies on dog parks show they are bad for dogs, bad for people, cause injuries and deaths to dogs from owners who don't correct their dogs. Studies on dog parks also show that they do NOT increase foot traffic. This project is a complete travesty that MUST BE STOPPED. WASTE OF TAXPAYER FUNDS!	12/4/2023 9:10 AM
364	We don't WANT a dog park!!! You are not listening to the public on this issue.	12/4/2023 8:35 AM
365	It is so small that it is a waste of space and money. What, 3 dogs can fit and run around? The local community doesn't want it, yet it is still be pushed. What a waste...	12/4/2023 8:19 AM
366	Leave it as open green space. This "upgrade" is not needed.	12/4/2023 8:14 AM
367	First I think it looks great and am very excited that we are adding this component to the public space of our neighborhood. My only thought is that maybe since the park is so close to the sidewalk, there could be some plantings that are a bit taller along the fence line outside of the park "proper" so that folks walking by, who might be anxious about dogs, don't feel overwhelmed? Sometimes well intentioned dogs like to bark at anyone passing by. I think this is a great use of park space and might overall bring more Clifton residents to the park for the right reasons, and cut down on some of the more inappropriate uses of the park.	12/4/2023 7:53 AM
368	Please don't. Leave Burnet Woods alone. I live and work in the community and do NOT want this.	12/4/2023 7:26 AM
369	Get synthetic anything and everything OUT!!! Get native plants that are low maintenance IN. Make them ABUNDANT. We don't want your astroturf. If you don't want to pay someone to maintain actual grass, then maybe these dogs don't need a park. Understand how green spaces and ecosystems AND DOGS work. Quit letting Zoomers on CAD. They're not qualified.	12/4/2023 7:04 AM
370	As it's currently designed, the dog park doesn't seem to be big enough to comfortably fit many dogs/owners. The size is reminiscent of the Washington Park Dog Park which gets uncomfortably crowded and lends itself to easily agitated dogs being triggered by the lack of freedom to roam. Extending the dog park further into Burnett woods while still maintaining the integrity of the Woods and the natural habitats it provides to other creatures would be my suggestion. Or at least create an area somewhat reminiscent of a dog run so that dogs would have the ability and freedom to actually gain benefit from being off-leash.	12/4/2023 6:53 AM
371	The whole thing is a terrible. Idea. As a Cincinnati resident, I'm incredibly disappointed by this direction. If this is completely unavoidable, the smaller the footprint, the better.	12/4/2023 3:07 AM
372	We DO NOT want this dog park. The plastic is bad for the environment. Please don't take	12/4/2023 12:43 AM

New Burnet Woods Dog Park Update – We Want to Hear from You

away one of the best green spaces in the area. This is a waste of money that could be used to benefit the community in a much better way.

373	Do not destroy a park and native plants to install a dog park. Period. This is a travesty to even consider finding and completing this project. I do not support this endeavor at all.	12/4/2023 12:25 AM
374	I think it is a wonderful design and will bring the community of dog owners together. I take my dog to several Cincinnati dog parks. The water for the dogs within the park area causes issues of aggression with dogs that do not like to share their bowls with other dogs. Also, as we hear about the new virus spreading throughout the country without a vaccine available, communal drinking bowls within the park are not safe. It is best to place the drinking fountain and bowls in the gated entrance area. This way owners can choose to let their dogs partake in communal drinking. This eliminates bowl aggression and gives owners control over possible spread of illness to their dogs. Several of the dog parks in Cincinnati use this model to keep our pets safe. Please consider this vital change to protect the dogs! Thank you!	12/3/2023 11:22 PM
375	This is a horrible idea. Please reconsider!	12/3/2023 11:08 PM
376	Has this been checked and given the thumbs up by the Audubon society? Burnet woods is a nature preserve first and has been for quite some time. The protected bird species and the important mammal species that live in that small section of nature already have enough human interaction daily without a dog park. Has any thought gone into how those animals would be affected? The owls, Hawks and foxes that live there and the whitetail keep the natural ecosystem in check. Scaring them off or displacing them would have a negative impact and defy the purpose of having a nature preserve	12/3/2023 11:07 PM
377	I have been looking into the effects of this plan a lot recently and not would this be detrimental to the environment and ecosystem of burnet woods, I also couldn't help but notice that a lot of your research and polls weren't even directed for burnet but another park on the area. The burnet woods community does not want this dog park and as advocates for the citizens in the area, you should take our concerns and disapproval into consideration before continuing.	12/3/2023 10:59 PM
378	It's a huge disappointment to me, as a dog owner, regular user of Burnet Woods, and a faculty member in UC's Department of Biological Sciences, that Cincinnati Parks continues to ignore the sizable negative response to this dog park proposal. The dog park should not be built. Period. The community doesn't want it. With so little green space in the Clifton/CUF area, the idea of replacing ~9k sq ft of green space with artificial turf for an unneeded dog park feels decidedly misguided. Why hasn't the park system listened? What incentives exist to ignore public outcry and forge ahead with this project? I'm really disappointed that Cincinnati Parks is choosing this path. Please reconsider, or better yet, save some money on reconsideration and cancel the project entirely!	12/3/2023 10:41 PM
379	Our community has made it loud and clear that we do not want this. By carrying through with these plans, the park board is completely disregarding the thoughts, opinions, and needs of the majority. A green space would get more use than a dog park. This dog park is just going to sit there and take up space.	12/3/2023 10:40 PM
380	Horrible. Careless. Just natural grass or plants please. Wtf be for real	12/3/2023 9:58 PM
381	I would like to urge Cincy Parks to not go through with this dog park. This park would displace and disrupt many species that already call Burnett Woods home.	12/3/2023 9:58 PM
382	Burnet Woods should remain a protected natural area. There is no reason to use synthetic turf in this area and I plead that you listen to the many community members that disagree with this initiative. As a dog owner myself, I am happy with taking my dog to Burnet Woods without a dog park. He enjoys it as is. Especially without PLASTIC GRASS! I do not want this beautiful nature park to turn into theme park. If the dog park must go on, I urge you not to use artificial grass. I have been to several dog parks in other cities that use regular grass and they are fine parks.	12/3/2023 9:52 PM
383	No, just no. Why out synthetic anything in an outdoor park? Seems completely foolish, and is bad for the environment. Also you are just spending money on an unnecessary project. If a new dog park is a must, fence in an existing grass area. Full stop	12/3/2023 9:37 PM
384	Your failure to follow proper procedure for community engagement and ignoring the voices who represent the community is inexcusable. CTM voted on dog park principles and this proposal does not meet the criteria.	12/3/2023 9:18 PM

New Burnet Woods Dog Park Update - We Want to Hear from You

385	My dogs and I are looking forward to the new park.	12/3/2023 9:12 PM
386	As a trained horticulturist and steward of public greenspaces, I am perplexed why this project is getting so much support, especially considering the Park Board should know better. It doesn't matter that the turf is PFAS free, or that there will be a bioswale to prevent runoff. These are solutions to minimize the damage that is being treated as an inevitability. The phrase "not in my backyard" I think holds a bit more weight when it is everybody's collective backyard that we entrust our local government to protect. The claims that supporters of this project make are no different to the existence of a regular (dog park-free) park: people can find community and socialize; free access to nature and the outdoors; room to exercise pets. Burnet Woods already serves these purposes. I love that people want to bring their dogs to Burnet Woods! I love dogs, even. But I see absolutely no reason why the existing landscape couldn't just be augmented a bit, without the need for a new construction that will decrease the community's enjoyment (and ecological well-being) of this treasured space. Please listen to the people who are the most passionate about the land itself, and not the vocal minority who have little to no ecological concerns. I want to trust you.	12/3/2023 9:05 PM
387	You can make it better by NOT making it; scrap the plan. As a Clifton resident, I do not want an unnecessary dog park with synthetic turf in what should be left natural beauty. Uptown dogs have been happily walking the neighborhood and playing in the parks for generations. This is not needed! No matter how much you try to make them "pretty", dog parks are nasty, dirty places. Don't ruin Burnet Woods!!	12/3/2023 8:58 PM
388	We don't want this.	12/3/2023 8:56 PM
389	Because any dog feces will be separated from the ground, they will not decay properly and will render the turf very unsanitary once the antimicrobial chemicals expire. It will also be very difficult to clean	12/3/2023 8:51 PM
390	A synthetic turf dog park is bad for the environment	12/3/2023 8:47 PM
391	There's only so much that can be done with a bad idea. With a 70 million dollar claim in needed repairs, etc., how could any responsible organization be proposing a new structure that is going to cost several hundred thousand dollars with no costs estimates for maintenance, etc., - AND creates a section of Burnet Woods that is ONLY for dog owners. It should also be noted that dog owners are being advised to avoid dog parks due to disease outbreaks that are occurring. One thing is clear: Cincinnati Parks does NOT understand that you can't just turn dogs loose in a confined space. Shame on Cincinnati Parks! the best solution is to cancel this. If dog owners want a place for themselves, they should look for property that does NOT belong to all of us for the use of all of us. Reports I've seen elsewhere is that dog parks are of limited use by dog owners - and many are smart enough to avoid them altogether.	12/3/2023 8:41 PM
392	Putting a synthetic turf dog park in Burnet Woods is a terrible idea. It will be so harmful to the wildlife that lives there. People can already take their dogs to the park, we don't need this fake park that will negatively impact the biodiversity.	12/3/2023 8:32 PM
393	Please consider canceling the construction of this dog park entirely. Burnet Woods is already perfect as it is - a serene green space where city dwellers can breath fresh and clean smelling air, walk on abundant natural grass and ground, and see animals that are native to that ecosystem. I enjoy the peace and quiet of the pond and the only animal sounds I hear being songs from the birds. I don't want to smell dog urine (which will certainly cling in that turf) and hear constant dog barking. This will not only disrupt park patrons like myself, it will most significantly disrupt the natural flora and fauna that flourish in Burnet Woods currently. I implore you to cancel this dog park project.	12/3/2023 8:21 PM
394	Synthetic turf in Burnet Woods is harmful to the environment and the many species that depend upon the space in Burnet. The park could be improved by NOT including synthetic turf.	12/3/2023 8:20 PM
395	Looks great but a small dog area would be greatly appreciated! A friend of mine lost a frenchie whose back was broken by a large dog at a dog park. We don't go to the Washington dog park for the same reason, big dogs play a lot harder than small dogs!	12/3/2023 8:14 PM
396	no fake grass pls	12/3/2023 7:56 PM
397	No plastic.	12/3/2023 7:48 PM
398	Simply scrap the whole plan. I see the effort to seem environmentally conscious, but you are still encroaching on the park, and as UC student and environmentalist, I am not for it. We need	12/3/2023 7:42 PM

New Burnet Woods Dog Park Update - We Want to Hear from You

to work on expanding green areas in Cincy, not making them smaller, even if it's only 0.2 percent.

399	Don't using plastic grass with forever chemicals that will hurt the Burnett woods habitat. Best would be don't build it!!!!!!! We don't need any more impermeable surfaces in clifton!!!!!!	12/3/2023 7:29 PM
400	DO NOT DEVELOP BURNET WOODS. NO ONE WANTS THIS.	12/3/2023 7:28 PM
401	Make it best by not making it at all. Dog parks are bad for dogs as they cause anxiety and overstimulation under the guise of socialization. What can appear as happy dogs with the zombies are more often stressed out dogs trying to find a place they can retreat to - not an easy thing inside a fenced area with lots of other dogs. They are bad for the environment, even with "good" artificial turf. They destroy the natural habitat of other species, and they remove temperature cooling foliage. Although dogs are supposed to be vaccinated before using dog parks, there is no way to monitor this. They can spread disease not only by way of unvaccinated dogs, but also due to undisposed of waste and lack of natural drainage due to the artificial turf. They can become extremely hot and injure dog's feet. Dog parks are great places for people to socialize, but not for dogs. Why not just add more park benches then? As I have heard many more people and neighborhoods against this than for this, what is the park board getting out of it? Why an insistence on this unwanted destruction of natural habitat? Make it best by not making it at all.	12/3/2023 7:26 PM
402	PLEASE don't finalize these plans. The majority of the community you serve does not want this. The science does not back this up either, specifically around synthetic turf that might not be PSAS free!!! So many are heartbroken with the news of these plans. As a tax paying member of the community, I do not want this, and many of my neighbors, YOUR NEIGHBORS, do not want this. Please rethink this and find another place for this project, or use funds to improve existing dog parks and parks that desperately need attention.	12/3/2023 7:16 PM
403	No part of Burnet Woods should be taken for a dog park. Stop trying to take the park acreage. We have limited park space in the dense community of Clifton.	12/3/2023 7:12 PM
404	This fenced off area is going to look ridiculous. It's ugly and unnecessary. I can already walk my dogs everywhere in the park. I only wish my kid could join the others downstairs at the nature center. I guess you care about dogs more than children. We'd appreciate a wheelchair accessible restroom while you're at it. This is a public space, there is no excuse for the lack of access.	12/3/2023 7:11 PM
405	I am opposed to construction of a dog park in Burnet Woods. Especially the planned location. It is too close to residences. It is too close to the library. It will ruin the beautiful entrance way to the park. I do not understand why dogs should get one of the loveliest areas in the park. Nor why anyone would want to fence in and pave over a beautiful natural spot.	12/3/2023 7:02 PM
406	Please do not do this. The community does not want it. Hot plastic covered in dog poop has no place in Burnett woods	12/3/2023 7:00 PM
407	Don't install a park. Stop pushing an agenda nobody wants.	12/3/2023 6:52 PM
408	Please do not do this. Nobody wants this and it would ruin the park.	12/3/2023 6:47 PM
409	There should not be a dog park in Burnet Woods	12/3/2023 6:38 PM
410	I believe that adding a turf dog park to Burnet woods will disrupt the species that currently live there, and I think that noise should be considered past the extent of adding a prairie. Many native birds reside in Burnet Woods and this would cause disruption to the natural ecosystem and species.	12/3/2023 6:37 PM
411	The design looks great and very well planned out!!	12/3/2023 6:26 PM
412	I am absolutely opposed to building the dog park in the current proposed location. There are 89 other acres to use. Move it somewhere else in Burnet Woods, away from homes and the library. And please don't ruin the lovely entrance to the park with fencing and paving. I walk in the park often, it is a peaceful place, and I dread having to walk by the noise and the smells that will result from the dog park.	12/3/2023 6:23 PM
413	Get rid of the artificial turf. Not opposed to dog park but concerned about the ecological risks for artificial turf.	12/3/2023 6:21 PM
414	Don't do it at all. It's unnecessary and will destroy part of Burnet Woods. This is not a real	12/3/2023 6:16 PM

New Burnet Woods Dog Park Update - We Want to Hear from You

survey. It asks only one open-ended question. The whole dog park project has been forced on the community from the beginning despite continuous, organized protest based on scientific data. It is a travesty and the Parks Board should be ashamed. The citizens of Cincinnati are NOT being served by this waste of money and incompetent leadership.

415	The public has made it more than clear that we do not want this. Our local parks continue to go against the wishes of the public, while not improving life for those involved. Do better.	12/3/2023 6:07 PM
416	Please use real grass.	12/3/2023 5:55 PM
417	I think this is a fantastic idea. I've lived in Clifton for 18 years and never had a close dog park to take my dog too who loves to play with other dogs. However, I would reconsider using artificial turf. Even if it is PFAS free I believe real grass or even allowing clover and dandelions to grow would be a better choice. This would give better habitats to native wildlife like insects and birds and overall I think dogs prefer to play in the real dirt than anything else. Additionally, artificial turf could create problems during high precipitation events. Artificial turf has much more water runoff than real grass which could overwhelm sewer systems and carry dog waste into rivers without going through wastewater treatment. I do see there is plans for a bioswale to prevent runoff, but it would be better if there was no need for one of these at all. Additionally, artificial turf get much warmer in the sun than natural vegetation. This could be problems for dogs that do not take to heat well. My dog for one would collapse and make us drive him home if he got too hot in the summer while walking. Adding artificial turf in the middle of a city could negatively impact urban heating and considering we are in the middle of a city we should be trying to prevent this not make it worse. I see you are trying to create an environmentally friendly park but some of your plans seem to contradict this fact. The last concern I have about this is wondering if artificial turf could possibly hurt dogs who try and eat grass. How could them attempting to eat artificial turf affect their health? I would like more information on this before taking my dog to a park that may be harmful if he tries to eat the fake grass. I would like to express though that I am so excited for this project but I would just like this to be the best environment for my dog as possible before deciding how much we take him to this park.	12/3/2023 5:39 PM
418	Do not develop on this land	12/3/2023 5:27 PM
419	1) Do not carve up the natural green space in Burnet Woods to install a limited use dog park. 2) Find an alternative location, outside of BW, and do not use any artificial turf. Even without PFAs, if such a thing exists, turf reflects immense heat and the plastic will degrade into the environment.	12/3/2023 5:22 PM
420	If we absolutely have to have a dog park (which we don	12/3/2023 5:21 PM
421	You did not involve the public in this - most people in the community are against it and you keep moving forward. Very disappointing.	12/3/2023 5:17 PM
422	Please do not do this. We do not need another dog park. Hard pass. Hard no.	12/3/2023 5:10 PM
423	Synthetic turf doesn't belong here or anywhere. Keep Burnet Woods natural	12/3/2023 5:10 PM
424	You can leave it as is - a park for people. I walk my dogs on a leash as I have for many years. I have never brought my dogs to a dog park. It is too uncertain what dogs are there are if they will be friendly to my dog.	12/3/2023 5:05 PM
425	Do not make a dog park in Burnet Woods	12/3/2023 4:50 PM
426	Please don't take away green space from native species. Dog parks aren't necessary and in fact aren't great for dogs anyway	12/3/2023 4:25 PM
427	It looks great!! Can't wait to bring my dog to have lots of fun. There are so many local dogs in the area that will benefit in a huge way from this.	12/3/2023 4:09 PM
428	Don't make the dog park.	12/3/2023 4:09 PM
429	How have you considered protecting the bird population in the area and ensuring they still feel safe to nest there? Is this really necessary? What about the environmental impact of water runoff in an already urban area?	12/3/2023 4:05 PM
430	Thank you for all of the hard work that has been put into this! The park looks lovely. Is there anything more you can tell the community about the turf? I see that you are planning to use	12/3/2023 4:02 PM

New Burnet Woods Dog Park Update - We Want to Hear from You

something that is PFAS free - is there a particular product that you are considering? Could you tell us more about the sustainability of the product?

