



Cincinnati Police Department
STAFF NOTES

January 24, 2012

James E. Craig, Police Chief

I N S I D E

Policy and Procedure Unit

- [Revision to Form 630, Equipment / Supply / Service Order, and Procedure 17.110, Requisition and Inventory Control: Equipment, Supplies, and Service](#)

Chief's Office

- [COMPSTAT Information](#)
- [Thank You Letters](#)

Personnel, Recruitment, and Background Unit

- [Requesting Donated Time for Ronald Schaeper](#)

1. REVISION TO FORM 630, EQUIPMENT / SUPPLY / SERVICE ORDER, AND PROCEDURE 17.110, REQUISITION AND INVENTORY CONTROL: EQUIPMENT, SUPPLIES, AND SERVICE

Department Form 630, Equipment / Supply / Service Order, and Procedure 17.110, Requisition and Inventory Control: Equipment, Supplies, and Service, have been revised. The revision reflects the elimination of routing the Form 630 through Resource Bureau after approval by the affected bureau commander. Bureau commanders shall route approved Form 630s directly to Fiscal Affairs for approval by the Police Chief.

These revisions **are effective Sunday, January 22, 2012**. Personnel should review Procedure 17.110 in its entirety. The revised procedure is available on the Intranet and on the Department web page. The revised Form 630 is available in the CPDFORMS folder on the "H" drive of Department computers.

2. COMPSTAT INFORMATION

[Attached](#) to these Staff Notes is the most current COMPSTAT Information. Department personnel are directed to review this information to ensure they remain familiar with crime patterns and trends occurring within the City and their areas of responsibility.

3. THANK YOU LETTERS

[Attached](#) to these Staff Notes are letters of appreciation and praise written to the Police Chief for the professionalism displayed by our Department, specifically the following personnel:

Police Specialist Jennifer Mitsch
Police Specialist Nathaniel Young
Police Officer Sandy Sieving
Police Officer Brian Greene
Police Officer Elisha Orth

Police Specialist Lewis Egner
Police Officer Howard Grant
Police Officer Tanya Cook
Police Officer Michael Brogan

4. REQUESTING DONATED TIME FOR RONALD SCHAEPER

Sergeant Ronald Schaeper, currently assigned to Personnel Unit, has exhausted his leave time balances due to health issues.

Any Department member wishing to voluntarily donate time to Sergeant Schaeper should submit a Form 25S to their district/section/unit commander, listing Ronald Schaeper's name, district/section/unit, the type of time and number of hours being donated. Sick time may **not** be donated. The donor's rank and signature must appear on the Form 25S.

17.110 REQUISITION AND INVENTORY CONTROL: EQUIPMENT, SUPPLIES, AND SERVICE

Reference:

Cincinnati Municipal Code Section 321 – Procurement and Disposal of Supplies, Services and Construction

Procedure:

- A. Form 10, Monthly Supply Requisition
 - 1. Use Form 10 to order in-stock supplies.
 - a. Enter the amount needed in the "Quantity" column
 - b. The person completing the inventory and the unit commander will initial the Form 10 on the "Requesting Unit" line behind the unit's name
 - c. Send the Form 10 to the Supply Unit by the 25th day of each month
 - d. Supply Unit personnel will deliver filled monthly requisition orders to the individual districts, sections, and units
- B. Form 630, Equipment / Supply / Service Order Form
 - 1. Include the following information when completing a Form 630:
 - a. Rank, name, badge, employee ID #, district/section/unit, organization code, date and phone number
 - b. Quantity of item
 - c. Model, catalog, or item number
 - d. Description of item
 - e. Vendor name
 - 1) Contact Fiscal Affairs to determine vender selection requirements
 - a) Purchases of non-contract items of \$50,000 or less must be given to an SBE listed for the particular commodity code. (CMC Section 321-11 and 321-13)
 - b) Purchases over \$50,000 require a Request for Proposal (RFP) process.
 - f. Price of item

