



CCA Case No. 24224

Christopher Hunter

Investigation Report and Finding

Robert Stephens
Investigator

Dena Brown
Interim Director

Table of Contents

Complaint Summary

Involved Subject Statements

Involved Officer Statements

Officer Witness Statements

Witness Statements

Evidence

Significant Discrepancies and Clarifications

Authorities

Analysis

Findings

Previous Contacts and Commendations

COMPLAINT SUMMARY

Date: February 21, 2025

Time: 11:50 A.M.

Location: [REDACTED]

CCA Receipt: October 21, 2024

On October 12, 2024, Witness B called the Emergency Communication Center (ECC) to report that he had been bitten by a loose dog. Officer Jared Weilauf responded to the scene and subsequently Officer Weilauf discharged his firearm six times at a dog who charged toward him and earlier bit a civilian.

INVOLVED SUBJECT STATEMENTS

Christopher Hunter

CCA interviewed Christopher Hunter, M/B/39, on 10/22/2024 at 2:19 P.M. He provided the following information:

Mr. Hunter stated he was not home when an Officer fatally shot his dog, "Coco", at his residence. On 10/22/2024, Mr. Hunter expressed his frustration with the actions of the Officer. Mr. Hunter stated that the officer should have used a catch pole to corner the dog and catch her instead of shooting her 6 times which he believed was excessive. His dog was being taunted by the person bit and that is the only reason she got off her chain.

INVOLVED OFFICER STATEMENTS

Officer Jared Weilauf

Officer Jared Weilauf, #P0400, M/W/37, is currently assigned to District 3, and he has been a CPD member since 2016. Officer Weilauf was on routine patrol, in uniform and operated a marked cruiser; his BWC was activated.

CCA interviewed Officer Weilauf on 12/17/2024 at 10:27 A.M. He provided the following information:

Officer Weilauf stated that:

"I observed the individual that was bit. I don't recall his name, and the dog is standing next to a believe it was a red Chevy Avalanche. The guy was standing in the back of the truck bed. The dog was standing there barking at him. A few minutes go by the dog kind of runs off. I managed to within a couple minutes get the guy down and get him into my car. Around that time, I believe Officer Jordan arrived. I was in the process of going to go speak to him when I heard the fireman yelling and the dog began charging at them. Shortly after

that, the dog came back down the hill in a beeline straight towards me. And it was coming straight at me, and I believe the dog was going to attack me and caused me harm and I fired my weapon to protect myself.”

Officer Weitlauf went on to explain in his CCA interview that animal control was contacted to respond to the loose dog. The dog was classified as dangerous because of the dog having already bitten someone and there were other people all around that area. It is officers’ responsibility to preserve life when a dog is attacking someone.

A supervisor was contacted and later spoke with the owner of the dog (Mr. Hunter). This was Officers Weitlauf first contact with that dog, and the first time he has had to use his firearm for a dangerous dog. Officer Weitlauf stated that he could not use his chemical irritant or his CEW because the dog was in full sprint for him. These tools could be used when you have ample time, but this was not the case. Officer Weitlauf stated that he did not charge the owner of the dog with anything but is unaware if any other officer or warden did.

Officer Weitlauf advised he was in fear for his life and serious bodily harm from the dog. Furthermore, he feels that the force he used that day was necessary.

OFFICER WITNESS STATEMENTS

Officer Joshua Jordan

Officer Joshua Jordan, #P0053, M/B/40, is currently assigned to District 3, and he has been a CPD member since 2017. Officer Jordan was on routine patrol, in uniform and operated a marked cruiser; his BWC was activated.

CCA interviewed Officer Jordan on 12/17/2024 at 9:56 A.M. He provided the following information:

Officer Jordan was instructed to respond to a call for a dog bite. Officer Weitlauf was already on scene along with the Cincinnati Fire Department. The dog was running up a path towards the Fire Department and then came back towards Officer Weitlauf, aggressively. Officer Jordan stated that the dog was dangerous because the dog had already bitten someone and now was charging at Officer Weitlauf. This was all happening as he first arrived and exited his cruiser. Officer Jordan saw Officer Weitlauf get out of the path of the dog and fired shots at the dog. The dog was shot 6 times with the backdrop being a 14-foot concrete wall.