431	The best thing you can do to make this better is to NOT DO IT. The community does NOT want it. You have consistently ignored the community's wishes and feedback. PLEASE STOP.	12/3/2023 4:00 PM
432	I absolutely and unequivocally do not support any form of synthetic dog park put into Burnett Woods. Any form of this installation disrupts crucial ecosystems for native wildlife in one of Cincy's most treasured urban green spaces! Please hear your community when they continue to say how much we DO NOT want this dog park!	12/3/2023 3:44 PM
433	I really believe with the community outcry, the lack of transparency, the putting off of much needed improvements to BW that would benefit the whole community including making trailside accessible, the removal of natural space to be replaced by heat trapping, toxic, artificial turf, the proximity of the park to neighbors, the lack of criteria the park met according to the park's own experts, that you shouldn't be building this feature at all. I do like the addition of gardens and I think these features would be welcomed by the community and the 100s of kids who visit the nature center each year. If you do go through with the construction, I implore you not to use the artificial turf. I've seen many small dog parks including in Washington DC that use gravel, wood chips or other natural material and when it needs a rest, give it a rest. Considering the sum of the comments, it is obvious that people really hate the artificial turf and had you done the proper community engagement prior you could have avoided much of this descension. You should also offer noise absorbing vegetation on the side of the dog park that faces the apartment building. Also you should make Trailside accessible, have the bathrooms available for all park users and fix the stone steps before someone really gets hurt. This project smacks of cronyism and elitism.	12/3/2023 3:43 PM
434	Please do not install this dog park. The artificialization of Burnet woods, in any amount, is a degradation of the space. The park is an important space for local wildlife, and the dog park will inhibit natural life there, especially for birds. I will do anything in my power to stop this dog park from being built.	12/3/2023 3:42 PM
435	Cancel this project.	12/3/2023 3:31 PM
436	I don't want a dog park in my neighborhood park	12/3/2023 3:30 PM
437	Please step back from the currently proposed location that would unnecessarily pave a drainage area. Please select a location that is already paved or degraded, that already has water plumbed to the existing site, and that has direct drainage to a sanitary sewer, not to a stormwater runoff drain.	12/3/2023 3:24 PM
438	Please don't take out the natural trees that are there. Dog parks need shade and the new trees that you plant will never be that shade. Also dogs like grass not astroturfing. Please keep grass and dirt.	12/3/2023 3:14 PM
439	I was in City Council chambers for the February 14th, 2023 hearing on "forever chemicals." There are known environmental and health hazards of artificial turf, and even the so-called "safer" Third Generation TPE infills treated with antimicrobials to reduce the odor caused by bacteria growing on canine effluvia are potentially harmful to the dogs using the park, to their owners, and to the wildlife in Burnet Woods. Not only will the "blades" of plastic grass break off from dog toenails, as can be seen at Washington Park and enter our watershed via storm water drainage, the infill required under the turf and on top is something no good steward of a natural respite for all like Burnet Woods would entertain. The dog park infill sold by the synthetic turf industry because artificial turf in dog parks stinks of ammonia is called TPE. TPE infill is tiny beads of encapsulated silica sand—a known carcinogen—treated with Microban or other antimicrobial and then poured on top of the artificial turf in dog parks to hold the blades of "grass" upright. The infill must be replenished after torrential downpours and when depleted by daily wear and tear. The tiny beads tend to migrate out of the turf, often on dogs' feet and fur, and be taken home. But much of it will end up outside the dog park, in the surrounding lawn and woodland, and will wash into the Burnet Woods lake. These tiny beads will be consumed by earthworms as they tunnel, by birds as they eat grit to aid their digestion, and by fish, and in this way the chemicals in the beads will make their way into the food chain, and the water we drink. Independent testing has proven that turf manufacturers are relying on an EPA test that only reveals a narrow subset of PFAS. Municipalities that contracted for "PFAS free" artificial turf were unable to sue the manufacturers after independent testing proved the turf they spent millions on was not in fact PFAS free. https://www.eenews.net/articles/our-community-has-been-deceived-turf-wars-mount-over-pfas/	12/3/2023 3:08 PM

The turf manufacturers are engaging in “greenwashing” when they claim they have a product that is “PFAS-free”. <https://theintercept.com/2019/10/08/pfas-chemicals-artificial-turf-soccer/> ...” Environmental groups have taken issue with the health risks of turf. And the Children’s Environmental Health Center of the Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai deemed the fake grass so dangerous it called for a moratorium on new artificial fields in 2017. ... “We’re seeing unexplained levels of fluorine-based compounds in all of the eight samples of turf grass blades we’ve looked at,” says Jeff Gearhart of the Ecology Center, a nonprofit environmental research group based in Michigan that tested the turf blades.... “When you extrude plastic, it’s like a cookie cutter,” explained Graham Peaslee, a professor of nuclear physics at the University of Notre Dame who has spent the last five years studying PFAS compounds. Without the PFAS, the rigid plastic used to make the turf durable clogged up the extruding machines that make the turf. “So they added fluorochemicals and now it runs through the extruders just fine.” While other chemicals can also ease the turf-making process, “the fluorinated ones work the best,” said Peaslee, who likened the PFAS in turf to “chemical hitchhikers” that are left over from the processing rather than used as ingredients.... Kyla Bennett, PEER’s science policy director, criticized the [EPA] for not offering evidence for its characterization of the risk from turf as low.... Bennett and a friend went to a sports field near her home in Massachusetts while new turf was being installed. She secured two samples and sent one of the turf pieces to a lab to be analyzed for the presence of specific PFAS chemicals. The tests on the turf came back positive for a short-chain PFAS chemical (known as 27619-97-2) that was the subject of risk reports sent to the EPA between 2007 and 2011. While there is little published about the health effects of this chemical, one of those reports, submitted by DuPont in 2009, noted that some rats died after being exposed to the compound. Another noted that the chemical-induced chromosomal aberrations in hamsters’ ovary cells. Nevertheless, the chemical was approved for use and is produced in large quantities, according to EPA records. Bennett sent the other turf piece to the Ecology Center, which found that PFAS were also in the blades of the turf. The center used a new method known as a “total fluorine” analysis. Using this technique, researchers can get a total signal for all of the PFAS that are present in products as opposed to just the 30 or so that they are now able to identify and test for individually.”... Not only does artificial turf require many hours of Parks staff upkeep, as it has to be hosed off regularly and scrubbed with enzymatic cleaners, it is also unbearably hot for many months in the summer: the physical properties of artificial turf—dark pigments, low-density mass, and the inability to vaporize water and cool the surrounding air—make it particularly efficient at increasing its temperature when exposed to the sun. The need remove full and heavy garbage cans filled with canine feces regularly will also create a strain on Parks employees, and a smelly disgusting experience at the “front door” of Burnet Woods. This is not just bad for dogs who can’t sweat to cool themselves, it also can contribute to the “heat island effect” that plagues the urban neighborhoods that surround Burnet Woods who depend on Burnet Woods to cool the air. <https://www.unlv.edu/news/release/study-finds-artificial-turf-may-be-too-hot-summer-use> The residents of Corryville—whose neighborhood Burnet Woods is closest to—and CUF have fewer trees than Clifton residents and are at more risk during heat emergencies. It is Corryville and CUF residents too who are already overburdened with toxics and at more risk of the long-lasting health effects of PFAS and phthalates. An expenditure of \$300,000 of public funds that the Park Board has earmarked for the Clifton Gaslight P.U.P.P. group’s request will come back to bite, pun intended, the city in the future as the expected life cycle of these products is only 8–10 years. When the artificial turf needs to be replaced, will public funds again be used to pay for an unnecessary amenity given that dog owners already can walk their dogs on leash anywhere in Burnet Woods? In addition, were the Park Board to realize that artificial turf in Burnet Woods was a bad idea for the reasons stated above, it would be expensive to return the site to natural turf, as the discarded product can’t be recycled. As responsible stewards of the environment, we all must reject products that are environmentally unconscionable. <https://www.theatlantic.com/science/archive/2019/12/artificial-turf-fields-are-piling-no-recycling-fix/603874/> For these reasons and more than I can relay in such a short message I am asking you to not bulldoze living soil that sequesters carbon and provides food and shelter for the wildlife that needs us as responsible caretakers of this planet we all depend on. I trust that the Park Board can choose to be the responsible entity that tells the Clifton Gaslight P.U.P.P. group that Burnet Woods is not the place for an artificial turf dog park, and that the land next to Good Sam on MLK is a compromise all can get behind.

None of the designs. Isn't any park a dog park? Adding in a specific area seems like a waste of money, and including artificial turf seems wrong to put in a perfectly good park. Even if it is PFAS free (there's hundreds of thousands of PFAS and none are regulated, so you don't really know that), the turf is still taking away from natural land in the park. If you want more trees and better runoff capturing, add that without the unnecessary artificial stuff and blocking off usable land.

New Burnet Woods Dog Park Update - We Want to Hear from You

441	THis is a terrible idea that is NOT supported by the community. It was railroaded in by a few Clifton residents who have personal friends on the Parks Board. This is a classic case of local government failing the community it is supposed to represent. CHECK THE RESEARCH. Dog parks are very negative places with negative impacts on communities. Most major cities have stopped building dog parks.	12/3/2023 2:59 PM
442	Please do not put this in. Creating community spaces is important, destroying natural spaces to do so is violence. Remember the research. Actually listen to residents. Do better for the parks and nature.	12/3/2023 2:59 PM
443	As many forestry experts and residents have stated, replacing natural grass and trees with artificial turf destroys so many ecosystems and disrupts natural rainwater drainage, decomposition, and does not allow the ground to dissipate heat naturally on hot days. It also requires parks staff to clean the turf of foliage, dead animals, pests, and dog feces. If you could just leave the grass as it is, you wouldn't disrupt those natural processes. Don't come in and tear down trees and foliage, don't replace the grass with turf.	12/3/2023 2:58 PM
444	Design is mid. It would take away from the park's natural beauty. The dog park project should be abandoned as it is the wish of the general public. Protect the wildlife and the birds and protect your relationship with the public by genuinely listening to their opinions.	12/3/2023 2:56 PM
445	The dog park will take away from what little nature space is available for native species, and this could easily be non turf.	12/3/2023 2:46 PM
446	the proposed dog park is a bad idea and a waste of money	12/3/2023 2:13 PM
447	Long time resident of the gaslight here and frequent visitor of Burnet Woods. Please find a new, better suited place for the dog park! The community wants Burnet to remain as is for current wildlife, green space, etc. thank you!	12/3/2023 1:49 PM
448	No artificial turf, please. Keep the necessary biodiversity of Burnet Woods intact.	12/3/2023 1:29 PM
449	The design is fine, but no turf! No lawn grass either. Plant something native that will serve a similar purpose.	12/3/2023 1:12 PM
450	It's horrible idea! No community engagement. There is no PFAS free artificial turf. You can make it better by leaving the last remaining meadow of Burnet Woods alone. There have been many untruths stated about this project from those that are proponents. There are more against that for a dog park at this location.	12/3/2023 1:05 PM
451	This location is horrible! Too close to homes and the library. We already have enough noise to deal with here! Please don't add barking dogs and additional cars to the mix! Burnet Woods is our sanctuary!	12/3/2023 1:04 PM
452	Most people in the community do NOT want this dog park! Dogs already have a park! The same park - Burnet Woods - that the people have. Please respect the wishes of Cincinnatians, like myself, who do not want a dog park in BW.	12/3/2023 1:01 PM
453	Please, please don't do this. I already enjoy the park with my dog, on leashed walks. This is going to create waste, trash, smells, noise, and change the serenity of the park.	12/3/2023 12:59 PM
454	You are being dishonest and manipulative in the wording of this survey. You know that only a minority wants this in that location! ALL of the environmentalists and people knowledgeable about Burnet Woods unique ecosystem want it in A DIFFERENT LOCATION! There have been NO MEETINGS ABOUT THIS LOCATION ! QUIT LYING. DO NOT PUT YOUR RECREATIONAL DEVELOPMENT IN OUR OLD GROWTH FOREST ON BARRED OWL TERRITORY! .2 PERCENT IS TOO MUCH! GET YOUR HANDS OFF BURNET!	12/3/2023 12:58 PM
455	Please take this design to another site that has already been developed and will not remove greenspace.	12/3/2023 12:39 PM
456	Do not build this. Protect the woods. No clearing of trees is worth making this project.	12/3/2023 12:30 PM
457	My suggestion would be to add a bench or two on the outside of the fenced dog park. Some who may not want to enter the dog park may instead enjoy sitting and watching from the outside. Overall, a beautiful design.	12/3/2023 12:27 PM
458	I don't like any of the designs and I think it's a bad idea to create a section of synthetic turf in Burnet Woods. The use of plastic and the heat it produces is would be bad for the rest of the	12/3/2023 12:25 PM

New Burnet Woods Dog Park Update – We Want to Hear from You

park and not worth the cost. I also don't see the need to give an entire section of the park to dogs when the park itself is already very dog friendly. It feels like a waste to me.

459	Our family is very excited about this dog park. We are looking forward to getting together with neighbors and their dogs in this beautiful park. Great job incorporating feedback around sound and the type of turf.	12/3/2023 12:03 PM
460	I sincerely hope you do the right thing and do not move forward with this dog park. Many, many Cincinnati residents have made it clear they don't want this and feel the board & Cincy Parks overall is ignoring this clear & decisive feedback.	12/3/2023 11:56 AM
461	Please do not use turf! It gets so hot during the summer and is terrible for the environment. You can have a dog park without turf.	12/3/2023 11:50 AM
462	I do not want the dog park in Burnet Woods. What I do want is for the park management to authorize deferred maintenance to be completed ie. remove the trash from the lake, fix the concrete on the bridge along the lake, remove the sections of fallen trees from the road along the lake, pick up trash along the lake from fishermen on a daily basis and get a lawnmower for Burnet Woods. Thanks for listening, Greg Voorhies 138 Glenmary Ave.	12/3/2023 11:34 AM
463	Cancel it	12/3/2023 11:34 AM
464	Literally nobody wants this! This is the absolute worst place for a dog park. The amount of people that are stating they don't want this and the Cincinnati parks are just continuing with what they want shows how the parks do not care about what we need or want. If this project goes through good luck getting any support from Cincinnati going forward.	12/3/2023 11:32 AM
465	Remove all of the turf, use only natural elements. Plant more trees in the direct area. Only 3-4 benches needed.	12/3/2023 11:28 AM
466	Using a biological barrier to create the parameter instead of the fence would be most environmentally and aesthetically pleasing to the current flow of the space.	12/3/2023 11:26 AM
467	Please stop, nobody wants this.	12/3/2023 11:23 AM
468	Don't build it. Dog parks aren't good for most dogs anyways and the community who uses this park doesn't even want it in the first place. This doesn't benefit the community whatsoever.	12/3/2023 11:06 AM
469	Please don't build this turf nightmare. Burnett is a beautiful small green space as it is. The community is overwhelmingly against this project, so please listen to us.	12/3/2023 11:00 AM
470	Cincinnati will be taking so much away from our nature, our birds and many other animals by doing this. We need the true greenery. We need to come together and not let this happen.	12/3/2023 10:54 AM
471	Be reasonable and listen that this is not the right location	12/3/2023 10:50 AM
472	Please just give up	12/3/2023 10:49 AM
473	NO TURF USE REAL GRASS	12/3/2023 10:41 AM
474	no dog park!!!! preserve nature!!	12/3/2023 10:33 AM
475	I love that lawn at Burnet Woods. That space is where me and my friends would lay in the grass in the summer and read together. It's right on Clifton avenue across from the apartment where we all lived. The idyllic location made it perfect for running into old friends and meeting new ones. Picnics were ate, footballs were tossed, and lives were lived on that lawn. The idea that this urban oasis might be taken away and replaced by a hot, noisy, and clunky dog park deeply saddens me. No matter how many adjustments are made to the park, the fact that this is taking away vital community green space will always be there. Many people I know are outraged by this decision, so why continue? It feels that a very small amount of community members want this park. Please listen to all of the voices of the community—not just the ones with power and influence. We value our parks and want to continue to cherish them for years to come.	12/3/2023 10:25 AM
476	listen to the community you serve. do not build this dog park. devote resources to conservation efforts. this park is irresponsible.	12/3/2023 10:22 AM
477	Not in support of the dog park or the synthetic turf. No plastic in the park.	12/3/2023 10:20 AM
478	This is terrible land management and does not represent industry standards and is tone deaf to the needs of the community. I think it could be made better by scraping the project and doing a	12/3/2023 10:17 AM

New Burnet Woods Dog Park Update – We Want to Hear from You

	prairie planting in the area.	
479	DO NOT DO THIS. Please listen to your community and the science. Burnet Woods should not be the location for this project. Work with the community to find another location. Please do not do this to Burner Woods. Your community is BEGGING you not to.	12/3/2023 10:15 AM
480	Don't build the dog park. Just plant native trees.	12/3/2023 10:08 AM
481	I'm concerned for the long term health of the trees that will be planted in this dog park. Any amount of concrete around the root zone of a tree can damage roots and shorten the lifespan of the tree. Unless it is permeable, it will prevent air and water from getting to the roots and can restrict growth. Pea gravel is also not an ideal substrate to place around the base of a tree. It will eventually collect bits of dirt/soil, and weeds will grow. These weeds may be harder to remove than if they were growing in dirt or mulch, since it is difficult to use a string trimmer/weed eater in gravel, and the maintenance crew may turn to chemical herbicides out of convenience. Natural, organic mulch will help absorb water for the tree's root zone, provide nutrients, prevent soil compaction, and cool the soil. If you use mulch, you can also grow a native ground cover that will help suppress weeds, add to pollinator habitat, and improve the soil.	12/3/2023 10:04 AM
482	Please do not build this astro turf dog park. Your community has been asking you to cease this plan due to the numerous, well-researched, well-documented negative impacts turf dog parks have on the surrounding environment and on the pups and humans alike—please listen to us. I do not think dog parks are good for the community in general and would like to see the whole plan scrapped. There are other dog parks in the nearby area that could use these funds to be repaired instead. If you believe this park is a must-have based on research that I don't have access to, please, please do not put in plastic grass. Please listen to the community and to science. The data is there, look into it. Turfs are a detriment to the environment. Please keep our parks beautiful and our community happy and our wildlife safe—please listen.	12/3/2023 10:00 AM
483	Do not touch Burnet Woods. Do not build this dog park.	12/3/2023 9:48 AM
484	Please do not do this. Despite the small percentage of space the dog park would occupy, there is no reason to use taxpayer money to further disrupt and destroy what little natural space we have left to renovate an area dogs are already permitted in.	12/3/2023 9:46 AM
485	There is no research that supports the use of marketed "PFAs free" turf as actually being free of those chemicals, not to mention the stench that will be unleashed. So why the heck are you trying to use turf in a dog park where our dogs and children and selves can be exposed to the negative impact? This makes no rational sense. Especially as you're planning on building it near a lake. Chemicals near water sources....never a good idea. I don't know who stands to make money off of this planned project but I'm guessing it's whoever is pushing this project along. This dog park is not worth the money you're trying to spend. Do better. Talk to environmental experts, because you all clearly did not pass science. If this is your version of making the most environmentally friendly dog park possible, you should be fired. Signed, A Cincinnati dog owner	12/3/2023 9:34 AM
486	Thank you for taking the time to elicit additional feedback on the proposed dog park in Burnet Woods. I, along with many other local residents, feel that the optimal design would be to place the dog park in a location outside of BW. The funds could be used to continue to maintain and not destroy the existing park.	12/3/2023 9:24 AM
487	Bad idea.	12/3/2023 9:08 AM
488	It might be a fine dog park, but how can you spend so much on frills for dogs and their owners? And in a location that many local residents object to? Seems like it is time to improve human facilities in this park and/or move this plan. Won't dogs dig in the pea gravel and planting beds? Maintenance costs? Why does Parks spend so much on dogs? Do citizens get a say on that, or only on which dog"design" to choose? I choose to spend on humans.	12/3/2023 8:57 AM
489	No turf, leave the grass	12/3/2023 8:45 AM
490	The best design for a dog park in Burnet Woods is to not put a dog park in Burnet Woods. Instead of continuing to carve up an existing urban gem of a park, work to purchase new property that can be used for a dog park. If the funds are not available or donors can not be found, then we probably do not need another dog park. Once habitat is destroyed it is hard to replace. Why do we have to continually fight to maintain an important urban green space? I walk through Burnet Woods multiple times per week, and it is relatively peaceful, particularly	12/3/2023 8:38 AM

New Burnet Woods Dog Park Update - We Want to Hear from You

with the traffic abatement. Why do we need to destroy that with a concentration of barking dogs. And, why should nearby property adjacent property owners be subjected to this? Again, if we really need a new dog park, find the donors and put it in a low impact location outside of an existing park.