- g. Vendor address with phone number, if not a contract item
 - h. Shipping charges; if no shipping charges, please state this on Form 630
2. District/section/unit commanders will review, approve or disapprove, and initial all Form 630s. Commanders will submit approved Form 630s through their Bureau Commander to the Police Chief's Office. Fiscal Affairs will begin one of the following:
- a. Stock Items: Determine if the requisition is for a stock item(s) in supply at 800 Evans and/or City Stores.
 - 1) The district, section, or unit will pick up requisition orders at the Supply Unit.
 - b. Local Contracts for Equipment/Supplies: Process requisitions for equipment and supplies that are not stock items but can be purchased from local suppliers who have a Master Agreement contract with the City.
 - 1) Master Agreement contracts are arranged by the Purchasing Department and are subject to change without notice. Contact Fiscal Affairs to determine if the local company is still contracted to do business with the City before preparing a Form 630.
 - 2) Respond to the Supply Unit for a Term Purchase Release.
 - c. Services: Fiscal Affairs will process a requisition for services provided by Department personnel and/or other City Departments (moving office equipment, electrical wiring, plumbing, etc.).
3. For unforeseen emergency needs where a Form 630 was not pre-approved:
- a. Submit a Form 630 as outlined in Procedure 17.110B.2. as soon as possible.
 - b. A Form 17 fully describing and justifying the unforeseen emergency must accompany the Form 630.
4. Order items or services paid for with grant funds on a Form 630.
5. Supply Unit will accept delivery of items, apply inventory numbers, and update inventory records before delivery to requesting units except as noted in this procedure.
- a. Fiscal Affairs will determine which items should be delivered directly to the requesting unit because installation is required or the item is too heavy for police transportation and will provide the necessary delivery instructions.

- b. Delivery of information technology items will be made to Technology Management Unit (TMU) for inventory control and installation.

C. Inventory - Office and Technical Equipment

1. The Supply Unit Commander and TMU will maintain an inventory record of all Police Department office and technical equipment of substantial value or readily susceptible to loss or theft. (TMU will maintain the inventory within their unit.)
 - a. The Supply Unit Commander and TMU will determine which articles to record on the inventory, following SOP.
 - b. Articles will be identified by a four or five digit tag attached to each item.
2. Supply Unit and TMU will provide each district, section, and unit commander with an inventory of equipment assigned to their unit.
3. The OIC of each district, section, or unit will be responsible for all office and technical equipment under his/her control. The OIC will:
 - a. Conduct an inventory and inspection of equipment by January 15 of each year. Submit results to the Supply Unit within 30 days.
 - b. Conduct an inventory and inspection of equipment within 30 days upon change of district, section, or unit commander. Submit results to the Supply Unit.
4. The OIC of each district, section, and unit will submit a Form 630 through channels requesting the transfer of equipment between districts, sections, and units.
 - a. A representative of the Supply Unit will sign the completed Form 630.
 - b. When necessary, Supply Unit will help with the transfer by providing personnel and equipment for the move. Supply Unit records the transfer when completed.
5. The unit OIC will, via a Form 630, request Supply Unit to pick up and return to stock any large equipment no longer needed in that unit.
 - a. Units will transport, along with a Form 630, small pieces of equipment to the Supply Unit.
 - b. A representative of the Supply Unit will sign the Form 630s as having received such equipment.
6. Return broken, worn-out equipment, etc., to the Supply Unit for disposal according to City Purchasing rules. Such items, even though only scrap, are sold and money returned to the City Treasurer.