A sergeant was contacted to respond to the shots being fired and shortly after the shooting, the dog warden arrived. Officer Jordan spoke with Mr. Hunter who was upset and continued to ask why the officers had to shoot his dog and that they could have used a warning shot.

When asked if there could have been any other actions other than shooting the dog, Officer Jordan said no. Officer Jordan stated that the force used was appropriate and if he was in Officer Weitlauf shoes that he would have shot as well.

WITNESS STATEMENTS

Witness A

CCA interviewed Witness A on 11/18/2024 at 09:30 AM. Witness A provided the following information:

Witness A looked outside to see a man on top of a truck. Witness A stated that they did not see the dog bite the person or what lead up to that moment. Witness A stated that they saw the dog out in the street with the officer. The officer walked to the dog getting within its "area". As a result, the dog charged him. The officer then shot 6 or 7 times at the dog. Witness A stated that the force used was excessive.

Witness B

CCA attempted to obtain a statement from Witness B regarding the matter; however, no statement was received despite attempts to establish communication. Witness B was the victim of the dog bite.

Witness C

CCA interviewed Witness A on 12/17/2024 at 12:10 PM. Witness C provided the following information:

Witness C stated that the dog is always chained up and that the person bitten did it to himself. Witness C stated that they did not see the dog bite the person or what led up to that moment, however, they heard a dog barking. When they went to look outside, they saw the officer draw his gun and shoot six times at the dog. Witness C stated that they felt that he shot too many times. Witness C stated that the force used was excessive.

Deputy Pardue-Animal Cares

CCA attempted to obtain a statement from Deputy Pardue regarding the matter; however, no statement was received despite attempts to establish communication. Officer statements, BWC, MVR, and CAD show that Deputy Pardue was not present at the time of the incident.

EVIDENCE

Police Documents

CCA reviewed all CPD-related forms, including but not limited to arrest forms, property receipts, the IIS Report, and information from CPD's Records Management System (RMS).

Computer Aided Dispatch (CAD)

<u>Date</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Call Notes</u>
10/12/2024	11:22:26	[2] [1] Caller: [REDACTED] Wireless Type of Call: WPH2 Lat: +039.114632 Lon: -084.575135[Shared]
10/12/2024	11:23:49	[3] [2] 1st Party Alone - 45-year-old, Male, Conscious, Breathing Problem Description: BIT BY DOG Chief Complaint: 3, CCText: Animal bite [Shared]
10/12/2024	11:25:09	[4] [3] Dispatch Level: 03B03 (Unknown status/Other codes not applicable) Response Text: _BRAVO[Shared]
10/12/2024	11:25:09	[5] [4] > This happened now (less than 6hrs ago). > The injury is to a NOT DANGEROUS area. > The injuries are superficial.