491	No! This is not good for all the species that rely on this area. Please advise the Audubon Society for their input.	12/3/2023 8:24 AM
492	I don't want a dog park, I want Cincinnati Parks to preserve what tiny scraps of urban and suburban quality woods we have left. It saddens me that Cincinnati Parks keeps wanting to take bites out of areas like this. As a dog owner I don't use dog parks because anymore because dogs end up running around in each other's urine and feces. It's just not a good idea.	12/3/2023 7:56 AM
493	With as many species of birds that depend on this green space in an urban area, is this the best use of Burnet Woods? Is there a large parking lot you could repurpose instead of taking away natural green space from these birds and other species??	12/3/2023 7:29 AM
494	Don't build it in burnet woods. It's been opposed by the community and would have a negative impact to the woods. Build it in dunore where it was originally planned	12/3/2023 6:43 AM
495	My dog won't even go to the bathroom on fake grass only real, please just leave burnet woods as is if you can't keep real grass in the dog park	12/3/2023 5:07 AM
496	Please do not put a dog park in Burnet Woods. This is very disappointing.	12/3/2023 12:53 AM
497	Burnet Woods is not the best location for a dog park. Please no. No dog park in Burnet Woods.	12/3/2023 12:49 AM
498	Hi, The creation of this dog park is not a wise decision. I understand it takes up a small percentage of Burnet Woods, but Burnet Woods is not big to begin with. There is also the matter of altering the landscape and replacing it with concrete and artificial turf. Please preserve Burnet Woods, there are enough dog parks out there. Also, please read this Instagram post from Jordan West on the importance of not building the dog park for the benefit of our other furry friends, the birds. https://www.instagram.com/p/C0VHI_ExRiU/?igshid=MzRIODBiNWFIZA== As a UC alum who loved bird watching in Burnet Woods, preserving it is near and dear to me. Thank you for reading, Elenore L.	12/3/2023 12:44 AM
499	This dog park should not be implemented into this space. Cincinnati already has a lack of natural space left throughout the city and animals and other native creatures have been losing their homes and habitats due to construction here for decades. Keep the beautiful biodiversity still left in Cincinnati and cancel this project!	12/3/2023 12:38 AM
500	There should not be a dog park taking any space in any Cincinnati park. Dogs should be walked, on leashes, by their owners, and picked up after them. If the owners want a fenced in area for their dog, and any other dogs, it should be on their own private property.	12/3/2023 12:10 AM
501	The community does not want this. Don't destroy a beautiful, unique environment for another gaudy turf dog park! Dog parks are a fad and are horrible for dog socialization anyways. As someone who lives a block away from burnet woods with two dogs, I would never take them here. This is not needed, and even if it was, disrupting and destroying an environment so many wild animals thrive in should be criminal. If you want to make this area more attractive to pollinators, less prone to erosion, or improve it in any way, don't choke it out with concrete and plastic. Clifton made the decision a long time ago to stop losing our beautiful little nature preserve to unnecessary developments. This goes against everything the community has and does stand for. Nobody I know supports this, and you are doing this against the will of the community! Put this money to use actually improving something, not trying to make something that appeals to suburban white collar yuppies. Since when does this neighborhood try to change things to appeal to that crowd? What is wrong with you? Save Burnet Woods!!	12/3/2023 12:09 AM
502	Stop building a dog park. We have do little green space in this part of Cincinnati. How absolutely ridiculous to take green space away for dogs.. You are not listening, the people do not want a dog park.	12/2/2023 11:42 PM
503	Please don't create this dog park. It's taking away valuable space for wildlife who use it. Please reconsider the environmental impact a dog park would have on native species who depend on natural green spaces.	12/2/2023 11:28 PM
504	We don't want another dog park! Leave the ecosystem alone!	12/2/2023 11:14 PM
505	Don't do it. I'm appalled at the way you've ignored community input on this issue, including how	12/2/2023 11:12 PM

New Burnet Woods Dog Park Update - We Want to Hear from You

you obviously buried the link for this survey. Shame on you all.

506	You can't make IT better, you can only ruin a beautiful, nature-rich park by putting down astroturf to appease several (or one) donor. Witless and soulless you are for ignoring residents, environmentalists and others in an apparent money/power grab.	12/2/2023 11:10 PM
507	First of all the location of the dog park is beyond bad design. Secondly there should be no artificial elements included in the design. As a proud dog owner and daily walker of Burnet with my dog, I would never allow my dog to play in this park. One of the main reasons is his possible ingestion of turf, which can cause irreparable damage to a dogs' health, let alone the rest of Burnet's ecosystem. Why put in fake grass when natural plant material already exists?! I will continue to walk the beautiful paths with my dog and forgo this terribly designed park. I strongly urge the park board to put their money toward saving vital native plants in this park instead of furthering its future ecological collapse.	12/2/2023 11:09 PM
508	Why does the park board continue to ignore the majority opinion that the dog park is a misguided effort. Instead of recovering brown space in the park you are turning green space into more brown space. What a missed opportunity to be open to alternatives we can all get behind. The park needs so much more than a tiny piece of artificial turf for a small self interested group of people. Sad.	12/2/2023 11:06 PM
509	please no turf	12/2/2023 11:01 PM
510	The best possible version of this project is a non-existent one. The community has made it clear that the construction of this synthetic turf dog park would damage this vital urban habitat, and is unnecessary for dog owners who already have a massive park to walk their dogs through. Listen to the community!	12/2/2023 10:58 PM
511	Please no dog park. It's entirely unnecessary and detrimental to the many animals and plants that call the park home. Don't do this.	12/2/2023 10:28 PM
512	Make it better by leaving it alone and not spending money on this project. This peoject is a waste of city money that could be put towards other deferred maintenance at city parks. Why are you spending money on a project that only adds more maintenance to the park. Also there is no reason people cannot gather with their dogs as the park sits currently. Responsible fiscal spending should win out in times like these.	12/2/2023 10:21 PM
513	No!!! No dog park in Burnet Woods! Listen to the people!	12/2/2023 10:16 PM
514	This a terrible idea & a neighborhood forum should have been held. This was pushed through with private funds and was devoid of any neighborhood or environmental studies.	12/2/2023 10:14 PM
515	No. Divert these funds to housing-first policy for the homeless.	12/2/2023 10:12 PM
516	I love it! What a great way to bring community together! I am not good at looking at something spatially but I think it would be really attractive to the Clifton community. I am not seeing this in the design, but maybe I'm missing it. The Armleder dog park has a large running area for large dogs and then there is also a small dog area that is fenced off. Having a small dog, I thought no this is a great attribute since my dog gets nervous around bigger dogs. Also is there a walking a path that you can take your dog on? That would be great too...similar again to the armleder park. Thank you for all your work on this! I hope it happens soon!!!	12/2/2023 10:00 PM
517	This is ridiculous and so harmful. Thought Burnet was a protected park?	12/2/2023 10:00 PM
518	There should not be a dog park in this community. The environmental issues this dog park would bring would be bad for this area. Leave it alone	12/2/2023 9:58 PM
519	No dog park in burnet woods! Burnet wood is for kids, visitors, and seniors	12/2/2023 9:50 PM
520	I love the design and the thoughtful changes! The reduction in synthetics and the additional trees and meadow are wonderful. Please build this dog park!	12/2/2023 9:29 PM
521	Please no concrete or artificial turf	12/2/2023 9:27 PM
522	There is no such thing as PFAS-free artificial turf. Artificial turf also has to be hosed off periodically and also thrown into a landfill (and is a huge hog of environmental resources). I have a right to clean drinking water - this PFAS-filled plastic grass does not. This is a project that doesn't actually create accessibility - a curb cut is the most stupid way to pretend to increase handicap accessibility. If you actually want to make BW handicap-accessible, put an	12/2/2023 9:22 PM

New Burnet Woods Dog Park Update - We Want to Hear from You

elevator in Trailside Nature Center. This project takes away from the BW we have enjoyed for the past century. We don't need a heat island of toxic plastic grass to enjoy BW.

523	Please just don't do it, guys. This is such a gorgeous park next to UC's campus and downtown Clifton which is seriously otherwise lacking in any green space at all. Bulldozing 8000 sq feet of it to just put FAKE turf back in??? Why?! That's crazy! Turn it into an interpretive site or put the money towards trail maintenance! Make a dog walking trail! Do anything other than destroy part of your own park!	12/2/2023 9:14 PM
524	As the founder of both the @ucornithologyclub and @queerbirdersofcincy, I can personally attest to countless migratory bird species which rely on Burnet Woods as a critical stopover site during both spring and fall migration; Organizations such as the @audubonsociety has deemed Burnet Woods as an IBA (important bird area) for the reasons. Putting a dog park in the middle of an urban green space in which so many species rely on for food, water, shelter, and raising their young is completely and utterly irresponsible. Our community and our local wildlife do NOT want this!!	12/2/2023 9:12 PM
525	Don't do it!! It's taking away from the natural beauty and endangering the wildlife that lives there!	12/2/2023 9:10 PM
526	Park is honestly fine the way it is and the city's money could be invested better into other things. Ngl I think the best way to preserve natural spaces is to leave them the way they are and not interfere by disrupting them physically to install something like this.	12/2/2023 9:10 PM
527	I love the idea of a dog park. I only wish turf was not part of this plan.	12/2/2023 9:03 PM
528	No dog park. Leave it the way it is. Do not replace the natural flora & fauna with turf. The park can still be enjoyed as is for dogs and individuals.	12/2/2023 9:01 PM
529	\$500k for putting plastics in a woods system while eradicating trees? Who is this dog lobby, or is this just another Parks board stunt. Hell no to all of it.	12/2/2023 9:01 PM
530	The only way to be better is to not install the toxic dog park	12/2/2023 8:58 PM
531	The park is already accessible to dogs and there is not enough parking for a dog park.	12/2/2023 8:39 PM
532	Do NOT destroy greenspace with plastic. This is a terrible idea and no one in the community wants this.	12/2/2023 8:35 PM
533	Any design that includes plastic grass should be scrapped. The environmental impact and smell will be awful.	12/2/2023 8:15 PM
534	Please don't put in a dog park 🙏	12/2/2023 8:15 PM
535	This dog park should absolutely not be built. It's a huge threat to native species particularly barred owls, hawks, and red foxes in the area which has limited green space anyway. It's a recognized stopping point for migratory birds as well. A significant increase in dogs in the park will negatively impact these animals not to mention increased traffic, parking, impacts on residents, etc. Cincinnati Parks should know better. If they want development, fix the Trailside nature center!	12/2/2023 7:56 PM
536	Worst idea ever. Look at Jordan West's comments. He's a naturalist and avid birder and raptor enthusiast. He's knowledgeable and well respected in the birding/raptor community. And listen to all the other negative comments. I didn't see one positive one. Please listen to the people and don't go forward with this. Thank you.	12/2/2023 7:43 PM
537	I would prefer the dog park to not be constructed, because I think Burnet Woods should be minimally developed.	12/2/2023 7:43 PM
538	This protected area is not the place for a dog park; it is crucial those in charge of this project find another space for the park.	12/2/2023 7:34 PM
539	Get rid of it entirely! Make it much smaller! Don't give all the parking to dog owners - where will I park when I visit???This was a horrible idea. Being barked at by numerous dogs will not make my walks more enjoyable	12/2/2023 7:28 PM
540	Scrap the entire project. Burnet Woods is the wrong location for this development and removing greenspace from a community during a climate emergency after surviving the hottest year on record is shortsighted and irresponsible. We expect you to be good stewards of our public parks. This demonstrates the opposite.	12/2/2023 7:27 PM

New Burnet Woods Dog Park Update - We Want to Hear from You

541	Don't build it at all	12/2/2023 7:24 PM
542	The Park Board either doesn't know that community engagement needs to start before decisions are made (and if this is the case it's disqualifying, the commissioners are not qualified to be serving in this capacity); or, the Park Commissioners do know that they should have done professional community engagement at the beginning of the process, before decisions were made, and are now unethically trying to create a veneer of community engagement (and if this is the case the commissioners are just sad, unethical people). After watching how the Park Board has operated over the last few years, it's clear to me it's the latter, and I feel sorry for such deeply insecure people who compensate for those insecurities by being underhanded, with public resources no less. I pity you.	12/2/2023 7:11 PM
543	This dog park is disruptive to wildlife residents of the park. Not only that but small dogs could be at risk with such big birds around them. This is one of only places in that area these precious birds can live in peace. Why would you want to develop their habitat to benefit humans when there are plenty of things benefiting humans around Clifton and at that park already. Let the nature be. No dog park. no destruction. Why do we always forget to consider the (wild) animals? Fake grass is also a gross thing you don't need to put anywhere. No. just no on this one.	12/2/2023 6:34 PM
544	Please stop this project you are destroying the eco system to satisfy a few squeaky wheels. If the collective you bring this project to completion. It will bring a black eye and complete lack of trust to the Cincinnati Parks. At present it appears that only certain people and their desires are all that matters to the Park board. A sad day for Cincinnati.	12/2/2023 6:34 PM
545	if you can tell me the percentage of people who really actually wants to spent important funding on this that would be great. Probably 0.2 of 1 percent. Nobody wants to make this project better, we want it to not exist altogether.	12/2/2023 6:20 PM
546	If you want this to be the best design possible it should be somewhere else. Why can't parks get behind projects that bring people together rather than drive them apart. This project has been a disaster from day one due to poor - arrogant - leadership. Time to put limited park resources toward projects that have broad support. I'd love to see more plantings around the park, including around pleasant valley.	12/2/2023 6:17 PM
547	Burnet Woods is too small of a park to support a dog park. These designs involve cutting down valuable existing trees and altering the terrain. How will the artificial turf be cleaned? If with a water hose, where will the runoff drain to? Most owners clean up after their dogs in dog parks. But, some will not. In the summer, the feces and urine will reek and will negatively affect the people walking by on Jefferson, in the park, and the nearby residences as well as the library branch. Will there be dedicated park staff to clean and maintain the dog park? Example of a filthy and dangerous dog park is the Doris Day dog park in Westwood. Why would we think that the Burnet dog park be properly maintained when you don't properly maintain the Doris Day? Why don't you install the Burnet Woods dog park on Martin Luther King Bl in the grassy area since there's no trees to destroy there and the area is larger than the proposed are off of Jefferson? Why have you ignored all of the citizens who've objected to this dog park and are catering to a handful who want it? Why are you spending funds on this dog park when there are so much infrastructure that needs repair and/or replacement?	12/2/2023 6:00 PM
548	Yes to more trees, no to artificial turf and dog park. The community does not want this!	12/2/2023 5:56 PM
549	Do not construct the proposed dog park! Burnet Woods is one of the few natural areas in this part of clifton/corryville. Adding a dog park will negatively impact the many people who live nearby and go to Burnet Woods to be outside in a natural environment	12/2/2023 5:49 PM
550	The dog park is not necessary	12/2/2023 5:36 PM
551	I like the concept of a dog park in Burnet Woods but the synthetic turf is not good for dogs or the environment! So with the current idea I suggest not to build the dog park, or at least stick to natural grass.	12/2/2023 5:27 PM
552	This is the wrong place to put a dog park. Burnet doesnt have much natural green space. Replacing it with artificial turf would be detrimental to the species that live within the park. It could poison groundwater, and harm the surrounding environment. Dogs can walk on leashes in Burnet Woods; there does not need to be an artificial park for them!	12/2/2023 5:21 PM
553	don't build it!!!! massive waste of taxpayer dollars and space that is already utilized for NATURAL growth - NOT TURF GRASS. This project is so tone deaf and useless. People have	12/2/2023 5:18 PM

New Burnet Woods Dog Park Update - We Want to Hear from You

been fighting to leave Burnet Woods natural for years and you keep on showing us these ridiculous plans that no one wants! STOP!

554	Synthetic turf is bad for dogs and for the environment. The community of Cincinnati deserves greenspaces. Many people have spoken out about the negative effects this dog park will bring. Preserve Burnet Woods!	12/2/2023 5:16 PM
555	Do not do this!!!! Do not do this. Please dont do this. No one wants this. This is a waste of money.	12/2/2023 5:11 PM
556	No PFAs & no to dog park -noise smell pollutants to water threat to birds threat to dogs with new serious dog virus being spread in places like this why can't you fix all the need maintenance already needed —WE DONT WANT Any part of it	12/2/2023 5:07 PM
557	Do not build this. If you do, I will sue everyone on the board.	12/2/2023 5:06 PM
558	no dog park! we don't want it!	12/2/2023 4:50 PM
559	After looking at the concepts and designs, I only have one question. Why not keep manicured grass? The astroturf is not very environmentally friendly to start off. It has also been known to reach high temperatures which is unpleasant for both dogs and their owners. Other than the turf issue, the black fencing, adequate seating, and surplus of tree shade looks great!	12/2/2023 4:47 PM
560	- Dogs can be extremely disruptive to native wildlife species. Burnet Woods is a haven for wildlife in an urban area, and building this dog park would be harmful to the wildlife that relies on Burnet as it stands today. - If you do go forward with the dog park, I urge you not to use turf. We need to make intentional choices about preserving our woodlands and greenspaces by keeping them as natural and native as possible. Regardless of it being PFAS-free turf, it is synthetic material amongst a beloved array of native species, and it doesn't belong in Burnet Woods.	12/2/2023 4:41 PM
561	No artificial turf.	12/2/2023 4:39 PM
562	I would appreciate some breed-specific restrictions in the dog park, eliminating pitbulls and Rottweilers from the park.	12/2/2023 4:37 PM
563	The way you can make it better is by not making it at all! :) Burnet Woods is a protected greenspace that serves as a vital habitat for many bird species and other animals and wildlife. Putting ARTIFICIAL TURF in a greenspace doesn't make any sense and the community has been speaking out about this persistently. As a Cincy native and recent UC alumni, I have made a lasting connection with nature thanks to Cincy parks, so I'm extremely disappointed to see a complete dismissal of what the public wants - and for your own selfish reasons. What does the park board stand for? I like to think it stands for preserving the beautiful green spaces we have here in Cincinnati. Preserving the incredible NATURE that we have here. I didn't think the park board would support contributing to global warming, plastic pollution and putting wildlife in danger. Thank you for reading.	12/2/2023 4:36 PM
564	Don't make it. You're putting animals who call Burnet Woods home at risk.	12/2/2023 4:36 PM
565	I do not think this park is a good idea in Burnett woods. I do not want this project to continue	12/2/2023 4:36 PM
566	Please protect the natural environment at Burnet Woods and the vulnerable species that rely on the area. NO to the dog park!!!	12/2/2023 4:27 PM
567	Like many residents, some vocal, some not, I oppose the creation of this dog park. Keep the woods green and support wildlife. This isn't on your master plan and just because someone wanted to fund part of this project, doesn't mean the parks have to accept their money and push this through against the will of the community you're supposed to be serving. Not the right spot especially when you look at the mini parks that the park district owns all down Hamilton. Put it somewhere already set up primarily for recreation, not somewhere that habitat would be destroyed. Thanks	12/2/2023 4:26 PM
568	Don't build this park, Burnet should remain a preserved and be natural. Get rid of the turf and let people walk their dogs in the forest instead.	12/2/2023 4:19 PM
569	nobody needs and/or wants this, do not build this	12/2/2023 4:17 PM
570	Why put it on a slope that trends down toward the stocked lake?? Why does it need to be in the middle if the park greenspace? It would make more sense to put it Somewhere on an edge of the park (or elsewhere) towards a street where the land is already compromised, rather than	12/2/2023 4:04 PM

New Burnet Woods Dog Park Update - We Want to Hear from You

destroying naturalized space. And artificial turf is going to REEK of urine and poop (and who knows what else will be growing in/under there) unless you are fully flushing and cleaning it every day or two (which unfortunately would then hasten pollution into the lake). Really bad choices here.