- a. Document the return of these items on a Form 630. A representative of the Supply Unit will sign the Form 630 as a receipt for the equipment.
 7. Unit commanders will be alert for equipment without identifying tags or tags which have been defaced or removed. Bring such conditions to the attention of the Supply Unit so the condition can be corrected.
 8. To ensure unit inventories are current, complete, and correct, Inspections Section will conduct periodic random audits of unit inventories.
- D. Inventory - Consumer Supplies
1. District, section, and unit commanders will keep consumable supplies (paper, pencils, film, paper clips, flares, etc.) under the control of designated personnel. Maintain a perpetual inventory to prevent the need for a total monthly recount.
 - a. Access to such supplies should be available whenever a unit is open for business, yet still under the control of designated personnel.
 2. District, section, and unit commanders will develop a Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) to meet individual unit needs and help personnel obtain supplies from unit stock. The SOP should:
 - a. State the location of various supplies.
 - b. Identify personnel authorized to dispense supplies.
 - c. Establish a simple method for keeping a perpetual inventory.
- E. Master Inventory
1. The Master Inventory spreadsheets are located on the Department computers. They contain all the shotguns, laptops, pagers, cell phones, pepperball guns, Tasers, and radios that are currently in use by the Department. Each district/section/unit is responsible to review all equipment that is identified as being in their possession. Inventories of all equipment will be conducted bi-weekly to coincide with the scheduled vehicle inspections.
 - a. A Form 17 will be prepared and emailed to Inspections Section addressing whether the inventories are accurate or listing any discrepancies or changes.
- F. Weapons Inventory

1. At intervals designated by the Police Chief, each district/section/unit will conduct an inspection and inventory of all weapons including shotguns, pepper ball guns, and Tasers.
 - a. Districts/sections/units will account for all assigned items.
 - b. All changes in the inventory list for the above items will be forwarded to Supply Unit.
 - 1) Supply Unit will update and maintain the weapons inventory database kept at Supply.
 - c. Whenever a weapon is permanently taken out of service by the Firearms Training Unit or SWAT & Tactical Coordination, a Form 630 will be completed by Firearms Training Unit or SWAT & Tactical Coordination and forwarded to Supply Unit for updating of the weapons inventory database.
 - d. The Target Range and/or SWAT & Tactical Coordination will forward to the Supply Unit all information regarding newly purchased weapons received at those units. When a new weapon is placed in service, the unit of assignment information will be forwarded by the Target Range or SWAT & Tactical Coordination to the Supply Unit. Supply Unit will update the weapons inventory database.
 - e. The Target Range and/or SWAT & Tactical Coordination will forward to the Supply Unit on a Form 630 all information regarding weapons that are transferred to another unit. Supply Unit will update the weapons inventory database.
- G. Technology Management Unit (TMU)
1. Information systems will be requested and purchased in accordance with Procedure 14.200, Information Systems.
 2. The TMU Commander will maintain an inventory record of all Police Department information technology.