		> He was injured/bitten by a pit bull or rottweiler. > The animal is nearby. > This is an animal bite. > There is some bleeding, not serious. > He is responding normally (completely alert).[Shared]
10/12/2024	11:26:12	[1] Incident linked to [CFD] [CFD241012000110]
10/12/2024	11:26:12	[6] Multi-Agency CFD Incident #: CFD241012000110
10/12/2024	11:26:12	[7] PO NEEDED TO SECURE DOG [Shared]
10/12/2024	11:26:17	[8] COMP STANDING ON TOP OF VEH IN FRONT OF LOC [Shared]
10/12/2024	11:26:47	[9] COMP ADV ONE ANIMAL IS IN FRONT OF HIM AT LOC AND ONE IS CHAINED UP NEAR LOC [Shared]
10/12/2024	11:27:18	[10] Backed up M17 with M24 [Shared]
10/12/2024	11:27:33	[11] **STAGING ALERT** CFD units STAGING until advised by Police. Advise when scene is safe or if CFD can cancel. [Shared]
10/12/2024	11:27:34	[12] COMP ADV HE IS SAFE ON TOP OF VEH [Shared]
10/12/2024	11:28:22	[13] This incident CPD241012000632 has been sent to HAMCO\HCL via the CAD2CAD Interface. [Shared]
10/12/2024	11:28:23	[14] Acknowledgement Received from HAMCO\HCL CINC-1012240562 [Shared]
10/12/2024	11:30:07	[15] MEDICS ONSC LINE DISCONN [Shared]
10/12/2024	11:30:53	[16] Unit - 6K11, status change to STATUS_DISPATCHED by Remote CAD at 10/12/2024 11:30:53 Disposition = DSP;HAMCO;RMI.MIN=CINC-1012240562;Address=1216 Quebec Rd;Problem=CARE Respond/Call;Priority=5;Multi-Agency Links=Post/Location = [Redacted] [Shared]
10/12/2024	11:31:28	[17] [19] NO ETA JUST YET - 6K11 JUST GOT TO HARD ROCK CASINO REF OTHER RUN [Shared]
10/12/2024	11:31:46	[18] Backed up 3124 with 3130 [Shared]
10/12/2024	11:36:43	[19] Incident linked to [CPD] [CPD241012000644]
10/12/2024	11:36:55	[22] [Appended, 11:37:09] [1] Caller: [Redacted] Wireless Type of Call: WPH2 Lat: +039.115619 Lon: - 084.574685 [Shared]
10/12/2024	11:36:56	[20] Incident updated from ShotSpotter Notification. Comment: "2024-10-12 11:35:55 (reviewer@soundthinking.com): Published -- - 2024-10-12 11:36:55 (stephanie.borchers@cincinnati.gov): Acknowledged", Attachments: "8 attachments were added. Old attachments with duplicate name (IncidentAttachmentIDs:598629, 598630, 598631, 598632, 598633, 598634, 598635, 598636) were removed." [Shared]
10/12/2024	11:37:07	[21] Incident updated from ShotSpotter Notification. Comment: "2024-10-12 11:35:55 (reviewer@soundthinking.com): Published -- - 2024-10-12 11:36:55 (stephanie.borchers@cincinnati.gov): Acknowledged --- 2024-10-12 11:37:06 (stephanie.borchers@cincinnati-oh.gov): Modified CAD ID to cpd241012000644", Attachments: "8 attachments were added. Old attachments with duplicate name (IncidentAttachmentIDs:598637, 598638, 598639, 598640, 598641, 598642, 598643, 598644) were removed." [Shared]
10/12/2024	11:37:09	[23] Duplicate call appended to incident at 11:37:09 [Shared]
10/12/2024	11:37:32	[24] 3122 ADV SHOTS IN REF TO CPD241012000632 [Shared]
10/12/2024	11:37:45	[25] [CPD] has closed their incident [CPD241012000644]
10/12/2024	11:38:03	[26] A/C FROM MALE NBR, 513-266-1121... HEARD SHOTS FROM 1246 QUEBEC, NOTHING ELSE HEARD [Shared]

10/12/2024	11:39:05	[27] Unit - 6K11, status change to STATUS_AVAILABLE by Remote CAD at 10/12/2024 11:39:05 Cancel Reason = ReAssigned Disposition = ReAssigned Post/Location = [REDACTED] [Shared]
10/12/2024	11:39:17	[28] 3124 PLS ADV ANIMAL CARE THAT DOG IS INJ BUT ALIVE [Shared]
10/12/2024	11:39:42	[29] Unit - 6K11, status change to STATUS_DISPATCHED by Remote CAD at 10/12/2024 11:39:42 Disposition = DSP;HAMCO;RMI.MIN=CINC-1012240562;Address=1216 Quebec Rd;Problem=CARE Respond/Call;Priority=5;Multi-Agency Links= Post/Location = [REDACTED] [Shared]
10/12/2024	11:54:16	[33] Unit - 6K11, status change to STATUS_ATSCENE by Remote CAD at 10/12/2024 11:54:16 Post/Location = 1216 Quebec Rd [Shared]
10/12/2024	11:57:18	[35] [CPD] has closed their incident [CPD241012000646]

Body Worn Camera (BWC)

Officer Jared Weitlauf

The events recorded by Officer Weitlauf BWC occurred on 10/12/2024 starting at 11:33:03 according to the BWC's timestamp. A review of that recording reveals the following:

Officer Weitlauf activated his BWC as he approached the victim of the dog bite (Witness B). Witness B was on the back of a red truck that was located on the street. Officer Weitlauf guided the victim back into his patrol vehicle, away from the dog. The dog can be heard barking throughout the BWC. CFD was staged on top of the adjacent hill. The dog ran towards the CFD members and Officer Weitlauf then moved to the front of his patrol vehicle, and then to the red truck maintaining a position in the middle of the street. Before reaching the red truck, the dog charged directly at Officer Weitlauf. Officer Weitlauf took several steps backward and to the side away from the charge. Officer Weitlauf drew his service pistol. The dog continued to charge, and Officer Weitlauf discharged his firearm six times at the dog, striking it. The dog stopped and lay on the ground. Officer Weitlauf instructed CFD to assist the dog bite victim.