571	Hi. This is not the place for a dog park. There are many better places such as Mt. Storm or even an unused urban lot. Burnet Woods should be left as much-needed green space	12/2/2023 4:01 PM
572	No Dog Park!	12/2/2023 3:58 PM
573	This is horrible, moronic and heartbreaking. Taking out actual grass to place fake grass is a benefit to no one and nothing and the deforestation and cutting down of many beautiful old trees is entirely unnecessary especially in a park. This is all very upsetting and I'm sure any comment will fall of deaf ears. Another dumb move.	12/2/2023 3:46 PM
574	It's a terrible idea. Don't build it. We city residents have so little greenspace as it is--it's unconscionable for the Park Board to steal this lovely section of it for an unnecessary project that will benefit a few at the expense of the majority of us who enjoy BW as it is. The forever chemicals in the plastic surface are reason enough to cancel this disaster.--Anne Montague, 40-year Clifton resident	12/2/2023 3:29 PM
575	I do not think a dog park is necessary for this park, and is going to spread additional disease among the dogs. Further, this is going to interfere with rare & critical natural habitats of animals who live in the park full time.	12/2/2023 3:28 PM
576	Please do not proceed with a dog park! No synthetic turf of any kind is acceptable. Protect our green space within the city limits. Please survey the neighbors directly impacted by this dog park. The park needs so much work done currently. The money could be used to fix up the park which has so many areas in need of repairs. The noise from barking dogs so close to where people live is not right nor fair. There are other paved areas this dog park could go!!	12/2/2023 3:24 PM
577	Don't use artificial turf to pave over greenspace. We don't want this!	12/2/2023 3:22 PM
578	The community does not want a dog park here. The artificial turf is bad for dogs (even after the included reduction here) because it burns their feet and adds plastic to the environment. Research shows that dog parks are not good ways to socialize dogs. This is a waste of taxpayer money.	12/2/2023 3:18 PM
579	This proposal is incredibly harmful in many ways. Most notably the use of turf; it completely disrupts the natural processes that occur in this park. Do not put native species at risk, listen to the community and stop this project from coming to fruition.	12/2/2023 3:13 PM
580	This area encompasses an area of old growth Beech forest. Once the area is removed or the delicate balance of the environment is disturbed it is lost forever. Surely there are other areas that could be reclaimed in the urban environment that would appeal to the crowd who are looking for the experience of a dog park. Instead of tearing down valuable ecosystems why not reclaim an area (say abandoned lots, buildings, vacant areas of any type) and plant trees, beds, benches- all the things you're including in your plans anyway?	12/2/2023 3:09 PM
581	Dog parks are great in theory but so many dogs get sick and injured from them because of people not being educated about dog body language as well as not vaccinating their dogs. I work it vet med and see all of the injuries and sickness that come from an owner taking their dog to the dog park. They also become infested with worms and intestinal parasites which can be spread to the owners depending on the type of parasites and you can't get them out of the grass or if turf is used lot of chemicals have to be used to sanitize so the park will always be infested with it. Not including all of the bacteria's that urine and the feces carry.	12/2/2023 3:04 PM
582	Burnet Woods is already used by dozens of dedicated dog owners who use the park and leave it the way they find it- woods and wide open spaces. All kinds of wildlife enjoy the Park as it is. It is ridiculous to ask for feedback about the design without asking, first, for feedback about location and the need for a dog park! This survey is patronizing and disrespectful of the opinions of all people who live around the Park, have used it to walk their dogs. The current location will put more pressure on the old and degraded sewer system in the Valley below and pays no attention to the extra resultant demand for parking on Brookline Avenue. The sound of barking dogs is going to scare children and wildlife, and create problems for dog owners who simply want to walk their dog(s) and seek solitude in the process. This entire plan has been hotly contested by all groups involved in the preservation of these woods. Adding more facilities which cost money and need ongoing maintenance while existing structures like water	12/2/2023 2:58 PM

New Burnet Woods Dog Park Update – We Want to Hear from You

fountains, trails and trees have not been maintained is very shortsighted. We don't need more man-made structures in this park. We need more money to help take care of what is already there and being used by those who love the park and frequent it. The project design is irrelevant because the project's need has not been established by seeking community feedback. Another example of putting the cart before the horse!! Bad idea to locate a fixed structure in a park where children can play safely and enjoy the wildlife that has always been there.

583	the community is being railroaded with this garbage design. i hope your leadership lose their jobs; you should all be ashamed.	12/2/2023 2:57 PM
584	Do not use synthetic materials at all. It ruins the park and everyone hates it. You could achieve the same concept by just putting up a big fence in a naturally grassed area with some benches, a poo bag dispenser, and let the dogs run around there. There is no reason to introduce synthetic garbage into the park everyone love so much. The community utterly despises you for continuing to push this trash no one wants.	12/2/2023 2:53 PM
585	The community has made it very clear that they do not want a dog park in burnet woods. No matter the design they have made their dissent heard, so it's time to listen.	12/2/2023 2:52 PM
586	a dog park is a good idea but not in burnet woods!!! please do not move forward with this project	12/2/2023 2:51 PM
587	DO NOT build a dog park in Burnet Woods! The plastic turf is environmentally unsound. IF you want a dog park find an old factory brownfield, NOT Burnet Woods!	12/2/2023 2:48 PM
588	I remain utterly opposed to this waste of money for an already strapped park system. Putting aside the controversial aspects of whether dog parks are even good for dogs (most research indicates that they have no benefit beyond what a well-cared for dog already receives and carry a lot of negatives for dogs), Burnett Woods continues to be the default location for "the next thing," ignoring runoff, temperature effects, impacts on migratory birds, and more. This money would be far better spent on invasive species removal and habitat improvement for flyover birds whose survival literally, yes literally, depends on BW and similar places to complete their annual migrations. Why not devote resources to enhancing what the Woods are already good for -- bird watching, contemplation, nature walks -- rather than coming up with the latest fad.	12/2/2023 2:44 PM
589	I oppose this dog park. The current Burnet Woods is already accessible to dogs, and it is quite safe (I walk through the park every weekday to get from home to work and back). The establishment of a dog park would make the park unsafe for pedestrians (and I expect the park board members would be liable to any injury a pedestrian might suffer from an unleashed dog attacking them), and decrease the natural environment. I am very surprised at the fact that a park board, trusted with the upkeep and safety of such a natural environment within the city area, would instead waste the money on such frivolous dog park. I very strongly oppose this.	12/2/2023 2:42 PM
590	If everyone is opposed to synthetic turf / chemicals, there could be an opportunity to just look into a packed sand option? I've seen them before. I also don't see why this would hurt the community that much and why there's so much negative feedback—it doesn't take up that much acreage and it would probably increase appeal for potential residents looking at places they'd want to live by if they have a pet. Dog parks are important for cities with residents that can't afford yards and still want pets for emotional, medical, and psychological reasons, and for those who have a general love for animals. I think the design looks nice.	12/2/2023 2:42 PM
591	That amount of added "buffer" is not enough for habitat protection. The noise isn't going to be blocked by a little bit of extra grass. Burnet Woods is one of the only natural places left in Cincinnati there's no need to ruin that. Put the dog park somewhere else!	12/2/2023 2:35 PM
592	Do not do this. Total waste of valuable green space. Whoever approved this is disgusting.	12/2/2023 2:33 PM
593	The park is already good for dogs. Nobody wants this. Stop wasting money and resources on things that nobody in the community wants.	12/2/2023 2:28 PM
594	Burnet Woods doesn't need a dog park! Why take away some of the necessary and beautiful natural green space already in existence that is the home of many creatures? Find an abandoned empty parking lot near the Shell gas station on MLK and turn that into something new and vibrant.	12/2/2023 2:26 PM
595	Turf is so terrible for the earth please do not put turf in our beautiful preserve. I could go on	12/2/2023 2:25 PM

New Burnet Woods Dog Park Update – We Want to Hear from You

about the science but as landscape designers you should know! Do you care about the future of these grounds? They will become berron if you put plastic over them.

596	Please do not ruin my local park by putting turf in it. Don't do this, thanks.	12/2/2023 2:24 PM
597	Keep your plastic out of my woods. Burnet Woods is a sanctuary for what few woodland spirits are still left in Clifton. Woods don't need improvement; they need to be left alone.	12/2/2023 2:23 PM
598	Residents of this area DO NOT want this dog park! We want you to fund and uphold the already existing NATURAL greenspace! We do not want unnatural toxic materials in our nature. Protect the existing space please!	12/2/2023 2:22 PM
599	Cincy Parks exists to preserve green space, increase access to existing green spaces and to increase the amount of green spaces so why is it taking on a project that literally destroys green space and replaces it with plastic turf? It can say it's PFAS-free all it wants, everyone knows that replacing grass with plastic turf is a net negative. Burnet Woods is a dog park already! You just have to have them on a leash. Between invasive species removal, sewage issues, dangerous stone steps and other beautification projects that would require money, wasting money on this project is an insult to those of us who actually use, cherish and love this park. Stick to your mission and preserve Cincinnati green spaces! If our own park board is dead set on destroying our parks, then there's no hope and I don't want to believe that that is the case	12/2/2023 2:11 PM
600	DONT PUT PLASTIC TURF IN OUR PROTECTED PARKS. DO NOT PUT A DOG PARK IN OUR PROTECTED PARKS	12/2/2023 2:06 PM
601	I am a Clifton resident with a dog and children, and I do not think that we should add a dog park to burnet woods. I think it's nice to have unprogrammed greenspace in the park, and I'm concerned about the impact on surrounding wildlife as well as safety issues that could arise by bringing even more dogs into the park. In the 10 years I have lived in this neighborhood, I and my children have treasured burnet woods as a peaceful escape and think taxpayer dollars could be used much better than this.	12/2/2023 2:04 PM
602	I don't think you should use synthetic turf	12/2/2023 2:03 PM
603	I'm glad you're not destroying any existing habitat.	12/2/2023 2:02 PM
604	I am a Cincinnati resident against the construction of a dog park in burnet woods. Surveys have concluded that construction of this dog park would negatively impact species native to the woods, for example the owl population. The woods should continued to be preserved as was their original intention and any further construction or plans to build this plastic park on top of an already compromised ecosystem must be halted immediately. It is disgraceful that Cincinnati parks would continue with this project despite the community's negative response in the past at this proposed project. Corruption is the spirit of Cincinnati development and it is disappointing that now that spirit pervades Cincinnati parks. My idea is no dog park at all.	12/2/2023 1:59 PM
605	Due to the native species that nest and live around this area, I do not think having a new design is what is best for the parks. Conservation and rehabilitation should be first!	12/2/2023 1:56 PM
606	Devastated to hear news of building this dog park. Plastic turf should not be put in our beautiful city's parks. This wouldn't make our park better, but only hurt it. Let nature be nature!	12/2/2023 1:55 PM
607	Why bring in all these outside materials? Can't we just use the grass that is already there? How is this different than just walking your dog in the park as people currently do? A 4ft fence wouldn't keep an aggressive large breed in or out. Who is this benefiting? I'm not opposed to improving burnet woods in other ways but this doesn't seem like the correct use of my tax dollars.	12/2/2023 1:54 PM
608	No design could make the dog park a good idea. The maintenance cost alone should have squashed the idea at the start.	12/2/2023 1:47 PM
609	Disgusting. I can't believe the park system would encourage the construction of a synthetic dog park. Dog parks are harmful to dog socialization, and turf is terrible for the environment. I'm disappointed	12/2/2023 1:45 PM
610	The whole idea of putting a dog park in Burnet Woods is wrong on multiple levels. First off, your post is inaccurate. Parks has shown ZERO interest in community engagement on this issue. This dog park is opposed by virtually every stakeholder organization that will be impacted. Second, Cincinnati Parks has inadequate funding to properly manage their current	12/2/2023 1:42 PM

New Burnet Woods Dog Park Update – We Want to Hear from You

parks...instead, they rely on legions of volunteers to pick up trash and remove invasive plants (just this morning, I and 15+ other volunteers worked to plant dozens of native understory shrubs and trees in Burnet Woods). Thus to spend hundreds of thousands of dollars that is desperately needed for maintenance of existing parks/features is fiscally irresponsible. Furthermore, where will the money come from for routine cleanup of the biohazardous fecal material that will inevitably accumulate. Most natural turf dog parks in Cincinnati are filled with disgusting levels of dog feces thanks to dog owners not picking up waste...artificial turf will be even worse. Third, your proposal to blanket this dog park in artificial turf, a known source of micro-plastics and PFAS carcinogens, is ecologically irresponsible. Finally, the proposed site is in Burnet Woods, an ecological gem that includes old growth trees and is a designated Audubon Important Bird Area. This proposal is so ridiculous on so many levels, it's simply incomprehensible that we have a Parks Board that refuses to listen to the individuals and community organizations that have invested so much sweat equity in Burnet Woods over the past 5-10 years, transforming it from a trash and invasive plant filled space to the ecological oasis that it currently is. Shame on the Parks Board. And for the record, I am a Clifton resident and owner of 3 dogs.

611	Don't use any astroturf to replace the grass in the valley. You will be killing bugs, cicadas, and other natural species. It's wrong that you plan on adding dedicated dog park parking in an area that doesn't have enough parking for current residents & visitors. This is not the right location for this design. It is not wanted by the residents of this area. All your pretty pictures don't change the fact that you will damage our neighborhood park. I do not believe you are allowing enough space in the small fenced areas around the trees, trees will die due to the digging around their roots. and the astroturf you will cover their roots with. You claim it will be cared for like the downtown Park, but you don't have 3CDC paying for the ongoing care. We don't want this park, put it elsewhere!	12/2/2023 1:40 PM
612	Stop development of this dog park immediately and leave the space as a nature preserve. Develop a dog park elsewhere.	12/2/2023 1:38 PM
613	I appreciate trying to help the community with this, but the community needs the wild space even more. An artificial turf dog park will be too destructive to the ecosystem of the woods, which shelters already fragile species. Dog owners are already welcome to walk their dogs in the woods, so please do not build this.	12/2/2023 1:32 PM
614	A dog park does not belong at Burnet Woods. Adding plastic turf will be detrimental to the park. The community does not want this dog park!	12/2/2023 1:31 PM
615	Please do not move forward. The community has asked repeatedly to halt the project and consider the environment of one of the biggest and oldest green spaces in the area.	12/2/2023 1:26 PM
616	You are supposed to protect green space. It's just unnecessary. Bring the buildings up to ADA code. Hire more tree people to take care of all the other properties that have been ignored. Turf gets freakishly hot and gross and ends up in the landfill.	12/2/2023 1:23 PM
617	I went to UC, graduated, and now I'm a working engineer with a dog. And I DO NOT want a dog park. Preserve nature, preserve the park. I will strap myself to a bulldozer. There are a lot of dog diseases coming out, and outbreaks that are created from dog parks that then go and infect the wild life near by. Let's focus our money on things that actually help ppl. Like affordable housing, the supporting people who are without homes, public transit, bike lanes. Also there are many other parks that could use some moves and love. Go fix parks in college hill, in Bond Hill, go fix parks in low income areas with high children density. You need to spend your precious time and energy creating an actual solution, a positive change. Not a dog park for adult brats.	12/2/2023 1:20 PM
618	It would be better if you cancelled this project. Dog parks are not safe for dogs and we need habitat for wildlife!	12/2/2023 1:15 PM
619	Listen to your community. Nobody wants this here. Quit while you're ahead and don't build this thing at all. Destroying natural green space is such a shame. People can walk their dogs just fine with the park as is.	12/2/2023 1:13 PM
620	Please do not go ahead with this project, it will destroy habitat for wildlife and it goes against all efforts that the community who cares for this Park has been pouring for years. This is a bad idea and you know it, the evidence against this project keeps piling up.	12/2/2023 1:13 PM
621	Don't build it all. Leave the park the way it is.	12/2/2023 1:11 PM

New Burnet Woods Dog Park Update – We Want to Hear from You

622	Dogs park tend to be more harmful for dogs, instigating anxious behavior among ones who aren't properly socialized. Especially in Burnet woods area where more college students with untrained dogs will be located. The risk of dogs getting out of the park and interrupting traffic and the park is a major issue to consider. Beyond this, the installation of this park will disrupt the natural ecosystems thriving in Burnet Woods. I dissent the installation of the dog park.	12/2/2023 1:09 PM
623	PFAS refers to upwards of 20,000 chemicals. Many of which haven't been studied. PFOS and PFOA are the most studied and your turf is likely free of those two compounds. No artificial turf is free of all PFAS compounds. Artificial turf is not green space. Don't bulldoze our park.	12/2/2023 1:09 PM
624	Don't turf our parks	12/2/2023 1:07 PM
625	I live in spring grove village and travel up to Clifton all the time to visit burnet woods and admire the natural beauty of the park. In my honest opinion, a dog park is not needed there and should be located elsewhere. The reason for this is that it would ruin the natural landscape of burnet woods and only further promote the eradication of green space in an already developing area. Burnet woods is and will be the only green space available in the area and this notion only furthers the idea that adding fake grass and unnatural/unnecessary features is okay for the historic green space. I love the idea of a dog park but feel like it should have another location. I also feel like if the park is not built, those funds should be put towards restoration of the park, not entire renovations/projects that interfere with the natural habitat of the park. I love Cincinnati parks! They are absolutely beautiful compared to many other metropolitan areas and it would be a shame to add a gimmick such as this dog park that would only promote the destruction of burnet woods natural beauty.	12/2/2023 1:04 PM
626	I don't want a dog park at Burnett woods	12/2/2023 12:57 PM
627	It's unnecessary and the plastic grass is harmful. Cancel it.	12/2/2023 12:57 PM
628	Please don't do this	12/2/2023 12:48 PM
629	Please do not put in a turf surface. I don't see a point as grass is already available. Turf is a synthetic plastic that's worse for the environment, decreases plant diversity, won't help prevent run off, and will need constant maintenance. Dogs have walked on grass for decades, I don't know why they suddenly need turf.	12/2/2023 12:47 PM
630	I hate that this is coming to my community! It's destroying the little green space we have left. Do this elsewhere...and destroy the existing km green space when you do.	12/2/2023 12:43 PM
631	Fuck turf fuck plastics fuck PFAS! Why do dogs need a special park when there is already a whole park of natural grass for them to enjoy? We will stop this project.	12/2/2023 12:42 PM
632	I will lay myself down before the bulldozers and construction equipment to keep our greenspaces GREEN and PUBLIC and PLASTIC FREE! This is not climate resilience this is not ecofriendly this is an insane waste of resources and money for a perfectly good park. We the people will put our bodies in harms way to protect Cincinnati's green spaces.	12/2/2023 12:40 PM
633	Artificial turf will greatly impact lives of wildlife species such as songbirds, hawks, and owls as well as other important species that play vital roles in our ecosystem. It would be better to support local wildlife and take this into consideration while in the design process.	12/2/2023 12:39 PM
634	It's an unnecessary development that would disturb forest life and ecology. No dog parks here! Add more dog stuff to clifton plaza or anywhere else	12/2/2023 12:39 PM
635	Leave greenspaces green. I will chain myself to bulldozers on the construction team if you attempt to build this bulldozer. The people of Cincinnati want our parks to remain public, green, and plastic free.	12/2/2023 12:39 PM
636	Leave greenspaces green! Turf serves no ecological purpose other than pollution. it is not climate resilient — it makes our parks hotter in the summer. leave greenspaces green!	12/2/2023 12:37 PM
637	As a graduate of urban planning from uc this is exactly the type of behavior, performative listening, that disregards community input into planning the shared spaces around us. The community surrounding Burnet has been vocal that they do not want this project. When I lived a stones throw from the park I too was vocal about the use of plastic in a nature preserve. I am still flabbergasted that you are planning to install this much plastic. Plastic is poison, maybe you can understand folks feel like we have no control over the destruction of the environment at the hands of the government using private plastics. This we do have a day	12/2/2023 12:31 PM

New Burnet Woods Dog Park Update – We Want to Hear from You

over and we say no. We will not allow this project to move forward. We are adamant protectors of the park, no cessations will be made.