CINCINNATI POLICE DEPARTMENT COMPSTAT MEETING PROFILE CITY WIDE, REPORTING PERIOD: 12/18/2011 - 01/14/2012



POPULATION: 296,943 AREA: 77 SQ. MILES 2011 VIOLENT CRIMES PER 1000: 10.2 2011 PART I CRIMES PER 1000: 81.6	CHIEF OF POLICE  JAMES E. CRAIG	TOTAL SWORN PERSONNEL <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse; margin-top: 10px;"> <thead> <tr> <th rowspan="2"></th> <th colspan="2">GENDER</th> <th colspan="3">RACE</th> <th rowspan="2">TOTAL</th> </tr> <tr> <th></th> <th></th> <th>WHITE</th> <th>BLACK</th> <th>OTHER</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>MALE</td> <td>797</td> <td>77.3%</td> <td>531</td> <td>237</td> <td>29</td> <td>797</td> </tr> <tr> <td>% of Total Males</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>66.6%</td> <td>29.7%</td> <td>3.6%</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FEMALE</td> <td>234</td> <td>22.7%</td> <td>155</td> <td>75</td> <td>4</td> <td>234</td> </tr> <tr> <td>% of Total Females</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>66.2%</td> <td>32.1%</td> <td>1.7%</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>TOTAL</td> <td>1031</td> <td></td> <td>686</td> <td>312</td> <td>33</td> <td>1031</td> </tr> <tr> <td>% of Total Sworn</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>66.5%</td> <td>30.3%</td> <td>3.2%</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Total Sworn in Districts</td> <td>666</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>% of Total Sworn in Districts</td> <td>64.6%</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p style="text-align: right; font-size: small;">Source: Personnel Unit</p>		GENDER		RACE			TOTAL			WHITE	BLACK	OTHER	MALE	797	77.3%	531	237	29	797	% of Total Males			66.6%	29.7%	3.6%		FEMALE	234	22.7%	155	75	4	234	% of Total Females			66.2%	32.1%	1.7%		TOTAL	1031		686	312	33	1031	% of Total Sworn			66.5%	30.3%	3.2%		Total Sworn in Districts	666						% of Total Sworn in Districts	64.6%					
	GENDER			RACE			TOTAL																																																															
			WHITE	BLACK	OTHER																																																																	
MALE	797	77.3%	531	237	29	797																																																																
% of Total Males			66.6%	29.7%	3.6%																																																																	
FEMALE	234	22.7%	155	75	4	234																																																																
% of Total Females			66.2%	32.1%	1.7%																																																																	
TOTAL	1031		686	312	33	1031																																																																
% of Total Sworn			66.5%	30.3%	3.2%																																																																	
Total Sworn in Districts	666																																																																					
% of Total Sworn in Districts	64.6%																																																																					

CRIME STATISTICS for week ending 01/14/2012												
	12/18/11 TO 01/14/12	11/20/11 TO 12/17/11	% CHANGE	11/20/11 TO 12/17/11	10/23/11 TO 11/19/11	% CHANGE	YTD 2011	YTD 2010	% CHANGE	YTD 2011	YTD 2009	% CHANGE
VIOLENT CRIMES												
HOMICIDE*	3	6	-50%	6	5	20%	0	0	N/C	0	0	N/C
RAPE	11	14	-21%	14	20	-30%	0	0	N/C	0	0	N/C
ROBBERY	141	161	-12%	161	141	14%	0	0	N/C	0	0	N/C
AGGRAVATED ASSAULTS	58	53	9%	53	73	-27%	0	0	N/C	0	0	N/C
TOTAL VIOLENT	213	234	-9%	234	239	-2%	0	0	N/C	0	0	N/C
PROPERTY CRIMES												
BURGLARY	520	571	-9%	571	580	-2%	0	0	N/C	0	0	N/C
THEFT FROM AUTO	248	334	-26%	334	455	-27%	0	0	N/C	0	0	N/C
PERSONAL/OTHER THEFT	531	682	-22%	682	651	5%	0	0	N/C	0	0	N/C
AUTO THEFT	86	95	-9%	95	96	-1%	0	NA	N/C	0	NA	N/C
TOTAL PROPERTY	1385	1682	-18%	1682	1782	-6%	0	0	N/C	0	0	N/C
TOTAL PART 1	1598	1916	-17%	1916	2021	-5%	0	0	N/C	0	0	N/C

ARREST STATISTICS for week ending 01/14/2012												
	12/18/11 TO 01/14/12	11/20/11 TO 12/17/11	% CHANGE	11/20/11 TO 12/17/11	10/23/11 TO 11/19/11	% CHANGE	YTD 2011	YTD 2010	% CHANGE	YTD 2011	YTD 2009	% CHANGE
ARRESTS												
HOMICIDE	4	5	-20%	5	4	25%	0	0	N/C	0	0	N/C
RAPE	7	5	40%	5	3	67%	0	0	N/C	0	0	N/C
ROBBERY	35	37	-5%	37	43	-14%	0	0	N/C	0	0	N/C
AGGRAVATED ASSAULTS	28	29	-3%	29	36	-19%	0	0	N/C	0	0	N/C
BURGLARY	85	71	20%	71	69	3%	0	0	N/C	0	0	N/C
THEFT	206	229	-10%	229	238	-4%	0	0	N/C	0	0	N/C
AUTO THEFT	16	22	-27%	22	32	-31%	0	0	N/C	0	0	N/C
TOTAL VIOLENT	74	76	-3%	76	86	-12%	0	0	N/C	0	0	N/C
TOTAL PART 1	381	398	-4%	398	425	-6%	0	0	N/C	0	0	N/C

*Homicide statistics are counts by victims and do not include police interventions nor vehicular homicides.