Officer Jordan

Officer Jordan was present during the discharge of firearm. His BWC was activated and provided no additional context to the discharge of firearm.

Mobile Video Recording (MVR)

Equipment number: X54123333 – Officer Weitlauf's MVR

Time: 15:34:32Z – 15:56:01Z

The review of the officer's dash camera footage revealed that it did not provide any additional information beyond what was already captured by Officer Weitlauf's body-worn camera.

Equipment number: X54018778 – Officer Jordan's MVR

Time: 15:34:30Z – 15:39:15Z

The review of the officer's dash camera footage revealed that it did not provide any additional information beyond what was already captured by Officer Weitlauf's body-worn camera.

Dog Warden report

A report was drafted by Deputy Pardue, the dog warden that responded after the shots were fired. The narrative detailed in the report read “Victim was bit by loose dog. The dog attempted to attack police and fire and was shot. Cite handled by dog warden.”

Photographs

Several photographs of Witness B’s injuries from the dog’s bite.

Clerk of Courts

The review of the Clerk of Courts revealed no records of Mr. Hunter being charged with any dog-related offenses.

Medical Records

MedVet Cincinnati medical records for “Coco” owned by Mr. Hunter. MetVet concluded that Coco received “multiple gunshots wounds to the thoracic inlet, pulsatile blood emerging from wound near left shoulder region. Gunshot wound to right carpus... Left pelvic limb fracture.”

Coco was Euthanized on 10-12-2024.

SIGNIFICANT DISCREPANCIES AND CLARIFICATIONS

There were none.

AUTHORITIES

I. CPD Procedure Manual

§ 12.115 Handling Wild / Exotic Animals, Dangerous / Vicious Dogs, and Animal Reports (Revised 04/08/22) (in part) Policy:

Department members will conduct a thorough investigation into the call for service involving wild/exotic, dangerous/vicious, or potentially dangerous dogs and animals prior to a Cincy CARE agent’s arrival. Before notifying Hamilton County Communications to dispatch a Cincy CARE warden to the scene, members should have a description of the wild/exotic, dangerous/vicious, or potentially dangerous dogs and animals, a location, and the condition of the animal.

Department members will exhaust every reasonable means before using firearms to dispose of vicious dogs, dangerous dogs, or wild and potentially dangerous animals as defined in Cincinnati Municipal Code (CMC) Sections 701-1-D-1, 701-1-V, or 701-42. The use of the firearm should always involve consideration for the safety of bystanders.

(...)

Officer Tactics When Confronted by Dangerous/Vicious Dogs

1. When confronting a dangerous or vicious dog which threatens bodily injury to the officer or citizen:
 - a) Exhaust all reasonable means to confine the dog.
 - b) Notify Cincy CARE to pick up the dog.
 - c) The use of department issued chemical irritant or the TASER is effective on many animals.
 - d) When use of the firearm is absolutely necessary, avoid shooting the dog in the head. In situations where the dog is known to have bit a human, the dog's head must be intact for rabies testing.

§ 12.550 Discharging of Firearms by Police Personnel (Revised 06/22/17) (in part)

Policy:

The most serious act in which a police officer can engage is the use of deadly force. The authority to carry and use firearms in the course of public service also carries with it the highest level of responsibility. Respect for human life requires that police officers exhaust all other reasonable means before resorting to the use of firearms and then only when an officer reasonably believes that such use of firearms is necessary to protect the officer or another from the risk of serious physical harm or loss of life.

In considering the use of firearms, understand that you are responsible for your acts and that you may be required to justify your acts in a court of law. The Hamilton County Prosecutor's Office will determine the legality of actions taken. You are not required to retreat in lieu of the justifiable use of deadly physical force.

(...)

At such time as a police officer perceives what he interprets to be a threat of loss of life or serious physical harm to himself or others at the hands of another, he has the authority to display a firearm, with finger outside the trigger guard and have it ready for self-defense. The finger is only to be placed on the trigger when on target and ready to engage a threat.

(...)