638	Do not build the dog park	12/2/2023 12:26 PM
639	Put it somewhere else besides an area that has beautiful green space that will be destroyed	12/2/2023 12:25 PM
640	The wildlife that lives in the park will be driven out with this proposed dog park. Please reconsider before you take more of what little natural habitat there is in the park.	12/2/2023 12:23 PM
641	Put it somewhere that isn't a crucial habitat for native species and dont remove grass so that you can replace it with plastic	12/2/2023 12:22 PM
642	Please use real grass and NOT turf!!!!	12/2/2023 12:18 PM
643	Don't build it. This displaces a lot of native wildlife, and it overall has a negative impact on the environment. Listen to the voices of the community as this idea has been a resounding "no" from the people who frequent this park.	12/2/2023 12:03 PM
644	I absolutely believe it is unnecessary to add synthetic turf. Let the grass be grass. Burnet is a beautiful green space that we are lucky to have in this city. There is no reason to destroy part of the green space by adding fake grass.	12/2/2023 12:01 PM
645	Should not be turned into dog park! Home to many different species of birds and wild life.	12/2/2023 12:01 PM
646	If y'all are looking to create a community space that encourages folks in the area to use the park and build connections with local wildlife, a pollinator or native plant garden would be a much better option than bringing in artificial turf and gating a portion of the park which stands as a sanctuary for many species such as Barred owls, Cooper's Hawks, and the American Red Fox. It is no excuse to plant more trees than what currently stand in the area or to include a prairie meadow along the eastern border of the dog park. Plant more trees and native species WITHOUT the dog park and help bolster our native plant and animal population instead of harming it. Taking away .02% of the park's green space may appear marginal, but it is representative of our woodlands across the country being fragmented and reduced. We are in a position where we now understand and have done extensive research on the implications of reduced woodlands and natural habitats as it relates to the protection and conservation of native species, and even air, soil, and water quality. There are many community members speaking out against the construction of this dog park and we stand in solidarity against it.	12/2/2023 12:00 PM
647	No dog park at all	12/2/2023 11:58 AM
648	I think it's an awful idea to bring turf to a natural park. Please listen to everyone begging you not to do this	12/2/2023 11:58 AM
649	We don't want a synthetic turf dog park in Burnet woods	12/2/2023 11:52 AM
650	How about please do not add a dog park? Burnett woods is an important green space for undomesticated animals and a dog park has the potential to seriously disrupt the ecosystem already reliant upon it. Please stop trying to overdevelop the green space left in the city!	12/2/2023 11:52 AM
651	Do not move forward with this project. There is no good reason to get rid of actual live green space and plants to put down artificial turf for dogs to run around on. This green space is currently for everyone, including dogs. Why take that away for one group of people that have dogs. There's no reason for it at all. Animals should run around in actual green space, not a field of plastic. It's ridiculous and completely unnecessary.	12/2/2023 11:51 AM
652	Don't do it!!! This is not a way to preserve the environment. New location if you must.	12/2/2023 11:43 AM
653	I am against the dog park. Burnett Woods needs to be preserved as a natural area	12/2/2023 11:42 AM
654	I love it! It looks beautiful and it looks like a space my little pup will be very happy to run around in with his neighborhood friends!	12/2/2023 11:42 AM
655	I'm actually really confused by this considering the negative reaction this plan has had since the outset. There is very little green space like this in Burnet Woods and to use it to make a dog park? Weird and out of touch, incredibly disappointing. Disrupting the habitat of the species that live there for this is ridiculous. You could make it better by not doing it at all, hope this helps	12/2/2023 11:42 AM
656	Don't do it	12/2/2023 11:38 AM

New Burnet Woods Dog Park Update – We Want to Hear from You

657	DO NOT BUILD THIS! Why would you put plastic turf that would hurt the woods in a park?? Nobody asked for this, stop while you are ahead!!	12/2/2023 11:38 AM
658	I am a Clifton resident and I visit Burnet at least once a week. It is my favorite greenspace. I've become so familiar with Burnet and I find so much peace within this park, as do many of my friends and neighbors. I am against having a dog park in Burnet. I believe the woods need to be protected and preserved. What greenspace we have is rapidly shrinking all the time, and further development is the very last thing the woods need. I see every day what the woods truly need. Invasive removal, trail maintenance, general upkeep, planting natives for pollinators and other creatures in the woods. Burnet is home to many beings who do not need further harm from plastics and destruction. My feedback for this proposed design is to move to a different location altogether. Many of my community members echo this sentiment. I urge Cincy Parks to truly listen to us, and keep the best interest of the woods. If your mission is to protect and preserve, be about it.	12/2/2023 11:36 AM
659	No dog park. Why is the park board wanting to remove natural grass and add fake grass? Burnet Woods is a natural gem of Uptown, don't ruin it.	12/2/2023 11:34 AM
660	Listen to the community. We don't want a dog park we want to protect the natural beauty of burnet woods.	12/2/2023 11:27 AM
661	This is a protected green space please don't develop on it	12/2/2023 11:20 AM
662	Should not be turf but grass that is maintained	12/2/2023 11:15 AM
663	Hello, I disagree with the decision to move forward with the dog park in Burnet Woods, I think this tiny, beloved forest should be preserved in its current natural state- however, if the dog park must be in Burnet Woods, I beg you to reconsider the installation of synthetic turf as the surface of choice, in favor of more natural options like mulch, grass, and gravel.	12/2/2023 11:13 AM
664	It's hard for the community to feel valued when a simple no is not an option. Even with detailed reasoning this feels like it's without the overall consent of the community.	12/2/2023 11:11 AM
665	This dog park is not a good idea. No to the destruction of burnet woods for a dog park.	12/2/2023 11:10 AM
666	I don't agree with this project when the money could be much better used in the park. The current playground is not accessible! Toilets need to be available year round. The Trailside Museum should be open daily. The dog park is a gimmick and unworthy in such a historic park and a waste of money. Please don't do it.	12/2/2023 11:09 AM
667	Please do not go through with this project at all. This will be detrimental to local wildlife, as this is one of the only habitats in the city for some species	12/2/2023 11:08 AM
668	Keep the real grass	12/2/2023 11:08 AM
669	Please stop the project!!!! It is not good for Burnett Woods/Clifton or the environment!!!	12/2/2023 11:03 AM
670	This will disrupt sensitive local species. Those animals would be at risk of being attacked and their habitat taken.	12/2/2023 11:01 AM
671	Please reconsider this project. The positive impact of dog parks is questionable. The negative impact of this park upon the existing environment is proven.	12/2/2023 10:57 AM
672	DO NOT MOVE FORWARD WITH THIS PROJECT. Listen to your community. Nobody wants this. Preserve Burnett Woods and stay true to your mission statement.	12/2/2023 10:56 AM
673	It appears that there is opposition to building in this area, nature organizations are against it as well as local citizens. Perhaps reconsidering its location would be an option	12/2/2023 10:53 AM
674	I still oppose the use of synthetic turf being used to cover a precious natural area in an urban landscape. Why not explore the use of cutting edge sustainable alternatives, like walnut shells or other materials, that yes, may need some maintenance, but would preserve a more natural landscape?	12/2/2023 10:52 AM
675	1. Don't build it at all. Please. Please! 2. No artificial turf 3. No designated parking except disability parking. It's already hard enough for neighborhood residents to find parking.	12/2/2023 10:47 AM
676	I am a resident of Cincinnati and think this is a bad idea and the project should be rejected. Please don't waste money on this project. Please use that money to improve the park for all citizens, not just dog owners.	12/2/2023 10:43 AM

New Burnet Woods Dog Park Update - We Want to Hear from You

677	Burnet woods is no place for a dog park. This is a PROTECTED green space! Not somewhere to commercialize. Not to mention the species it would negatively impact. I love my cincy parks but as a Clifton resident I'm FULLY AGAINST THIS!	12/2/2023 10:39 AM
678	Do not build a dog park in these protected woods, highly disrespectful to the community that this will directly effect. An immense amount of negative feedback from residence seems to be falling on deaf ears, disgraceful. Shame on you	12/2/2023 10:27 AM
679	I have spent most of the last eight years in the Uptown neighborhood and walk past the proposed dog park site almost every day on my way to work at the University. I also have a dog. You would think that I would be your ideal user for this new space, but I wouldn't be, and I think it's crazy that you're sticking with a project that has received so much pushback from the community. Does Cincy Parks exist to serve the community, or some other group? Burnet Woods is already a great place for walking a dog and taking part in many other activities. Clifton/Uptown is lacking green space, and I think it's crazy to replace any of the park's existing green space with AstroTurf. Plus, since you're asking for "community funds to complete the project" (and you conveniently included that after the survey response box), it seems that you can't even afford to complete the project that no one but you wants. Why are you doing it then? It is clear that Cincy Parks is not taking the environment or the community into account when making this decision, and as a lifelong Cincinnati Resident, I could not be more disappointed and disgusted.	12/2/2023 10:27 AM
680	I don't think you have provided nearly enough information to meet the concerns of some of the negative feedback that has been sent your way. You should be sharing more about the pfas free grass. Just labeling it pfas free is not really going to bring people over to your side. Enough people who are concerned about that have had instances where products were labeled pfas free and they were in fact not. You need to provide data and information about the actual product. Additionally, how the bioswales will work as well. One concern I remember seeing all over was the heat island effect that artificial turf contributes to. In a world experiencing climate change, we should not be adding in things that will heat up the heat island of Cincinnati. Especially when you are changing a resource that helps with the effects of climate change.	12/2/2023 10:20 AM
681	Please do not bulldoze any trees. Can the dog park be built with trees in it? My dogs love trees, and will spend hours sitting in the shade of a tree, year round.	12/2/2023 10:19 AM
682	No one wants this. Please focus the money on a different project.	12/2/2023 10:19 AM
683	Stop building in parks and putting plastic, artificial turf over real living plants. This is the worst idea.	12/2/2023 10:19 AM
684	Cease the design and construction of this immediately. This is blatant gentrification. Please focus on upkeep of existing infrastructure in Burnet and other parks in the city. I will no longer support Cincinnati Parks if this project continues in any fashion. Cincinnati Parks should be ashamed, and the personnel responsible for the idea should be dismissed.	12/2/2023 10:11 AM
685	This proposed plan would be disruptive to the ecology of Burnet Woods. I strongly oppose the construction of this dog park.	12/2/2023 10:08 AM
686	Listen to the community and scrap this idea entirely. It's clear that residents and community members DON'T want a dog park here.	12/2/2023 10:06 AM
687	Artificial turf is not necessary.	12/2/2023 10:05 AM
688	As a dog owner, I am very protective of my dog (and other dogs) so I will rarely use a dog park. But I definitely would never use a dog park with synthetic turf. Please reconsider the synthetic turf. Lois Gish	12/2/2023 10:04 AM
689	There is overwhelming community feedback that we don't want this in Burnet Woods. (Have you seen your Instagram post? Lol). Not only are dog parks shown to be bad for the environment and dogs, you're using synthetic turf?!?!?! Who approved this?! Your use of cheery positive language around the parks plans and a one question survey makes it obvious that you know people are against this project and that you are fighting to get ahead of the bad press and to continue this work despite the overwhelming lack of support. It's okay to just take the feedback and change the plan. Continuing despite the lack of support from the direct community in Clifton is honestly so embarrassing for the parks system that claims to serve the community. It must be obvious even to the workers and board members at cincy parks that this project is not missional. I studied botany in Burnet Woods through UC and have been working for conservation organizations ever since and this is a travesty to the local flora and	12/2/2023 10:04 AM

New Burnet Woods Dog Park Update - We Want to Hear from You

fauna that those woods preserve. It's a travesty to the local community who depends on this small but highly impactful green space to unwind and learn about the environment. You can't do that on plastic turf. Consider my support of cincy parks revoked if this project continues. What a travesty. On top of this all you're depending on community fundraising to make this happen?! Really asking for donations from the rich people of cincy just so you can take valued green space away from the broke students of Clifton who are actively raging against this project.

690	Unfortunately, I believe this plan needs to be entirely reconsidered. Burnet Woods, a rare city green space home to a variety of species, is not an acceptable place for construction of a dog park. There are other spaces in the area that would be more suitable and less disruptive to our valuable local wildlife.	12/2/2023 10:03 AM
691	Our family hike in burnet woods almost daily. We do not want a dog park in burnet woods. There are other locations that are a better fit and could be explored. Fairview park? There are so many reasons to say no to a dog park in burnet woods. You can check your email, Facebook, Instagram and local blogs. The majority of people are literally saying no. Why won't you listen? Please review all the feedback you are getting from your park visitors. We do not want a dog park in burnet woods.	12/2/2023 10:02 AM
692	Put it somewhere else. As a person with a disability I can't believe dogs get a place to play before you consider ADA compliance.	12/2/2023 10:01 AM
693	This is a waste of valuable resources. Please devote the money towards maintaining the amazing and neglected conservation corps buildings throughout the park system.	12/2/2023 9:59 AM
694	I appreciate the thoughtful changes and sensitivity to community feedback in this design. Very well done. I do not have a dog but this may encourage me to get one. Seems like a great active use of this space while maintaining the natural beauty of Burnet Woods.	12/2/2023 9:56 AM
695	I lived next to burnet woods for over a decade, and it is one of the rare pieces of protected green space in Clifton. A dog park would certainly cause irrefutable harm to surrounding wildlife and established ecosystems in the park. The park is already dog friendly and does not need the addition of an astroturf pad at the expense of existing wildlife.	12/2/2023 9:56 AM
696	Why put this in a space that's home to wildlife? This park is not the ideal place for a dog park. Increasing the possibility of mice ingesting dog feces and spreading disease to the owls and hawks in the park, as well as disrupting the wildlife that already lives there isn't worth it. One of my favorite things is hiking in this park at dusk and hearing the familiar "who cooks for you?" call of the barred owl. Please reconsider a different park that won't impact these creatures!!!	12/2/2023 9:53 AM
697	NO DOG PARK IN BURNET WOODS! This is a bad idea all around! You can control Washington park dog park - vicious dogs and attacks there all the time!	12/2/2023 9:52 AM
698	This project must not go through! It is disappointing that after all of the so-called community input you have gathered that you are still going through with this project. Just take a look at the comments on your latest post where I've counted 150 people so far have commented urging fir this project NOT to happen. DO NOT GO THROUGH WITH THIS PROJECT!	12/2/2023 9:52 AM
699	I am a Clifton resident and visit Burnett regularly. Please do not develop this park. I am very concerned about the disruption of the natural habitat of the creatures of Burnett woods. I think the ecosystem would be disrupted by construction equipment/noise/pollution, excess dog noise, dog waste runoff, toxic plastic turf runoff, and general aesthetic burden of a contrived development in the park. This dog park proposal does NOT represent the overwhelming sentiment of the community and I urge you to reconsider the thinking behind this idea. I can assure you that with the level of negative sentiment you are receiving from the community, your community fundraising efforts would not succeed in the first place.	12/2/2023 9:51 AM
700	Don't do it. The space is beautiful as is. Dogs can enjoy the park as is and we don't need to take up precious land just to put down turf. Dogs would be much happier just walking around the park as is. A dog park is unhealthy for them and unnecessary.	12/2/2023 9:50 AM
701	Turf is the worse thing about this project. Do some research on turf temps during summer months. Also, how is brining in turf going to attract more wildlife (area) to the area, trees will but birds need real grass and soil to be able to find bugs for their nutrients!	12/2/2023 9:50 AM
702	The latest design looks fantastic. I'm happy you listened to stakeholders and incorporated their comments.	12/2/2023 9:49 AM

New Burnet Woods Dog Park Update - We Want to Hear from You

703	This is a great idea and the community is going to really benefit from it!! thank you to all people who worked on making this is a possibility!!	12/2/2023 9:49 AM
704	How about we just maintenance the whole park and not one weird artificial dog one???	12/2/2023 9:43 AM
705	I would prefer you knock down an empty building and make it into a plastic dog park rather than taking away precious, limited untouched green space. This city is bent on destroying all the green spaces that make it beautiful. Why does development always get what it wants? Why not let Mother Nature grow the way she wants? There are sensitive species there, like the barred owl, that is already suffering from habitat loss. Put in the prairie/meadow and the trees and forget the rest. Start making green spaces instead of shrinking what's left.	12/2/2023 9:43 AM
706	Do not go through with the creation of this dog park. Very few people in the surrounding community support the construction, use of space, and use of taxpayer money. It is far better for the environment and also the people in the surrounding area to preserve natural green space in Burnet Wood rather than replace it with harmful artificial turf. The park is home to many native species such as the barred owl, Cooper's hawk, and red fox. Myself and many others enjoy the green space found in Burnet Woods as a refresher from busy city life. We also value the importance of preserving natural environments. The money being put into the dog park can be redirected into efforts for improving existing parks. For example, creating a program to remove harmful invasive species like honeysuckle from parks like Mt. Airy and Burnet Woods. We know you hear us asking to not go through with the dog park plans, but we beg you to listen to us and understand our values and thoughts as community members. Thank you.	12/2/2023 9:42 AM
707	It's an abomination of a precious natural area to lay down plastic for a dog park. Shame.	12/2/2023 9:39 AM
708	This park would be displacing the nests of barred owls, red tailed hawks, and multiple other bird species. This green space is a spot where birds stop during migration as well. Building this dog park within green space will displace these species and disrupt the local ecosystem. Consider building it in a place where green spaces will not be compromised.	12/2/2023 9:37 AM
709	PLEASE. Do not put a dog park in Burnet Woods. Find some vacant lot that needs improving, do not destroy a pace that's beautiful already. AND be honest, you know there is a lot of opposition. Do authentic community engagement before going forward. Thank you.	12/2/2023 9:31 AM
710	No Turf! It's not that hard. People can also just walk their dogs. It's not hard. OR if you HAVE TO have a dog park with Turf, look at lots in Clifton that are near Burnet but not in Burnet. Why are we always looking at nature for development? Not unused parking spaces or unused space? Could you look at Bishop Park? How many people use that? Make that a dog park if no one uses it but Burnet is meant to be PROTECTED. And meant to be for being in nature.	12/2/2023 9:30 AM
711	Scrap the idea all together. Dog parks are one of top locations for dog fights. As a pet care professional, I cringe anytime I hear someone say they go to dog parks. Not to mention the dangers of artificial turf.	12/2/2023 9:26 AM
712	Just keep the grass! We don't need more fake grass dog parks in Cincinnati. Why take away nature to put in FAKE nature. Ugly	12/2/2023 9:21 AM
713	This project is needless. It's going to hurt the ecosystems at the park and have bad impacts to Burnett woods!	12/2/2023 9:20 AM
714	Looks great!! What a fun addition to the neighborhood	12/2/2023 9:14 AM
715	How foolish to replace real turf with artificial. What a waste of resources.	12/2/2023 9:09 AM
716	Don't do it at all. This is damaging to the local wildlife and environment, and against community wishes. A parks service developing green space and replacing it with plastic is disgusting. Your should be ashamed.	12/2/2023 9:05 AM
717	I like the additional plantings, but I don't think you'll find actually PFAS-free artificial turf. Cincinnati Parks should not be trading actual grass for plastic grass. As the climate warms, removing cool green and replacing it with hot plastic is simply a bad idea - even without the added threat of PFAS. That Cincinnati Parks would take steps that make its own property less climate-friendly is a bad sign indeed. I do like the idea of investing in Burnet Woods, where maintenance is terrible. Why not fix the stone stairs, which are undoubtedly a legal liability? Why not transform the plaza in front of the nature center? That's been part of the master plan for Burnet Woods for nearly 20 years. (A dog park was not part of the plan.) In short, this dog park plan has been the pet project (no pun intended) of a very small number of people, and as	12/2/2023 9:02 AM

New Burnet Woods Dog Park Update - We Want to Hear from You

the continued protests reveal, it is not widely popular, particularly among those who live closest to the site - as I do. I would love to see Cincinnati Parks take the resources needed to build the dog park and do something that is entirely positive for the park and the people who use it.