N/C - Not Calculable

Statistics based on the report date of the crime or arrest. Crime statistics are incident based, meaning each offense in an incident is counted.

Arrest statistics are based on charges, meaning each charge in an arrest is counted.



CINCINNATI POLICE DEPARTMENT COMPSTAT MEETING PROFILE CITY WIDE, REPORTING PERIOD: 12/18/2011 - 01/14/2012



PATROL BUREAU COMMANDER  LT. COL. JAMES L. WHALEN	 AREA: 4.5 SQ. MILES	DISTRICT 1 CAPTAIN  DOUGLAS WIESMAN	DISTRICT 1 SWORN PERSONNEL <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2" rowspan="2">GENDER</th> <th colspan="3">RACE</th> <th rowspan="2">TOTAL</th> </tr> <tr> <th>WHITE</th> <th>BLACK</th> <th>OTHER</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>MALE</td> <td>108</td> <td>78.8%</td> <td>72</td> <td>30</td> <td>6</td> <td>108</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2">% of Total Males</td> <td></td> <td>66.7%</td> <td>27.8%</td> <td>5.6%</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FEMALE</td> <td>29</td> <td>21.2%</td> <td>20</td> <td>9</td> <td>0</td> <td>29</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2">% of Total Females</td> <td></td> <td>69.0%</td> <td>31.0%</td> <td>0.0%</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>TOTAL</td> <td>137</td> <td></td> <td>92</td> <td>39</td> <td>6</td> <td>137</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2">% of Total Sworn</td> <td></td> <td>67.2%</td> <td>28.5%</td> <td>4.4%</td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p style="text-align: right; font-size: small;">Source: Personnel Unit</p>	GENDER		RACE			TOTAL	WHITE	BLACK	OTHER	MALE	108	78.8%	72	30	6	108	% of Total Males			66.7%	27.8%	5.6%		FEMALE	29	21.2%	20	9	0	29	% of Total Females			69.0%	31.0%	0.0%		TOTAL	137		92	39	6	137	% of Total Sworn			67.2%	28.5%	4.4%	
GENDER		RACE				TOTAL																																																
		WHITE	BLACK	OTHER																																																		
MALE	108	78.8%	72	30	6	108																																																
% of Total Males			66.7%	27.8%	5.6%																																																	
FEMALE	29	21.2%	20	9	0	29																																																
% of Total Females			69.0%	31.0%	0.0%																																																	
TOTAL	137		92	39	6	137																																																
% of Total Sworn			67.2%	28.5%	4.4%																																																	

CRIME STATISTICS for week ending 01/14/2012												
VIOLENT CRIMES	12/18/11 TO	11/20/11 TO	%	11/20/11 TO	10/23/11 TO	%	YTD 2011	YTD 2010	%	YTD 2011	YTD 2009	%
	01/14/12	12/17/11	CHANGE	12/17/11	11/19/11	CHANGE			CHANGE			CHANGE
HOMICIDE*	0	0	N/C	0	0	N/C	0	0	N/C	0	0	N/C
RAPE	2	3	-33%	3	3	0%	0	0	N/C	0	0	N/C
ROBBERY	29	33	-12%	33	23	43%	0	0	N/C	0	0	N/C
AGGRAVATED ASSAULTS	9	7	29%	7	10	-30%	0	0	N/C	0	0	N/C
TOTAL VIOLENT	40	43	-7%	43	36	19%	0	0	N/C	0	0	N/C
PROPERTY CRIMES	12/18/11 TO	11/20/11 TO	%	11/20/11 TO	10/23/11 TO	%	YTD 2011	YTD 2010	%	YTD 2011	YTD 2009	%
01/14/12	12/17/11	CHANGE	12/17/11	11/19/11	CHANGE	CHANGE			CHANGE			
BURGLARY	32	31	3%	31	33	-6%	0	0	N/C	0	0	N/C
THEFT FROM AUTO	54	44	23%	44	76	-42%	0	0	N/C	0	0	N/C
PERSONAL/OTHER THEFT	86	115	-25%	115	115	0%	0	0	N/C	0	0	N/C
AUTO THEFT	13	12	8%	12	7	71%	0	NA	N/C	0	NA	N/C
TOTAL PROPERTY	185	202	-8%	202	231	-13%	0	0	N/C	0	0	N/C
TOTAL PART 1	225	245	-8%	245	267	-8%	0	0	N/C	0	0	N/C