Self-Defense: A police officer is authorized to use that force reasonably necessary to protect himself or others from death or serious physical harm at the hands of another.

- There must be an apprehension of real or immediate danger based on an overt and/or constructive act by another.

(...)

Shots Fired at Animals: An officer may, when reasonable, use their firearm to prevent an undomesticated wild animal from inhumane suffering or to protect themselves and others from a dangerous animal. If possible, use one of the following alternative solutions:

- Call the Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals (SPCA). This organization has equipment to handle most animals safely.
- In some instances, Cincinnati Zoological Society personnel will respond upon request.

- The use of chemical irritant is effective on many animals.

II. Cincinnati Municipal Code (CMC) (in part)

Sec. 701-1-W Without Provocation

"Without provocation" shall, for purposes of Chapter 701, mean that a dog which acted in a menacing fashion or caused injury or serious injury was not teased, tormented, or abused by a person, or that the dog was not coming to the aid or the defense of a person who was not engaged in illegal or criminal activity and who was not using the dog as a means of carrying out such activity.

Sec. 701-1-D-1. - Dangerous Dog.

A. "Dangerous dog" means a dog that, without provocation, has done any of the following:

- a. Caused injury, other than killing or serious injury, to any person;
- b. Killed or caused serious injury to another dog; or
- c. Been the subject of a third or subsequent violation of either Section 701-2(A) of this chapter or section 955.22(C) of the Ohio Revised Code.

Sec. 701-1-N. - Nuisance Dog.

"Nuisance dog" means a dog that without provocation and while off the premises of its owner, keeper, or harbinger has chased or approached a person in either a menacing fashion or an apparent attitude of attack or has attempted to bite or otherwise endanger any person. "Nuisance dog" does not include a police dog that while being used to assist one or more law enforcement officers in the performance of official duties has chased or approached a person in either a menacing fashion or an apparent attitude of attack or has attempted to bite or otherwise endanger any person.

Sec. 701-1-V. - Vicious Dog.

A. "Vicious dog" means a dog that, without provocation, has killed or caused serious injury to any person.

B. "Vicious dog" does not include either of the following:

- a. A police dog that has killed or caused serious injury to any person while the police dog is being used to assist one or more law enforcement officers in the performance of their official duties; or
- b. A dog that has killed or caused serious injury to any person while a person was committing or attempting to commit a trespass or other criminal offense on the property of the owner, keeper, or harbinger of the dog.

Sec. 701-2. - Leash Required; Responsibility for Injury.

- 1) Except when a dog is lawfully engaged in a competition or hunting and accompanied by the owner, keeper, harbinger, or handler of the dog, no owner, keeper, or harbinger of any dog shall fail at any time to do either of the following:

- a) Keep the dog physically confined or restrained upon the premises of the owner, keeper, or harbinger by a leash, tether, adequate fence, supervision, or secure enclosure to prevent escape;
- b) When off the premises of the owner, keeper, or harbinger, keep the dog on a leash under the reasonable control of some person who is of suitable age and discretion;
- c) This subsection does not apply when the dog is within a designated dog park pursuant to Rule 41 of the Board of Park Commissioners of the City of Cincinnati.

2) Violations.

- i) Off Leash. A violation of subsection 701-2 (A) shall constitute a Class A Civil Offense.
- ii) Menacing Fashion. A violation of subsection 701-2(A) which results in the dog behaving in a menacing fashion shall constitute a Class C Civil Offense. A dog which has behaved in a menacing fashion may meet the definition of a nuisance dog under section 701-1-N and may be designated as a nuisance dog in accordance with section 701-50 of this chapter.
- iii) Injury. A violation of subsection 701-2(A) which results in injury to any person or domestic animal shall constitute a Class C Civil Offense. A dog which has caused injury to any person or domestic animal may meet the definition of a dangerous dog under section 701-1-D-1 and may be designated as a dangerous dog in accordance with section 701-50 of this chapter.
- iv) Serious Injury. A violation of subsection 701-2(A) which results in serious injury to any person or domestic animal shall constitute a Class E2 Civil Offense. Subsequent violations of subsection 701-2(B) which result in serious injury to any person or domestic animal shall constitute a Class F Civil Offense. A dog which has caused serious injury to any person or domestic animal may meet the definition of a vicious dog under section 701-1-V and may be designated as a vicious dog in accordance with section 701-50 of this chapter.