718	I know The Parks Board has good intentions but from my point of view adding artificial turf is not NEEDED and will only bring more maintenance and complaints. I urge whoever is in charge to take a trip down to the dog park next to Aces at Factory 52. One smell and they'd see why so many are opposed. The odor along with the incredible high temp that these turfs reach makes for a very unpleasant experience. Please consider all of the feedback from people who already take their dogs to Burnet every day.	12/2/2023 8:49 AM
719	Please reconsider the location for this dog park. Stop the development of natural woodlands which provide critical habitat for sensitive species.	12/2/2023 8:47 AM
720	I'm disappointed in Cincy Parks for continuing to move forward with this project after so much feedback from the community that we do not want a dog park in Burnet woods. A protected green space is the wrong place for a dog park! If a dog park in Clifton is a priority, the solution is to find a different location that isn't currently the home to many species of birds that would no longer nest in the area if it was a dog park.	12/2/2023 8:46 AM
721	Please don't use turf on the dog park. It takes away the natural green space for the animals that depend upon it.	12/2/2023 8:37 AM
722	I appreciate the reduced size and the added prairie for reduced sound and added habitat. I appreciate the universal accessible design. I can appreciate the concern of some to keep green space green - I share this priority too - however I don't know why some projects are embraced - such as distributive concrete slabs all over Burnet Woods that are only accessible for those able-bodied, and why others which have a smaller footprint and promote equity are not. While I agree it's important to follow, and I have been reading up on articles about the benefits and drawbacks of dog parks, I also think it's important all are educated on eco-ableism. Eco-ableism seems to be happening related to this project, and no one is calling this exclusionary behavior out for what it is. I trust that our Parks is committed to being a resource for ALL and recognize that balancing many interests is truly challenging at times. Thanks for every parks team member for their commitment to their valuable work. On this project and on all community wide efforts.	12/2/2023 8:28 AM
723	I'd like to see a new design for the dog park that eliminates the dog park.	12/2/2023 8:26 AM
724	I feel as though this project is a bad idea. There has to be a better place to construct a dog park other than Burnet Woods. Burnet Woods is a crucial part of so many native and migratory wildlife species; we should conserve Burnet Woods not continuously deplete its green space.	12/2/2023 8:26 AM
725	I appreciate the improvements made taking into consideration community feedback from the original design. If there's any way to keep/add more trees, that's obviously ideal, as every tree is precious and also increases the value of the dog park for dogs. Appreciate your emphasis on accessibility and that the park is to be built at the very edge of the park. Bummer that synthetic turf will have to be used at all, if there's any other sustainable option please continue to pursue that. The turf at the dog park downtown Fido Field looks so terrible after a few years. It might be a great idea to use turf at the beginning, but how quickly turf depreciates could make the park look rundown within just a few years, in addition to all the community's concerns about using a synthetic material. It's not like playing dogs provide light wear and tear on turf. If the city can provide any upkeep of grass planting, grass would be preferable. My dog's absolute favorite dog park in the city is the Otto Armleder dog park. When she first experienced a dog park with trees, it was so exciting for her. Gave her much more to sniff and explore than dog parks with just open space. In reality, very few dogs are going to use the playground equipment. More dogs are going to enjoy the mental stimulation more trees within the dog park can provide them. Trees are a better use of money than the tunnel or other permanent play equipment. We frequent dog parks, and the play equipment (tunnels, ramps, stages, etc) receives little to no attention from dogs. What they would prefer to do is play with each other or use their exploration instincts to take in the wealth of information a dog park at the edge of the woods can provide. My most important ask of you is to ditch the equipment and either opt for more space in its place or another tree. Thank you for building a dog park here!	12/2/2023 8:19 AM
726	Hey, isn't this place home to a lot of delicate species? Doesn't it seem like a bad idea to slap something like this on the side of an important migratory site?	12/2/2023 8:18 AM

New Burnet Woods Dog Park Update – We Want to Hear from You

727	It looks like a great design. Can't wait to see it finished.	12/2/2023 8:18 AM
728	No! Not another dog park! Artificial turf? Yikes. This does not align with a natural setting and is bad for native species!	12/2/2023 8:04 AM
729	Move it elsewhere . We understand that the establishment of a dog park can be beneficial to pet owners, promoting socialization and exercise for their furry companions. However, it is crucial to consider alternative locations that can provide these benefits without compromising the integrity of the natural preserve. Is it not the duty to balance the needs and desires of various community members while ensuring the preservation of the natural environment? The amount of wildlife that utilizes the natural environment far outweighs a fake environment for our pets to use periodically..	12/2/2023 8:03 AM
730	I don't think that this dog park will enhance anyone's lives, but will harm the ecosystem of burnet woods. I'd feel more comfortable if this project were proposed after necessary upkeep has been done at the other preexisting parks in the city.	12/2/2023 7:57 AM
731	Make it smaller or don't make it at all. Burnet Woods is one of the only wildlife areas like this near campus.	12/2/2023 7:53 AM
732	Please do not use artificial turf! The environmental costs will far outweigh the potential financial benefits. Burnet Woods is home to many species of wildlife that need a protected home!	12/2/2023 7:50 AM
733	Can there be a dedicated space for smaller dogs to play?	12/2/2023 7:47 AM
734	If you want this to be the best possible dog park project, don't put it in Burnet Woods. The community is overwhelmingly against this. Burnet Woods is a rare urban oasis for wildlife. A dog park will take away from that and continue to degrade this remnant natural area. If I've learned anything from Cincinnati Parks community engagement surveys, it's that the results are either ignored or manipulated, so I no longer have faith that Parks is listening. This survey is probably just a box that needs to be checked.	12/2/2023 7:45 AM
735	I think the dog park plan should be abandoned and the area left as natural as it was before planning began.	12/2/2023 7:35 AM
736	This is a ridiculous plan. Everything about this is flawed. Turf is a plague. There is no benefit to an off leash dog park. Even a "small prairie field" means nothing when pushed up against a huge plastic, stinking enclosure. Dog parks do nothing to benefit community, and are only dangerous for dogs and their owners. There are multiple studies on this, but here's a nytimes with mostly small word for you https://www.nytimes.com/2020/02/06/smarter-living/the-dog-park-is-bad-actually.html	12/2/2023 7:35 AM
737	Love it—it will add a safe place for our residents and their pets to gather while maintaining the beauty of our park.	12/2/2023 7:31 AM
738	I love the new design and appreciate that the Park Board is trying to respond to community concerns. I live very close to the park and am excited about this new project. Honestly, I think many of us who are supportive or neutral about the project are reluctant to comment publicly (online or at meetings) because of the aggressive behavior of the opponents. I think most of my neighbors will be very happy to have this dog park in our community. Abby Moran 3480 Oxford Ter, 45220	12/2/2023 7:23 AM
739	This is a terrible idea that most people in the neighborhood are very much against. Please do not bring artificial turf to this beautiful park. Many dogs already enjoy this space as is. Also, is this a park for ants??? Why even bother?	12/2/2023 7:13 AM
740	I am an avid birdwatcher and have always loved Cincy parks. However this dog park would be in an area where sensitive species of all kinds rely on Burnet Woods to thrive. The increase in noise pollution would affect wildlife and not all dog owners will pick up after their pets creating fecal pollution. The green space is also an important resting area for migratory birds in the fall and spring. With little woods left in busy city areas, animals need woods to increase, not decrease.	12/2/2023 6:36 AM
741	PFAS Free turf is not a good solution. This park must be mulch or sand, not plastic turf. Adding plastic turf to a natural park is not conservation.	12/2/2023 6:26 AM
742	We don't want a dog park in this location. You say it's taking a tiny percentage of the park. But it's talking away the only flat, shaded grassy area that's not already being used for a	12/2/2023 4:20 AM

New Burnet Woods Dog Park Update - We Want to Hear from You

playground, picnic area, event space or frisbee golf. LET US HAVE ONE TINY PART OF THE PARK WHERE WE CAN ACTUALLY SIT AND ENJOY THE PARK! A place where we can sit, read, or just lie on a blanket and be in nature. It's really sad the park board is taking away green space.

743	Don't make a dog park	12/2/2023 4:06 AM
744	scrap the whole project, think about the wildlife, specifically the wide range of birds that call Burnet their home. The Audubon Society considers Burnet Woods an Important Place for birds, a superlative I doubt is handed out lightly.	12/2/2023 2:41 AM
745	Looks great! I live — and have owned in CUF — for almost 25 years. Have always wanted a dog park nearby for my dogs. Honestly, design doesn't matter too much for me. Just glad it might be happening :-)	12/2/2023 2:06 AM
746	You managed to ignore the entire community. We don't want this dog park. Hate this entirely.	12/2/2023 2:03 AM
747	Don't put this here. That's how you can best serve the community. For many people this is an easy accessible place to let our kids play in what little time we have to do so due to working too many jobs for too little pay. Some people including myself find this to be a great place to picnic or have a nap. Not only would dogs be noisy, but this is a terrible decision and goes against Clifton's agreed upon guidelines for park procedure. Not to mention the environmental implications of having Astro turf replace actual vegetation. This is a horrible idea and not allowed based on our agreement with Clifton as cliftonites	12/2/2023 2:02 AM
748	Cancel the project already. We've been asking for it to be canceled for years now.	12/2/2023 1:40 AM
749	No dog park!!! They are a breeding ground for diseases and injuries in dogs	12/2/2023 1:32 AM
750	Please do not go through with building this dog park. This is UC students only access to wooded park area. Building and turfing the park will result in the displacement of species, disrupting the environment of Burnet woods and contributing chemicals to groundwater.	12/2/2023 1:02 AM
751	You can make it better by not building it. Read the comments on your Facebook post if you want to know what people think about this proposed project. There are many more reasons to abandon it than there are reasons to waste taxpayer money building and maintaining it. It's a stupid idea that will benefit a few, apparently influential, people. Read the New York Times article below for the many reasons dog parks are bad for dogs. I never take my dogs to a dog park and I never will. I walk them 3-4 times a day on leashes on city sidewalks and in parks. In parks I'd much rather see trees, grass, wildflowers, wildlife, and maintained trails, than artificial plastic turf and fencing. https://www.nytimes.com/2020/02/06/smarter-living/the-dog-park-is-bad-actually.html	12/2/2023 12:50 AM
752	We do not need a dog park!!! Please listen to the input you're asking for!!!	12/2/2023 12:07 AM
753	This is an absolutely horrible idea. A dog park with carcinogenic synthetic turf and a Bioswale will render that portion of the gorgeous Burnet Woods a latrine. I've been to dog parks like these and it REEKS of dog urine and waste. For the amount of money that Cincinnati Parks will be spending on this project, why not just purchase some empty lots and convert it to a dog park? Please do not destroy Burnet Woods. This dog park is a terrible idea!!	12/2/2023 12:04 AM
754	I think the natural green space at Burnet Woods is great to have so near to campus and think and artificial turf dog park would not be needed.	12/1/2023 11:49 PM
755	So excited. Looks great. Thank you for all of your hard work.	12/1/2023 11:48 PM
756	Please reconsider the size of this park. This green space is one of very few left in the heavily urbanized areas surrounding Burnet Woods. So many species of wildlife call this area home and need the woods to survive. Destroying this space for them to live will only diminish populations even more than they have been and will have ecosystem-wide effects and consequences if allowed to be impacted. I'm not saying you need to put off the plan all together, as a dog owner I value dog parks as well. But please reconsider the size and the amount of forested area that needs to be destroyed. Ohio wildlife matters as well as our pets.	12/1/2023 11:43 PM
757	Do not build a synthetic environment where a natural one already exists. This will destroy the natural ecosystem.	12/1/2023 11:40 PM
758	I live nearby and see no need for a dog park. I want to enjoy the nature of the woods. Please don't waste our money on this.	12/1/2023 11:22 PM

New Burnet Woods Dog Park Update – We Want to Hear from You

759	Please please please do not use turf. Replacing natural plants with turf is really bad for the environment and especially the wildlife that currently lives in burnet woods. The wildlife that everyone enjoys seeing at this park will not benefit from a dog park filled with turf.	12/1/2023 11:22 PM
760	Looks great. Let's make it happen. We are excited to use it!	12/1/2023 11:19 PM
761	Better would be not building it.	12/1/2023 11:14 PM
762	Eliminate the planned dog park	12/1/2023 11:11 PM
763	nooooo, put it somewhere already developed, and leave the wild alone, please!	12/1/2023 11:11 PM
764	Don't do it. There is precious little wooded space in Clifton and the city. We can't afford to lose any	12/1/2023 11:08 PM
765	Burnet Woods is a natural area and is not the appropriate location for a dog park. Placing a dog park in Burnet Woods runs counter to any conservation mission. The dog park will negatively affect the wildlife of the park and visitors' experiences if a natural area. I am strongly opposed.	12/1/2023 11:03 PM
766	Do not include turf should be al natural	12/1/2023 10:54 PM
767	This park is a terrible idea. Please don't destroy this historic park with plastic toxic grass. Are you serious? It's not too late to change course. Please do the right thing and put the dog park elsewhere. Save the park.	12/1/2023 10:51 PM
768	Looks amazing! I think it's perfect.	12/1/2023 10:49 PM
769	This is an absolutely terrible idea. Cincinnati Parks should be ashamed to destroy the natural landscape of Burnet Woods to place toxic, artificial turf. Dogs can play in the woods or anywhere else they please. This should never happen.	12/1/2023 10:48 PM
770	Everything about this project is awful. Leave Burnet Woods and any other precious green space alone.	12/1/2023 10:45 PM
771	I actually used to work for Cincy Parks in this very park for 4 years out of Trailside Nature Center. (Hi, it's Jackie, I used to work for Explore Nature with Michael George!) I would see people letting their dogs run loose without leashes in the park, even after being told not too, which arguably will cause more problems to nature than if it was concentrated to a dog park. While there are other dog park options already so I don't think it is fully necessary, if you're going to go through with the dog park, then at least make the center real grass, or filled with mulch. The problem is the artificial turf itself, not that it is PFAS free. It would still be plastic. It gets crazy hot in the summer, and that's not good for dog paws or people. Maybe put some more signs up around the rest of Burnet Woods as well to remind people to leash their dog until they get to the dog park. But I really wish this money would go to upkeep the Dorris Day dog park better! I have dogs myself, and go there all the time. There are spots along the fence that could use repair, and it could use mulch more than once a year.	12/1/2023 10:42 PM
772	Leave the beautiful green space alone. This is a waste of money to take a natural area and ruin it	12/1/2023 10:40 PM
773	There should not be a dog park, period. Artificial turf does not belong in a natural park. The increased noise from dogs is going to scare away animals, and the decreased habitat will make it difficult for animals that are used to having that space. There are many sensitive species that rely on Burnet woods and this dog park undermines their right to live in that space. The dog park is a slap to the face, and the fact that it is turf is a subsequent backhand slap. Please consider the wants of the people who value the natural beauty, wildlife, and pristine habitats that Burnet woods has to offer. You can always get rid of natural habitat but it is very difficult to ever get it back. Please reconsider. There should be no more development in Burnet woods no matter what.	12/1/2023 10:39 PM
774	How about just don't do it at all. I keep my dogs on leash at all times. There are too many "incidents" nationally, and who's going to ensure it's clean daily? Just don't do it.	12/1/2023 10:39 PM
775	I support and love Cincinnati parks, but there are too many sensitive species here, as you have already heard, to create a synthetic turf dog park. Please respect the communities' voice and wishes and do the right thing. This is the wrong location and design for a dog park. It's such an important haven for migratory birds and other species within the city. Not to mention, the majority of the surrounding community opposes it.	12/1/2023 10:38 PM
776	Don't do it. Is there no other place? Artificial grass, too close to residents, wildlife impact,	12/1/2023 10:37 PM

New Burnet Woods Dog Park Update - We Want to Hear from You

money could be spent on other park needs. Who are you people? We have so many great parks in Cincinnati; why are you stubbornly insisting on something the majority of residents oppose? Is it arrogance? Pride? Hate? What will this power hungry Board ruin next? What is your angle?

777	Please do not install turf. Grass is better for the environment including the native species surrounding the park.	12/1/2023 10:37 PM
778	I have 3 dogs and honestly I would never use this dog park. It way too small and it too close to the road and a busy sidewalk. Also dog parks with the synthetic turf are just weird. My dogs don't understand they can pee on that synthetic turf . I would rather just walk my dogs on the trail around the pond, or in the real grass. If I want a dog park I'll drive to Simmonds Family Dog Park. It's the best one around. Nice a large with a small dog and big dog areas and real grass.	12/1/2023 10:35 PM
779	don't put it in. Majority of local residents don't want it and will suffer the consequences of the usage of PFOAS.	12/1/2023 10:34 PM
780	If it's not what the community wants or needs, can we allocate this money elsewhere? Listen to the public.	12/1/2023 10:27 PM
781	It is incredibly disturbing how far this park development has been taken after so many residents have expressed their voices of concerns. Firstly, what credibility does this so-called PFAS free turf actually have? Because it's my suspicion that the research is being sourced from the company itself, not a peer reviewed source. On top of that, even if a turf is labeled as "non-toxic, PFAS-free," this very well could be a limited scope of non-toxic and, furthermore, does not show all of the materials used to keep that turf intact. If you want to provide adequate community engagement, you must properly educate the community you are engaging. I along with that, if you occupy the seat of a commissioner, you are expected to be advocating for protecting parts and making them equitable and accessible to community members. I believe that it was the current parks board director that said on his first week in the position during a Clifton Town Meeting that he didn't have the most qualified of backgrounds for the position. It was later in that meeting he defined greenspace as this park development (greenspace = space that is green), which proved his point. The parks board director should have the qualifications to understand whether or not a development would hurt greenspace, and on top of that hurt the community around it. Burnet Woods, since 2018, has been fighting off developments. It is recognized as an important bird and biodiversity area BY the National Audubon Society. A park for dogs to congregate in one area would disturb this. Construction of the park itself will most certainly disturb this. The space is recognized as the heart of Cincinnati by many, and is often referred to as an Urban Oasis. You are taking away something special from community members through this development. No one is fighting the idea of an accessible dog park, we just want a dog park that isn't going to harm the ecology of and community's accessibility to BW along with it. I live in Corryville, there is PLENTY of greyspace to work with. Why Burnet Woods? Why take away something so valued to satisfy a small minority or residents? I would also like to point out that Burnet Woods has already been halved from its initial foundation in 1874. Why do we continue to harm this one area? Why are we targeting greenspace at all? I would also like to state here that a little over a year ago the President of the Parks board of commissioners, Jim Goetz, argued with me and belittled my position of authority after discussing the that park would be made out of concrete. He became visibly upset, exclaiming that the dog park would not be made out of concrete and that I needed to a lesson in using facts over my feelings. Today I find that, in fact, there are still plans with concrete incorporated in the design. I would like to take a moment and ask why someone who would belittle a 19-year-old college student for voicing concern over something that impacts their community and ecology of the community continuously claims he is actively listening to our concerns, even when his arguments are clearly in the wrong (factually)? This actively shows how lack-luster the community engagement has been, and how knowledgeable the people on that board backing up the development actually are on the plan itself. In conclusion, this dog park does not deserve the right to be built in pre-existing greenspace. If accessibility is of concern, I would recommend the many deferred maintenance projects in Burnet would be a great starting point. Thank you.	12/1/2023 10:27 PM
782	No turf! Make it more natural. Why cater to dogs? We need nature. This doesn't serve the community effectively. It serves just a few in the short term.	12/1/2023 10:23 PM
783	I don't think this is a very bird friendly plan. Burnett woods had the unique distinction of being a place for migrating birds to stop on their long journeys. It is also an island of biodiversity in an	12/1/2023 10:20 PM

New Burnet Woods Dog Park Update - We Want to Hear from You

urban environment. Adding artificial turf, flexing and other non native elements is disruptive to the nature environment that birds call home. Please don't let this happen.