ARREST STATISTICS for week ending 01/14/2012												
ARRESTS**	12/18/11 TO	11/20/11 TO	%	11/20/11 TO	10/23/11 TO	%	YTD 2011	YTD 2010	%	YTD 2011	YTD 2009	%
	01/14/12	12/17/11	CHANGE	12/17/11	11/19/11	CHANGE			CHANGE			CHANGE
HOMICIDE	3	2	50%	2	3	-33%	0	0	N/C	0	0	N/C
RAPE	6	2	200%	2	1	100%	0	0	N/C	0	0	N/C
ROBBERY	4	7	-43%	7	6	17%	0	0	N/C	0	0	N/C
AGGRAVATED ASSAULTS	7	3	133%	3	1	200%	0	0	N/C	0	0	N/C
BURGLARY	4	6	-33%	6	3	100%	0	0	N/C	0	0	N/C
THEFT	41	49	-16%	49	48	2%	0	0	N/C	0	0	N/C
AUTO THEFT	2	6	-67%	6	5	20%	0	0	N/C	0	0	N/C
TOTAL VIOLENT	20	14	43%	14	11	27%	0	0	N/C	0	0	N/C
TOTAL PART 1	67	75	-11%	75	67	12%	0	0	N/C	0	0	N/C

*Homicide statistics are counts by victims and do not include police interventions nor vehicular homicides. N/C - Not Calculable
 **There are arrests that currently do not receive district values: 55 for last 28 days, 53 for previous 28 days, 64 for earlier 28 days, 0 for 2011 YTD, 0 for 2010 YTD, and 0 for 2009 YTD

Statistics based on the report date of the crime or arrest. Crime statistics are incident based, meaning each offense in an incident is counted.
 Arrest statistics are based on charges, meaning each charge in an arrest is counted.



CINCINNATI POLICE DEPARTMENT COMPSTAT MEETING PROFILE CITY WIDE, REPORTING PERIOD: 12/18/2011 - 01/14/2012



<p>PATROL BUREAU COMMANDER</p> <p>LT. COL. JAMES L. WHALEN</p>	<p>AREA: 24.9 SQ. MILES</p>	<p>DISTRICT 2 CAPTAIN</p> <p>PAUL BROXTERMAN</p>	<p>DISTRICT 2 SWORN PERSONNEL</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th rowspan="2">GENDER</th> <th colspan="3">RACE</th> <th rowspan="2">TOTAL</th> </tr> <tr> <th>WHITE</th> <th>BLACK</th> <th>OTHER</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>MALE</td> <td>83</td> <td>76.1%</td> <td></td> <td>83</td> </tr> <tr> <td>% of Total Males</td> <td>56.6%</td> <td>37.3%</td> <td>6.0%</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FEMALE</td> <td>26</td> <td>23.9%</td> <td></td> <td>26</td> </tr> <tr> <td>% of Total Females</td> <td>69.2%</td> <td>26.9%</td> <td>3.8%</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>TOTAL</td> <td>109</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>109</td> </tr> <tr> <td>% of Total Sworn</td> <td>59.6%</td> <td>34.9%</td> <td>5.5%</td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p style="text-align: right; font-size: small;">Source: Personnel Unit</p>	GENDER	RACE			TOTAL	WHITE	BLACK	OTHER	MALE	83	76.1%		83	% of Total Males	56.6%	37.3%	6.0%		FEMALE	26	23.9%		26	% of Total Females	69.2%	26.9%	3.8%		TOTAL	109			109	% of Total Sworn	59.6%	34.9%	5.5%	
GENDER	RACE				TOTAL																																				
	WHITE	BLACK	OTHER																																						
MALE	83	76.1%		83																																					
% of Total Males	56.6%	37.3%	6.0%																																						
FEMALE	26	23.9%		26																																					
% of Total Females	69.2%	26.9%	3.8%																																						
TOTAL	109			109																																					
% of Total Sworn	59.6%	34.9%	5.5%																																						