ANALYSIS

Allegation 1: Discharge of Firearm

Mr. Hunter alleged that on October 12, 2024, Officer Weitlauf discharged his firearm unnecessarily when he shot and killed his dog.

On the aforementioned date at approximately 11:33 A.M. Officers Weitlauf and Jordan responded to a 911 call at [REDACTED] reporting of an aggressive dog that had bitten someone. Upon arrival, they identified the dog outside and that it was unrestrained. Witness B, the 911 caller, was found on top of a red truck on the street. Witness B was bleeding from their leg.

Officer Weitlauf assisted in removing Witness B from the area, and away from the dog. CFD was staged to assist Witness B. A Hamilton County Dog Warden was requested several times, but an estimated time of arrival was unavailable. The Dog Warden was dispatched to another location at the time of the initial requests.

BWC footage confirmed the dog's aggressive behavior, with visual injuries to Witness B and later its charge at Officer Weitlauf. Officers Weitlauf and Jordan lacked tools to be able to contain the dog. However, they maintained control of the surrounding area, ensuring no one was attacked while they waited for the Dog Warden to arrive.

Officer Weitlauf maintained a position near the patrol vehicle in the middle of the street while he waited for Animal Control. The dog advanced towards CFD members located on top of the hill. This caused Officer Weitlauf to advance forward. The dog suddenly focused its attention on Officer Weitlauf and charged aggressively directly at Officer Weitlauf. Officer Weitlauf took several steps backward and drew his service pistol. The dog attempted to attack Officer Weitlauf unprovoked and quickly, prompting him to discharge six rounds from his service pistol at the center mass area, causing the dog to fall. The shots incapacitated the dog and eliminated the immediate threat.

CPD Procedure § 12.115 Handling Wild / Exotic Animals, Dangerous / Vicious Dogs, and Animal Reports specifies that Department members will exhaust every reasonable means before using firearms to dispose of vicious dogs, dangerous dogs, or wild and potentially dangerous animals. Officer Weitlauf stated to CCA that he did not have enough time to utilize his Oleoresin Capsicum (OC)¹ or CEW before the dog would close the distance. In addition, Officer Weitlauf expressed concerns about the effectiveness of those tools at those distances.

CPD Procedure § 12.550 Discharging of Firearms by Police Personnel maintains that when an officer perceives what he interprets to be a threat of loss of life or serious physical harm to himself or others at the hands of another, he has the authority to use that force reasonably necessary to protect himself or others from death or serious physical harm at the hands of another. In his statement, Officer Weitlauf stated the dog's behavior indicated an imminent threat to his safety. A supervisor was requested immediately following the discharge of firearm. After an approximate 18 additional minutes after the discharge, Animal Care's Deputy Dog Warden arrived and took possession of the dog.


While awaiting the arrival of the appropriate agency to handle wild or vicious animals, the dog charged Officer Weitlauf unprovoked. The discharge of Officer Weitlauf's service pistol was to stop the active threat. Accordingly, CCA concluded that Officer Weitlauf complied with CPD's policy, procedure, and training when he discharged his firearm.

FINDINGS

Original Allegations

No.	Allegation	Involved Officer	Involved Citizen	Finding
1	Improper Discharge of Firearm	Jared Weitlauf	Christopher Hunter	Exonerated

¹ Oleoresin capsicum is an oily extract from pepper plants. It is the main component of pepper spray and is used as a culinary spice. The plants used to make oleoresin capsicum are in the genus *Capsicum*, which includes chili peppers. The active ingredient in pepper spray is capsaicin, which is the same chemical that adds the characteristic heat to chili peppers.



Robert Stephens, Investigator



Dena Brown, Interim Director

**PREVIOUS
CONTACTS AND
COMMENDATIONS**

Officer Jared Weitlauf

Previous Contact with CCA

Officer Weitlauf had no previous contact with CCA in the past three years.

Previous Contacts for CCA Referrals

Officer Weitlauf had no allegations that CCA referred to CPD for investigation in the past three years.

Previous Contacts with IIS

CCA is unaware of any additional previous contact by Officer Weitlauf with IIS.

Commendations

Officer Weitlauf received two commendations in the past three years.

Date	Source of Commendation Received
02/09/2023	Civilian
01/31/2023	CPD