784	What a shame. Dog parks are bad for the environment and for dogs themselves. The research used to determine if PFAS was present in turf, was done by a major turf company. That is irresponsible. Secondly, the research stated PFAS were not present, and then proceeded to list derivatives and alternate names for PFAS chemicals. I'm genuinely disgusted by the lack of value the parks board places on honoring the green space left in Cincinnati.	12/1/2023 10:13 PM
785	This has been a long time coming. The design feels thoughtful and integrates beautifully within the park. Thank you for your commitment and transparency Cincinnati Parks.	12/1/2023 10:13 PM
786	I love the design itself! A recommendation would be to place the dog park slightly more off Jefferson Ave because people take it fast and occasionally, dogs will inevitably escape the park, cars, or their owners. For safety, I think more of a spacial barrier would help to reduce car/dog accidents. Additionally, I believe the fence height could stand to run 5-6 ft high rather than 4. Plenty of larger breeds could hop that. The fencing at Mt. Airy dog park is extremely effective, even for the most agile dogs. Thanks so much!	12/1/2023 10:10 PM
787	Please build this somewhere else besides Pleasant Valley in Burnet Woods. We need to protect our greenspaces.	12/1/2023 10:08 PM
788	Will there be water available in winter? I saw the yard hydrant but don't know if it's frost-free. Thank you for making the doors go the correct direction! Zookeeper here and animal doors go IN! to reduce risk of animal escape and so they can't push them open. I live in Corryville and am excited to bring my dog!	12/1/2023 10:02 PM
789	This is not a thoughtful action for the environment—there are many species who rely on this park for their homes—foxes, birds, owls—and the presence of yet another dog park will limit the already diminishing space for these natural creatures.	12/1/2023 9:44 PM
790	The dog park should not exist. This directly goes against the Cincinnati Park's mission statement. Protect our greenspace. Board members are not taking the negative feedback into consideration and pushing this through regardless. Dog parks are proven to spread disease and synthetic turf serves zero benefits to our parks. This is abuse of taxpayer money. No one will ever support a park levy again.	12/1/2023 9:44 PM
791	I do not think there is a need for a dog park. What purpose does a dog park have in "serving the uptown community"? It would be different if there was no green space there currently, but I think it would be wiser to maintain and preserve the current green space that encourages walks through the woods.	12/1/2023 9:38 PM
792	Please - find another site for this project. There are much better places completely outside of/away from Burnet Woods Park. Reclaiming one of the many empty parking lots in the area would make an ugly eyesore usable rather than ruining a cherished natural resource, and also make it much simpler and less expensive to execute this exact design. Why irreparably damage this beautiful land, and create noise pollution that will scare away wildlife, when so many more suitable sites are available? As stewards of our planet, we should know better than to tear up this gorgeous unspoiled area. Let's do better and actually improve an existing paved area somewhere outside this park.	12/1/2023 9:34 PM
793	As many or most people have already responded to your post about this happening, we are upset and disappointed. As someone who owns a dog, I find it completely unnecessary and irresponsible for the parks to continue with this plan. Clifton residents do not need a dog park, please reconsider and listen to us.	12/1/2023 9:31 PM
794	What is wrong with grass? A friend wrote this comments---and it should concern you." I lived a few blocks from one of these abominations in DC. For a half a mile around it absolutely reeked of dog piss and shit. This is the worst idea the city has had since selling the railroad."	12/1/2023 9:27 PM
795	If you need to make a dog park just put a fence around an already existing area, no artificial turf. Symmes Township Park has a dog park in the woods that is just a fenced in area and it was my dogs favorite dog park. It would be significantly cheaper as well. I do not understand the need for this dog park, it puts a stain on Cincinnati parks for many who enjoy them.	12/1/2023 9:22 PM
796	Do not use artificial turf if you have to create a dog park.	12/1/2023 9:21 PM
797	Unbelievable that you would tear up real grass to put down plastic grass. Chemicals will seep into the soil.	12/1/2023 9:20 PM

New Burnet Woods Dog Park Update – We Want to Hear from You

798	Please do not put a dog park here with artificial turf. Respect the existing wildlife and keep everything natural	12/1/2023 9:19 PM
799	Please do not construct an artificial turf Dog Park at Burnet Woods. No matter how small of percentage of this protected Green Space this will destroy - it is still too much. I believe any further development at Burnet Woods that takes away natural resources and replaces them with fake replicas does not only a disservice to all who need natural Green Space including dogs, but it also sets up conditions that will require upkeep and ultimately clean up of the waste products and toxins that will be introduced to the area that are not currently concentrated there. We need to protect our remaining green spaces. It is beyond me why the park would not reclaim a section of any park that is already paved for this endeavor. This is an unbelievable disservice to all of the people in the Greater Cincinnati area. Please pick another spot for the Dog Park that would renew an area instead of degrade it.	12/1/2023 9:19 PM
800	Do not do it!!! Why??? Our world is so messed up and now we have to put fake grass on real grass??? So people can spend more time on their phones not paying attention to reality and their dog?? Who are you trying to help? Yourself?! Because i don't know ONE person who would use that dog park. Burnett woods is historic for its vegetation and species and thats why you WALK around the park with your DOG. If you don't want to walk your dog then you shouldn't get one. Period.	12/1/2023 9:17 PM
801	Do not build this. It will disrupt the birds that currently live here. And Why take out real grass to replace with turf?! Waste of nature space.	12/1/2023 9:16 PM
802	No synthetic turf in parks. Leave our green spaces natural.	12/1/2023 9:14 PM
803	I dont think there should be any artificial turf as there isn't any such cover that is safe for the environment especially when it means replacing natural ground cover with artificial that will degrade over time while polluting the environment. Further, Cincinnati Parks has not fixed the old infrastructure in the park which leads me to believe this new addition will be cared for adequately. This plan seems to serve a small portion of the people who use the park. Dog walkers have full on access to the park, which is very accessible, whereas the buildings are not. Honestly, half the time I'm walking in Burnet Woods I have to find a discreet place to pee in the woods since there's rarely an open accessible restroom. That and fixing the stove steps are where I'd put my money. How about the people who are working every weekend to actually improve the park by removing invasive species and picking up trash? They don't want a dog park and neither do I. Greens space is precious and should be treated as such. Not to mention the proximity to the nearby apartment building, spread of doggie illnesses, dog bites and fights etc. I just do not understand Cincinnati sparks priorities....	12/1/2023 9:12 PM
804	This is disgraceful. To have a city park, so important to all types of wildlife, have any of its natural setting be torn out to cover with artificial plastic is an absolute tragedy. There are plenty of sidewalks and paths for people to walk their dogs!! If you choose to live in the city, that is how you should exercise your dog. I don't understand what is happening to our park system. This needs to be stopped.	12/1/2023 9:11 PM
805	This is truly a terrible idea. SO SO SO many people are opposed to it. Why are you steamrolling this unwanted project? Who is paying you off? You are beholden to the people of Cincinnati and they. Do. NOT. Want this! Frankly you should be ashamed of yourselves. Listening to your own interests, not listening to the constituents of this city. It's seriously supremely disappointing. You have a civic duty and you're not doing it. It's honestly not that hard. Your Instagram post about this is an absolute dumpster fire in the comments section.	12/1/2023 9:01 PM
806	I have significant concerns about the impact of the park on wildlife that use burnet woods including owls, foxes, migratory birds. I think efforts should be made to consult experts in that on location of the park to minimize impacts on these animals that need green spaces.	12/1/2023 9:00 PM
807	Put it somewhere else! Do not place it in Burnet Woods at all.	12/1/2023 8:58 PM
808	While the designs are beautifully drawn they don't compare to the beauty of the existing tree canopy. My suggestion is it's a park bring your dog. Fencing off a portion of a public park for a limited use is not my idea of a great use of this fragile and extremely rare resource.	12/1/2023 8:57 PM
809	this dog park is going to be so harmful to native bird species who nest here. The Audubon society has deemed this park an important birding site, these aren't common and it's an honor for Cincinnati to have one. this is jeopardizing the parks status and so many kinds of wildlife. I	12/1/2023 8:56 PM

New Burnet Woods Dog Park Update – We Want to Hear from You

am begging the board to rethink this- a dog park can go ANYWHERE, specialized bird habitats can't.

810	Love it! Let's do it! Soon!!!	12/1/2023 8:51 PM
811	PLEASE DO NOT DO THIS!!!!!!! Do not destroy ACTUAL nature to bring in artificial turf—you will be disturbing nesting birds, killing crucial trees, just for what!?????? THERE ARE PLENTY OF DOG PARKS AND OUR PLANET IS DYING, PLEASE LEAVE THE TREES!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!	12/1/2023 8:49 PM
812	The design looks beautiful. It is great to have a designated spot for dogs to run without a leash vs running on the paths in the general park. Thank you for all of the work .	12/1/2023 8:43 PM
813	Burnet Woods does not need a dog park. Geeze	12/1/2023 8:43 PM
814	There are many dog parks throughout the city and this spot is already home to many species of birds. This would be a careless & harmful decision to take away the home for these native species & a migratory spot for other species.	12/1/2023 8:40 PM
815	A better location. Why Burnett woods	12/1/2023 8:38 PM
816	I would rather see something for all the wonderful wildlife that call the park home. There are tons of dog parks around the area people can use. Make this an area people can learn about the animals owls who live in the park and the hawks instead please. Thank you for your consideration.	12/1/2023 8:29 PM
817	NO synthetic turf and NO dog park. The park is an important and scarce green space.	12/1/2023 8:25 PM
818	Love it! Wish it was going to be even bigger but am glad the size takes care to address the needs of the existing trees. Really great community addition!	12/1/2023 8:25 PM
819	Do not build it!	12/1/2023 8:24 PM
820	I love it!!! Please build our neighborhood dog park! The park board has been overly gracious in community input and your work is appreciated. Please move forward with the build	12/1/2023 8:20 PM
821	Please choose a different location for the dog park! Burnet woods is beautiful and home to many important species. The turf is terrible for the environment and the park would be very negatively impacted by putting in this dog park for residents and visitors!	12/1/2023 8:18 PM
822	Parks currently does not have a plan for maintenance, does not designate who will maintain this instillation. There is no plan to increase staff to maintain this. No water quality study was done, the environmental impact of the dog waste running off the artificial turf will cause environmental harm! And we don't know the extent of the harm because a impact study was not done. The renderings misrepresent how flat that area is, y'all it's a hill, this pictures make it looks like a nice flat spot,	12/1/2023 8:18 PM
823	I hate the idea of synthetic surfaces. I am opposed to the dog park and want that to be on the record, but will answer assuming it won't be stopped at this point. But I'm hoping. Are there separate spaces for little and big dogs? Is there anywhere where we can see the rules?	12/1/2023 8:13 PM
824	Don't build this stupid dog park no one wants? Preserve the nature we have ? Listen to the people who live there? So weird and heartless.	12/1/2023 8:06 PM
825	This will greatly impact the wildlife that relies on this space. Please keep this natural area a great resting and breeding space for migratory warblers. A TURF dog park, of all things, is not needed.	12/1/2023 8:02 PM
826	Please please please, do NOT build a Dog Park. The community does NOT want it. We want better efforts of conservation for our beloved Burnet Woods.	12/1/2023 8:01 PM
827	Chose an alternate site and leave the soil in Burnet Woods free to sequester carbon, to mitigate storm water, to feed our wildlife, and provide a place for quiet human reflection.	12/1/2023 7:56 PM
828	This is a horrible idea. Horrible location and we need to be more considered of wildlife and natural habitats. Sure it's nice for people to have a place to take their dogs but there is already plenty of those spaces. VETO!!! No Dog Park!!	12/1/2023 7:51 PM
829	I have concerns over how synthetic turf aligns with provide native habitats, but also appreciate the attention to accessible universal design	12/1/2023 7:51 PM

New Burnet Woods Dog Park Update – We Want to Hear from You

830	we don't want a dog park. we don't need a dog park. we want natural green spaces. no turf.	12/1/2023 7:48 PM
831	Put it somewhere else where it won't disturb nearby residents and take up much-needed parking.	12/1/2023 7:44 PM
832	Scrap the plans & don't put one in. The actual, real green space on Burnet is already limited, and an important habitat. There has been very vocal opposition to the dog park from neighbors of Burnet, and people who use the park. Maybe listen to them.	12/1/2023 7:43 PM
833	It's unfortunate that the park is removing urban green space. This is an important location for migratory birds and brings park visitors to enjoy nature. Removing a natural setting from our park is a shame.	12/1/2023 7:41 PM
834	I don't understand why you're taking the one place in the area that has good tree coverage or why you want to replace green space with synthetic turf. This seems like the opposite of care and maintenance of our parks. Put a dog park in an unused parking lot or tear down a vacant building. Don't wreck a place that already gets tons of use.	12/1/2023 7:41 PM
835	No dog park please. Keep the land protected.	12/1/2023 7:37 PM
836	It's beautiful! Can't wait to bring my dog here	12/1/2023 7:33 PM
837	You can not do this. That would make it better. Burnet Woods doesn't need a dog park. Residents that live near by and the general public seeking solace in the park don't need to hear dogs barking. Clifton has enough low traffic streets so people can put their dog on a leash and take them for a walk/run. Synthetic turf is harmful to the environment, no matter how you pitch it. When it rains, the poo laying around will stink horribly. I see nothing positive about this idea. Leave well enough alone.	12/1/2023 7:29 PM
838	Burnet Woods is great as is. It is an amazing oasis of green space in a highly built up area. Please stop trying to develop it.	12/1/2023 7:29 PM
839	Looks great!	12/1/2023 7:29 PM
840	Artificial turf in a park seems counter intuitive. Burnet woods is special because of its natural beauty and home for wildlife. Most people these days are more acutely aware of our effects on the environment and surrounding habitats, and thusly have attempted to mitigate their damages and encourage nature to thrive. It seems like Cinci Parks wants to go the other way, and ignore the fact that nature and wildlife is what makes these Parks great to begin with. Cinci Parks is in a unique position to encourage nature to thrive in an urban environment, and building this artificial dog park would be an abomination.	12/1/2023 7:28 PM
841	Don't build it. Why on earth would you destroy the forest to replace it with artificial turf? Ridiculous. Leave the woods untouched and build your dog park somewhere else. There's a million better places you can choose that don't involve tearing down what little greenery we have left here.	12/1/2023 7:27 PM
842	Don't not ruin homes of animals to put fake grass for dogs. There's enough habitat loss already on the city. Ashamed	12/1/2023 7:26 PM
843	I understand why you want to make a dog park; I feel consideration of birds/raptors and other animals that rely on green space were not considered. Would appreciate additional green space being created to help with the native and migratory animals.	12/1/2023 7:26 PM
844	Please do not build this dog park. We don't want it. It can be better if it is not made.	12/1/2023 7:26 PM
845	It appears to be a well planned out gathering space for our community.	12/1/2023 7:23 PM
846	It's perfect. Certified PFAs free, and no trees removed. We will keep raising funds!	12/1/2023 7:23 PM
847	You should find another area and not put a dog park in Burnet Woods in order to preserve the sanctuary for current bird populations that exist there	12/1/2023 7:21 PM
848	Bad. And you know you haven't listened to any feedback from the community. Listen to the scientists and the community. Check your Instagram comments. No one wants this. Extremely disappointing	12/1/2023 7:21 PM
849	Would rather there be no artificial turf. Would rather there be no dog park at all. I like the prairie planting idea, just do that instead of a dog park.	12/1/2023 7:18 PM
850	I visit this park frequently and i know there is much wildlife that live near and at burnet woods.	12/1/2023 7:15 PM

New Burnet Woods Dog Park Update - We Want to Hear from You

I believe firmly that turfing is a BAD idea. Germs from the dogs will rest on the turf, over time the turf will become faded, torn, gross. Also, will there be people cleaning it regularly? Please leave the true green space and do not turf it. There are also many birds of beet such as owls and hawks that hunt the birds and squirrels. Disturbing the already small place they call home is not okay.

851	Introducing Synthetic turf to a wildlife area is dangerous and unethical. Did you know burnet woods is deemed an important bird area by the Audubon society? Plus dogs deserve real plants too	12/1/2023 7:12 PM
852	No dog park	12/1/2023 7:11 PM
853	I think it looks like a great addition to Burnett Woods! The park is a lot better than when I was at UC in the 1990s but still lacks a center of activity. I think will help activate the park more fully. Can't wait!	12/1/2023 7:09 PM
854	We don't want a dog park taking valuable natural space within the city. Find another place to put it.	12/1/2023 7:08 PM
855	Do not carry out this project. The turf will permanently damage the soil and environment of Burnet Woods.	12/1/2023 7:06 PM
856	I love it!	12/1/2023 7:03 PM
857	Wow! Thank you for sharing the plans and appreciate the thoughtfulness. It looks fantastic and I know it will be a great addition to the community	12/1/2023 6:59 PM
858	As a dog owner, I do not think this is a dog park I will use. Turf seems unsanitary and disconnected from the outside. I already feel like this dog park will be unsafe due to the neighborhood but the turf is just awful! It seems cheap and will be the reason I do not use this dog park. We will go somewhere with grass. Scrap this idea and create a garden or something.	12/1/2023 6:58 PM
859	Don't build it. It's both a desecration of a fine public park, and a future source of disease, and odor. I'm a dog owner, and will never use it. Who is paying you guys off?	12/1/2023 6:57 PM
860	Possibly two water hydrants for pups and people? Other than that, you have shade, benches, gates, fence to ground so dogs can't get out and trash receptacle. It looks lovely and a long time coming. It is needed. Other areas of the city have had dog parks for a long time. Great addition to Clifton.	12/1/2023 6:57 PM
861	This looks amazing! As a resident of Clifton, I can't wait. It's discouraging to see a few people so vocally and actively opposed to new uses and a fresh update of an area of the park that I've rarely ever seen in use by anyone. I do not believe this minority of voices accurately portrays the community's interests. Please bring this to our neighborhood as soon as possible! Thanks!	12/1/2023 6:54 PM
862	It looks great! What a well designed community improvement!	12/1/2023 6:48 PM
863	Please don't make this, it will ruin the park. This is not necessary at all.	12/1/2023 6:46 PM
864	Listen to the residents of the community and don't build the park. Keep old growth trees because newly planted trees do not have the same ecosystem value, mycorrhizal community, and biodiversity as old-growth. Black metal fencing will just be ugly. Have you considered the vandalism this park is going to face? Focus on housing the homeless instead of turfing our parks!!!!	12/1/2023 6:44 PM
865	Not using synthetic turf would be better.	12/1/2023 6:43 PM
866	Thank you so much for doing this. We have one a dog park for so long. This is one of the most dog friendly communities I can imagine. Most of the neighbors that we know we've met through our dogs. I like anything that you've put forth, but I really like the renderings of two and three. Thank you!	12/1/2023 6:43 PM
867	Money could be better spent elsewhere. Dog parks are not only dangerous for dogs (no way to confirm vetting, temperament, etc), but it's not great for the environment. It's obvious there's significant pushback from the community. Im not sure why the parks dept is so set on a dog park, but please reconsider.	12/1/2023 6:39 PM
868	Do not want the dog park. It is terrible for the environment with bird species and owls and hawks.	12/1/2023 6:39 PM

New Burnet Woods Dog Park Update - We Want to Hear from You

869	I am a UC student and have lived in Cincinnati my whole life. I don't want a dog park in Burnett woods. Please don't destroy habitat and build something that doesn't serve the community.	12/1/2023 6:37 PM
870	I, a Clifton resident and Cincinnati State student, do not support the implementation of a dog park here. Please consider listening to the massive community input begging for an immediate stop to the project. Cincinnati residents love this park and we do not support this addition.	12/1/2023 6:31 PM
871	This is great but much too small for the community.	12/1/2023 6:28 PM
872	Do NOT turf our parks!!! Mt Airy dog park does not have turf. There is no such thing as PFAS free turf. This is the WRONG site to place a fenced dog park. I have a dog and I would NEVER use this and it would degrade my experience of enjoying this park that I have loved for > 60 years. - park volunteer and honeysuckle eradicator	12/1/2023 6:28 PM
873	After so many community members, citing numerous environmental welfare reasons not to build a dog park here, I am appalled you would still even consider building a dog park at this location. Do not make a dog park here! The community has been overwhelmingly opposed to this! Genuinely, who is doing this? Why do you want to worsen a park that so many people care so deeply about? For real, what is going on? This is not what you claim to be about. People can already bring their dogs to Burnet Woods! No artificial turf!	12/1/2023 6:26 PM
874	Do not build this park. I love the Cincinnati parks and have been going since I was born but this park is not conducive to natural plants and wildlife of the area which are all the things you promise to do. Burnet Woods is one of the oldest and most beloved parks and it should not be tarnished by this.	12/1/2023 6:24 PM
875	Do not do this in Burnett Woods. Do not use turf. I recommend putting a non astro turf dog park at Mt. Storm instead!	12/1/2023 6:19 PM
876	There does not need to be a dog park here	12/1/2023 6:18 PM
877	Build more quickly. It's wasteful to spend years in planning.	12/1/2023 6:16 PM
878	Please, beg of you, stop this project. Use this funding to improve already existing infrastructure in Burnet Woods. Additional funding towards general maintenance is a better option than a dog park. Especially since this coming year is the 150th anniversary for Burnet which I would expect to see more improvements to what we already have. At least consider renovating the restrooms to allow usage in the winter months. Having a heated restroom to use all year is a higher need than a dog park. Thanks for your time and consideration, hope you make the right decision.	12/1/2023 6:13 PM
879	No artificial turf please	12/1/2023 6:12 PM
880	No fake plastic turf	12/1/2023 6:11 PM
881	So exciting to see the renderings. Can't wait!	12/1/2023 6:10 PM
882	Great work has been done. We appreciate the receptiveness of feedback which has been abundant and not always civilized. Thank you for trying to bring something positive to the Burnet Woods communities.	12/1/2023 6:09 PM
883	Do not put in a dog park. Let nature be nature. People can walk there dogs there already just fine. The community does not support this so perhaps listen to the people (the community) that utilize this park and find alternative ways to allocate the funds like improving the current nature center and species preservation for example. Thanks for listening.	12/1/2023 6:06 PM
884	I do not agree with any rendering of a dog park being put into place at Burnet Woods as this would harm the birds who migrate to Burnet, the PFAS in the turf would poison the ground water, and cincy parks should focus on the lack of accessibility already existing in the park rather than starting a new development.	12/1/2023 6:05 PM
885	NO DOG PARK IN BURNET WOODS!	12/1/2023 6:04 PM
886	There is no such thing as PFAS free artificial turf. Meanwhile our City parks have \$20+ million in deferred maintenance. We need NO new amenities until a lot of the existing amenities can be better maintained. There was no specific community engagement on this location. This area is not a good location. I'm opposed to this change for all the above noted reasons. Do not build it.	12/1/2023 6:03 PM
887	Eliminate the turf. It gets much too hot in the summer, and can burn dog's pads. It is terrible	12/1/2023 6:02 PM

New Burnet Woods Dog Park Update - We Want to Hear from You

for the environment as well. Why would we rip up perfectly good grass, the hallmark for a greenspace like parks, and put down an artificial surface? Also - there are zero turf manufacturers that can guarantee PFAS free materials. I am certain there are clauses within the purchase agreement than indemnifies the manufacturer if PFAS materials are found after the fact.