CRIME STATISTICS for week ending 01/14/2012												
	12/18/11 TO 01/14/12	11/20/11 TO 12/17/11	% CHANGE	11/20/11 TO 12/17/11	10/23/11 TO 11/19/11	% CHANGE	YTD 2011	YTD 2010	% CHANGE	YTD 2011	YTD 2009	% CHANGE
VIOLENT CRIMES												
HOMICIDE*	0	1	-100%	1	0	N/C	0	0	N/C	0	0	N/C
RAPE	1	3	-67%	3	1	200%	0	0	N/C	0	0	N/C
ROBBERY	11	13	-15%	13	11	18%	0	0	N/C	0	0	N/C
AGGRAVATED ASSAULTS	5	3	67%	3	7	-57%	0	0	N/C	0	0	N/C
TOTAL VIOLENT	17	20	-15%	20	19	5%	0	0	N/C	0	0	N/C
PROPERTY CRIMES												
BURGLARY	104	82	27%	82	79	4%	0	0	N/C	0	0	N/C
THEFT FROM AUTO	48	80	-40%	80	103	-22%	0	0	N/C	0	0	N/C
PERSONAL/OTHER THEFT	99	116	-15%	116	117	-1%	0	0	N/C	0	0	N/C
AUTO THEFT	11	13	-15%	13	18	-28%	0	NA	N/C	0	NA	N/C
TOTAL PROPERTY	262	291	-10%	291	317	-8%	0	0	N/C	0	0	N/C
TOTAL PART 1	279	311	-10%	311	336	-7%	0	0	N/C	0	0	N/C

ARREST STATISTICS for week ending 01/14/2012												
	12/18/11 TO 01/14/12	11/20/11 TO 12/17/11	% CHANGE	11/20/11 TO 12/17/11	10/23/11 TO 11/19/11	% CHANGE	YTD 2011	YTD 2010	% CHANGE	YTD 2011	YTD 2009	% CHANGE
ARRESTS**												
HOMICIDE	0	0	N/C	0	0	N/C	0	0	N/C	0	0	N/C
RAPE	1	0	N/C	0	1	-100%	0	0	N/C	0	0	N/C
ROBBERY	2	6	-67%	6	1	500%	0	0	N/C	0	0	N/C
AGGRAVATED ASSAULTS	0	2	-100%	2	4	-50%	0	0	N/C	0	0	N/C
BURGLARY	11	2	450%	2	9	-78%	0	0	N/C	0	0	N/C
THEFT	33	31	6%	31	34	-9%	0	0	N/C	0	0	N/C
AUTO THEFT	5	2	150%	2	4	-50%	0	0	N/C	0	0	N/C
TOTAL VIOLENT	3	8	-63%	8	6	33%	0	0	N/C	0	0	N/C
TOTAL PART 1	52	43	21%	43	53	-19%	0	0	N/C	0	0	N/C

*Homicide statistics are counts by victims and do not include police interventions nor vehicular homicides.

