

**Ohio River Trail - Salem To Sutton
June 2015 PI Meeting Response**

<u>Comment Number</u>	<u>Method of Comment Entry</u>	<u>Date Submitted</u>	<u>Comment/Note:</u>	<u>Response</u>
1	Comment Form Reply	June 15, 2015	Checked "Alingment 1" preference.	No known direct response to commentor. Current plans as of Stage 2 include a shared use trail, separated from car traffic.
2	Comment Form Reply	June 15, 2015	Checked "No Build" preference. Safety concerns re: traffic on Kellogg.	No known direct response to commentor. Current plans as of Stage 2 include a shared use trail, separated from car traffic.
3	Via Email to DOTE staff	June 17, 2015	Preffered Alternative 3, pedestrian connection from Alternative 1 and a shared use path on non-river side of Kellogg from Eldorado to Sutton.	No known direct response to commentor. Current plans as of Stage 2 include a shared use trail, separated from car traffic.
4	Comment Form Reply	June 15, 2015	Agreed that sidewalk needed, but not to be used as trail. Preferred the 'original plan'; assume that to mean converting 1 of the in-bound car lanes to bike lanes.	No known direct response to commentor. Current plans as of Stage 2 include a shared use trail, separated from car traffic.
5	Comment Via Website	March 26, 2014	No preferance/comments of presented alternatives noted. No comments relating to this project specifically.	No known direct response to commentor.
6	Comment Via Website	April 16, 2014	No preferance/comments of presented alternatives noted. Wants trail.	No known direct response to commentor. Current plans as of Stage 2 include a shared use trail, separated from car traffic.
7	Comment Via Website	April 22, 2016	Wants trail. Prefers off road path as presented. Does not want further study to delay project.	No known direct response to commentor. Current plans as of Stage 2 include a shared use trail, separated from car traffic.
8	Comment Via Website	April 24, 2014	Concerned how trail would impact her existing driveway condition.	DOTe emailed reply on June 9, 2015; "drive would be designed to current standards". Work no longer in project scope: as per Stage 2 Drawings, driveway at subject location will not be disturbed as part of currently proposed work.
9	Comment Via Website	June 12, 2014	No preferance/comments of presented alternatives noted. Wants on future notification lists.	No known direct response to commentor. Not clear if Commentor was added to notification list for May 2016 meeting. Did not provide mailing address in website comment.
10	Comment Via Website	June 16, 2014	No preferance/comments of presented alternatives noted. Supports project.	No known direct response to commentor.
11	Comment Via Website	June 8, 2015	Preferred the 'original plan'; assume that to mean converting 1 of the in-bound car lanes to bike lanes.	No known direct response to commentor. Current plans as of Stage 2 include a shared use trail, separated from car traffic.

12	Comment Via Website	June 8, 2015	Preferred the 'original plan'; assume that to mean converting 1 of the inbound car lanes to bike lanes.	No known direct response to commentor. Current plans as of Stage 2 include a shared use trail, separated from car traffic.
13	Comment Via Website	June 15, 2015	Prefers only shared use path, with complete separation from cars.	No known direct response to commentor. Current plans as of Stage 2 include a shared use trail, separated from car traffic.
14	Comment Via Website	June 16, 2015	Prefer Alternative 3b. Excited for project.	No known direct response to commentor. Current plans as of Stage 2 include a shared use trail, separated from car traffic.
15	Comment Via Website	June 22, 2015	Prefer Alternative 3b, but taken all way to Waits.	No known direct response to commentor. Current plans as of Stage 2 include a shared use trail, separated from car traffic. No work proposed in the Neighborhood Business District
16	Comment Via Website	June 29, 2015	Preferred Alternative 3, but wants separate walk and separate trail. Supports project.	No known direct response to commentor. Current plans as of Stage 2 include a shared use trail, separated from car traffic.
17	Comment Via Website	June 30, 2015	Supports project. Wants traffic light at Waits.	At this time, a traffic signal is not recommended to obtain motorist compliance to the crosswalk. Ongoing evaluation of the compliance could lead to modifications of the treatment according to DOTE policy
18	Comment Via Website	June 30, 2015	Supports project. Wants traffic light at Waits.	At this time, a traffic signal is not recommended to obtain motorist compliance to the crosswalk. Ongoing evaluation of the compliance could lead to modifications of the treatment according to DOTE policy