888	Leave the park as it is	12/1/2023 6:00 PM
889	Cancel it! That would make it better. Don't destroy this natural area for a frivolous, unnecessary dog park. Use those funds for "real" improvements to the park (Trailside renovation, habitat restoration, non-native plant removal) not destruction of valuable green space for a vanity project. Dogs are already allowed everywhere in the park.	12/1/2023 5:59 PM
890	Outstanding design respectful of community input. Dog parks are a great use for neighborhood parks, not unlike playgrounds, disk golf, fishing, hiking. Parks are for people and their companions. Thanks CPB for not caving to extremism.	12/1/2023 5:58 PM
891	Turf is not good for the environment and local species that call burnet woods home. This should not be added to burnet woods as we should keep the small protected space as natural as possible. Many of the local communities agree	12/1/2023 5:57 PM
892	What if you just planted the trees and scrapped everything else?	12/1/2023 5:56 PM
893	Don't put a dog park in burnet woods, this idea was terrible from the start and its Terrible now.	12/1/2023 5:54 PM
894	Burnet woods needs to be protected! No dog parks are needed in the woods!	12/1/2023 5:48 PM
895	Please reconsider this project. Burnet Woods provides such a valuable and unique ecosystem in an urban environment. Please do not put in the park.	12/1/2023 5:44 PM
896	Keep natural grass / ground ... Look at Newport and fort Thomas s dog parks - ... I honestly do not want a dog park at Burnet woods there is too much native wildlife... Mt. Storm would be a better location..	12/1/2023 5:38 PM
897	Do not build a dog park here. It is not needed and Cincinnati residents don't want it.	12/1/2023 5:36 PM
898	Plastic turf is unbearably hot no matter who makes it and markets it. aside from the environmental concerns, this will limit it usage. This is an unnecessary and expensive project - the money could be used for maintenance in other parts of the park. There a couple other dog parks nearby.	12/1/2023 5:34 PM
899	Love the improvements and great compromises. My only feedback is the tree bed walls look very inviting as skateboard ramps. It is nice that it adds a few more seats. Although the lower the section of the wall, the closer a person sits to dog urine! But maybe it will be fine! Great job.	12/1/2023 5:33 PM
900	I walk in burnet woods every day and can't wait for the dog park to be completed! It looks better than ever. I more curb bump-outs and ways to make the area pedestrian friendly, the better! I wonder if there could be some improvements to the safety at the intersection of Jefferson and Brookline since it's a key pedestrian access point. Thanks!!	12/1/2023 5:28 PM
901	A dog park with synthetic turf is incredibly detrimental to the wildlife that lives in Burnet Woods. There has to be a better location for this - the natural green space in Cincinnati, including Burnet Woods, is what keeps so many of us here.	12/1/2023 5:28 PM
902	You can make this design better by scrapping it. Leashed dogs are already welcome in Burnet Woods for the safety of the dog, the owners, the wildlife, and other park patrons. There is no reason to add a dog park, let alone SYNTHETIC TURF to protected woods.	12/1/2023 5:26 PM
903	Keep this dog park with synthetic turf out of Burnet Woods!!! 🤔	12/1/2023 5:20 PM
904	First of all, building a synthetic dog park in a nature preserve is just about the dumbest thing I've read today. There's no need for another dog park. Which brings me to my second point, DOG PARKS ARE BAD FOR DOGS!! Dog parks allow for multiple diseases to be spread at a rapid rate. I work at a Dog Daycare facility right next to a dog park, and we have had multiple cases of Bordetella (Kennel Cough) enter our facility from clients taking their dogs there. We then have to deep clean our ENTER facility from top to bottom for 2 weeks, which is obviously incredibly annoying. Building this dog park could be detrimental because of a new dog virus making its way through Ohio currently. (Which still hasn't been registered in Ohio yet so many	12/1/2023 5:19 PM

New Burnet Woods Dog Park Update - We Want to Hear from You

are unaware of its presence right here in Cincinnati). Here's how you can make it better, DON'T BUILD IT AT ALL!

905	I'm very against this location, but if it's going to happen and negatively affect neighbors (which it will), every effort should be made to minimize negative impact including: Sound/noise reducing properties, odor fighting materials / very regular professional cleanings and dedicated budget for continual maintenance and upkeep. It's a shame this is going to go in despite such strong negative response from the community. It will be used by few and despised by many.	12/1/2023 5:17 PM
906	Love, love, love all of the designs & that there will be less "unnatural" product in the park. I vote for Rendering 3. It looks more spacious. Thank you for listening. Burnet Woods is the location that will accommodate the most dog walkers since it borders CUF, Clifton & Corryville.	12/1/2023 5:15 PM
907	Please keep the grass real!! What's the point of having an outdoor place for dogs with fake grass, it's terrible for the environment and gets so much hotter than real grass. Dogs deserve to have real grass too, it makes no sense.	12/1/2023 5:14 PM
908	This dog park looks amazing and I can't wait to bring my dogs there as often as I can. Great job on location and design.	12/1/2023 5:10 PM
909	Please scrap the idea of this park. For one, why would you put plastic in a park area? Two, the community has been very vocal about NOT wanting this park. There is no way this park would be good for wildlife in the area. Preserve our green areas and find somewhere else for a dog park.	12/1/2023 5:02 PM
910	Please do not put a dog park in Burnet Woods. I have signed every petition that I can against it. The community had been very vocal about NOT wanting the dog park in Burnet Woods. Please keep our park green and turf free.	12/1/2023 4:58 PM
911	The communities, defined as several distinct ones that you have presumably consulted, have largely expressed opposition to this effort. There was talk early on about possible alternate sites, but whatever happened to that? Why is the plan continuing with the synthetic turf that residents have expressly opposed and that many other communities are already banning in their greenspaces? Cincinnati Parks should LEAD here by exploring alternatives to location and materials. In short, please don't do this.	12/1/2023 4:54 PM
912	You should have thought about obtaining valuable feedback from naturalists and Audubon or Sierra Club not to mention community feedback. Short sighted and another attempt to just ram something down the throats of Cincinnati residents. Unbelievable you would not obtain sign offs on this project by those important people/agencies where you would then gain valuable trust and buy in from everyone. Absolutely no faith this was well conceived without outside entities signing off and verifying that this new idea would not mess with the integrity of the parks overlying purpose. For shame on the Park Board.	12/1/2023 4:53 PM
913	No dog park at all, but please remove the synthetic turf at least	12/1/2023 4:50 PM
914	Simple. Do not build a dog park in Burnet Woods. The community has been clear that this park is not something we want but something your corporate sponsors and donors are pushing. Leave the green space green. Keep your synthetic turf out of a natural park area. Put simply, this design is as bad as the previous ones because it ignores the simple fact that the majority of the community does not want a dog park where you are insisting on building one.	12/1/2023 4:49 PM
915	Don't creat a dog park here.	12/1/2023 4:42 PM
916	This is an amazing idea and much needed addition to the Clifton area! This will provide opportunity for more positive activity and community interaction in the Burnet woods area, great job!	12/1/2023 4:41 PM
917	Can it. Burnet woods doesn't need a dog park. Nowhere needs a dog park. Any park is a dog park if your dog is there. The last thing burnet woods needs is turf near any of it. This idea sucks and you should be embarrassed for even thinking of it	12/1/2023 4:41 PM
918	Do not build this dog park. This is a terrible idea and it will negatively impact many native animal, fungi and plant species that are integral to the ecology of this ecosystem. This construction will disrupt many of the natural processes that have already been disrupted in many other aspects. I ask that you think twice about this. The community is not happy about it and will continue to not support it. Thank you.	12/1/2023 4:39 PM
919	I love my dogs, but putting a dog park in this tiny but incredibly biodiverse space is not the	12/1/2023 4:38 PM

New Burnet Woods Dog Park Update - We Want to Hear from You

move. The appeal this park has to so many nature lovers will totally diminish.

920	Dog parks have been scientifically shown to be bad for the environment and for dogs. Increases in dog fights and dog/human injuries is shown within any dog park. increases in disease and parasite spread- dog to dog and dog to human are also reported. As a public health student this is an extremely bad addition to the Clifton area. Please reconsider delegating this funding to other, more important projects.	12/1/2023 4:35 PM
921	Please listen to the residents and the community and do the right thing, eliminate the dog park idea.	12/1/2023 4:34 PM
922	Please do not clear land for this. This park is beautiful and wonderfully upkept by the workers of the Cincinnati Parks. It would be such a tragedy if this park were to be worked on when it is not necessary. Please take into consideration the history and environmental lessons this park has left on us all.	12/1/2023 4:33 PM
923	There shouldn't be a communal water bowl that's how viruses are spread. There's a deadly dog virus spreading across the US.	12/1/2023 4:33 PM
924	Please don't install this dog park. I'm glad you've made some concessions with previous feedback to include more natural areas. Dedicated parking is fine except that this area already has a lot of traffic. I really can't see how artificial turf is not going to add to more micro plastics into the environment particularly near a body of water that migratory birds use. Please don't do this.	12/1/2023 4:28 PM
925	Do not put a dog park in Burnet Woods, it is not what the people want. Definitely do not use synthetic turf, preserve the little greenspace that we have in Cincinnati!!	12/1/2023 4:25 PM
926	We don't need a dog park actually!!	12/1/2023 4:24 PM
927	While the synthetic turf is PFAS free I assume it is still plastic. Microplastic pollution is a major issue in the environment. What is the data on the degradation of the turf over time and the subsequent release of microplastics into the environment?	12/1/2023 4:23 PM
928	The community of Clifton, including myself, oppose the construction of a dog park in Burnet Woods. This is a green space meant to be preserved for residents, wildlife, and plant species alike. Scrap the idea fully and find a more suitable site.	12/1/2023 4:23 PM
929	This is already a natural space that you want to fence of with astroturf...sounds like terrible fucking idea. This is a small dog park, would work better in a different public space like Vacant lot, other public building. The woods is what makes this area so special and is the name shake of the community, taking it away would be a tragedy	12/1/2023 4:21 PM
930	No dog park please!!! In 2021, a group of UC seniors did a project looking at the environmental repercussions and community impacts of a dog park here. Not a good spot for a dog park!!!	12/1/2023 4:17 PM
931	Do not put a dog park in Burnet Woods you dumb asses.	12/1/2023 4:16 PM
932	Dogs are already in the park. I live nearby and don't know anyone who would use this. Why not put it where concrete currently exists, rather than pave and take over the grass?	12/1/2023 4:15 PM
933	I am against a dog park in this area with sensitive wildlife populations. Find an empty private lot to develop into this dog park.	12/1/2023 4:11 PM
934	I won't take my dog to a 'dog park' because they're unsafe. Haven't you read the reports of the respiratory infection outbreak in the US this week? But regardless of that, there's Parvo, Ringworm, and Leptospirosis to name a few. My dog needs green grass to run and walk on. Not turf with a concentration of dogs to spread disease. Please don't mess up our park. Mother Nature knows best.	12/1/2023 4:05 PM
935	I like how the dog park at Washington park has a little river the dogs can lay in when they're hot. Can this been incorporated?	12/1/2023 4:05 PM
936	Don't do it - stop going against the will of the residents. All these renderings are bad just leave the park alone.	12/1/2023 4:02 PM
937	No turf at all- there is zero need for it. Leave green space green.	12/1/2023 4:00 PM
938	Is it necessary to use artificial turf? It seems like artificial turf would take more expensive maintenance by having to be replaced instead of maintaining natural surfaces.	12/1/2023 4:00 PM

New Burnet Woods Dog Park Update - We Want to Hear from You

939	Beautiful.	12/1/2023 3:58 PM
940	Bad idea. Turf is a really terrible idea.	12/1/2023 3:50 PM
941	I'm thrilled there's going to be a dog park. The plans look really good	12/1/2023 3:47 PM
942	Looks beautiful. How will Parks make sure that: 1. The area is maintained by users, ie. pick up poop, throw away trash. 2. Ensure vicious dogs are not permitted. 3. Hold individuals accountable for breaking dog park rules.	12/1/2023 3:46 PM
943	I really like this. Thank you for making it happen. The design looks great! I don't understand why some people are so vehemently opposed to this. It's a great use of the space and gives people another reason to go and use this park. There has been so much bad news and negativity not just about this but with Burnet Woods in general lately (drug use, homeless population, graffiti and trash) that it's exciting to see this improvement. Hopefully with this, more people will come to the park and make it more active. It looks like it is plenty big enough and has more than adequate parking. And using gravel, artificial turf, and concrete will help keep it clean and orderly like Washington Park. It's about time Uptown got something like this! What good things are next for Burnet Woods? I can't wait.	12/1/2023 3:41 PM
944	Looks great. Thank you so much.	12/1/2023 3:40 PM
945	I think it's too small. Medium and bigger dogs needs space to run and play. Smaller confined areas can lead to more dog fights, more space allows dogs to be unconstricted when navigating meeting new dogs so it's less stressful.	12/1/2023 3:32 PM
946	Looks great! I'm very excited.	12/1/2023 3:21 PM
947	This looks wonderful! We are so excited! Perfect way to make our community even better!	12/1/2023 3:17 PM
948	This looks beautiful ~ it seems like you've thought of everything. Well done. If I had a wish list I would love the lazy river or the stream of water like they have in Washington Dog Park ~ my dog loves to lie down in the water. Thank you for all your efforts and bringing a wonderful and beautiful park to Clifton	12/1/2023 3:17 PM
949	More active use of this park is a must. Burnet Woods has been a concern of mine lately. I knew of one man that spent a lot of time in or lived in Burnet Woods in the 1980s. I walked through the woods to get to UC. He was often sitting in the woods with 2 liters of orange soda scribbling on pads of paper. He sat off the trail and people walked by. The trails were well defined and some were paved. Seeing one outlier in the woods was not cause for fear. It is not a nice place to spend time now. The number of people that hang out in the woods near Clifton and Ludlow is off putting. People must stick with a crowd at Wednesdays in the Woods or stay on the roadway to feel safe. Venturing into the trails for a hike alone or with a child to find leaves is not done anymore. Thank you for giving this park attention. It is a lovely place. It is a gift. My hope is that the dog park will start to attract more people to Burnet Woods for the right reasons. The people that love the birds can still enjoy it. It does not need to be a bird preserve to still be a place for birds. Central Park in NY city is very active and a nice place for birds. Let's hope that Burnet Woods' newest addition, the dog park can be the beginning of a renaissance for this gem. Once people see that a dog park for all to enjoy is happening surely more attention and fundraising can occur for trail improvements. This is a very exciting time to live Burnet Woods. Let's get her some much needed love and positive attention.	12/1/2023 3:07 PM
950	This is fantastic! I am so excited to experience all the seasons in this park. It is great that there will be so many plants and trees included. I am also grateful that this park is accessible to all - that is a uniquely important part of this project.	12/1/2023 3:02 PM
951	I like that the design changes have included community input. I love the idea of a dog park at Burnet Woods. It should increase pedestrian traffic and reduce some sketchy behavior in the vicinity.	12/1/2023 2:51 PM
952	Looks fantastic! Love the new renderings and addition of an extra tree. Can't wait to take my dog here.	12/1/2023 2:44 PM
953	The new design is a big improvement. More trees, comfortable seating, and the meadow.	12/1/2023 2:44 PM
954	The renderings really show how this can fit in nicely in the area and be harmonious with the park. I like the added trees, the bioswale, and the prairie grasses. And being close to Ludlow and the Clifton business district makes it desirable and walkable. The handicap parking and	12/1/2023 2:39 PM

New Burnet Woods Dog Park Update - We Want to Hear from You

accessibility is also a huge plus. These kinds of investment and improvements in Burnet Woods are long-overdue. Thank you for all of this.

955	I think it is excellent and appreciate the inclusion of additional trees/plants as well as making the dog park as accessible as possible. It is also important that the synthetic turf is PSA free and I recommend making that a leading point of discussion as the project moves closer. I also think it is a great improvement to a park that in recent times has not been a very good place to go. It is specifically a great improvement for that area of the park. I live in Clifton and drive by this park all the time and almost never see anyone in this particular area of the park. Burnet Woods right now does not have a good reputation despite being a great park, and I am hopeful this new dog park will change that.	12/1/2023 2:34 PM
956	Love it! Can't wait for this to be done. It will be a great addition to the park, providing an amenity that can be used by any time the park is open. I like how it works itself into the site and incorporates trees and seating.	12/1/2023 2:32 PM
957	I love the design. I love that it is accessible. I love that there will be more activity at Burnet Woods. I love that you listened to people with concerns and are making sure that it is environmentally safe. Thanks for caring about Burnet Woods. There is some not so wholesome activity there and it has become a place for families to stay away from. I am hopeful this will encourage people to see Burnet Woods as a more active park and think of it as a place to stop with the family.	12/1/2023 2:25 PM
958	Sadly, this past few years have proven that this beautiful facility will need security cameras.	12/1/2023 2:19 PM
959	Outstanding job by designers who incorporated many recommendations of the community.	12/1/2023 2:17 PM
960	I think this looks great! The renderings really show how it will be incorporated into the existing park. The meadow grass around it is super cool addition! From reading this it sounds like you all did a good job listening to the community concerns and addressing them.	12/1/2023 2:13 PM
961	I like the design you've come up with and I'm very excited for this dog park to be installed!	12/1/2023 2:13 PM
962	This will be a wonderful addition to Burnet Woods, and will increase vitality and utilization of this park.	12/1/2023 2:02 PM