N/C - Not Calculable


**There are arrests that currently do not receive district values: 55 for last 28 days, 53 for previous 28 days, 64 for earlier 28 days, 0 for 2011 YTD, 0 for 2010 YTD, and 0 for 2009 YTD

**Statistics based on the report date of the crime or arrest. Crime statistics are incident based, meaning each offense in an incident is counted.
Arrest statistics are based on charges, meaning each charge in an arrest is counted.**



CINCINNATI POLICE DEPARTMENT COMPSTAT MEETING PROFILE CITY WIDE, REPORTING PERIOD: 12/18/2011 - 01/14/2012



PATROL BUREAU COMMANDER  LT. COL. JAMES L. WHALEN	 AREA: 20 SQ. MILES	DISTRICT 3 CAPTAIN  RUSSELL A. NEVILLE	DISTRICT 3 SWORN PERSONNEL <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th rowspan="2">GENDER</th> <th colspan="3">RACE</th> <th rowspan="2">TOTAL</th> </tr> <tr> <th>WHITE</th> <th>BLACK</th> <th>OTHER</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>MALE</td> <td>121</td> <td>77.1%</td> <td></td> <td>121</td> </tr> <tr> <td>% of Total Males</td> <td>66.1%</td> <td>30.6%</td> <td>3.3%</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FEMALE</td> <td>36</td> <td>22.9%</td> <td></td> <td>36</td> </tr> <tr> <td>% of Total Females</td> <td>72.2%</td> <td>27.8%</td> <td>0.0%</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>TOTAL</td> <td>157</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>157</td> </tr> <tr> <td>% of Total Sworn</td> <td>67.5%</td> <td>29.9%</td> <td>2.5%</td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p style="text-align: right; font-size: small;">Source: Personnel Unit</p>	GENDER	RACE			TOTAL	WHITE	BLACK	OTHER	MALE	121	77.1%		121	% of Total Males	66.1%	30.6%	3.3%		FEMALE	36	22.9%		36	% of Total Females	72.2%	27.8%	0.0%		TOTAL	157			157	% of Total Sworn	67.5%	29.9%	2.5%	
GENDER	RACE				TOTAL																																				
	WHITE	BLACK	OTHER																																						
MALE	121	77.1%		121																																					
% of Total Males	66.1%	30.6%	3.3%																																						
FEMALE	36	22.9%		36																																					
% of Total Females	72.2%	27.8%	0.0%																																						
TOTAL	157			157																																					
% of Total Sworn	67.5%	29.9%	2.5%																																						

CRIME STATISTICS for week ending 01/14/2012												
	12/18/11 TO 01/14/12	11/20/11 TO 12/17/11	% CHANGE	11/20/11 TO 12/17/11	10/23/11 TO 11/19/11	% CHANGE	YTD 2011	YTD 2010	% CHANGE	YTD 2011	YTD 2009	% CHANGE
VIOLENT CRIMES												
HOMICIDE*	1	1	0%	1	0	N/C	0	0	N/C	0	0	N/C
RAPE	1	5	-80%	5	5	0%	0	0	N/C	0	0	N/C
ROBBERY	35	42	-17%	42	48	-13%	0	0	N/C	0	0	N/C
AGGRAVATED ASSAULTS	21	13	62%	13	14	-7%	0	0	N/C	0	0	N/C
TOTAL VIOLENT	58	61	-5%	61	67	-9%	0	0	N/C	0	0	N/C
PROPERTY CRIMES												
BURGLARY	142	185	-23%	185	205	-10%	0	0	N/C	0	0	N/C
THEFT FROM AUTO	54	60	-10%	60	84	-29%	0	0	N/C	0	0	N/C
PERSONAL/OTHER THEFT	152	192	-21%	192	214	-10%	0	0	N/C	0	0	N/C
AUTO THEFT	18	27	-33%	27	21	29%	0	NA	N/C	0	NA	N/C
TOTAL PROPERTY	366	464	-21%	464	524	-11%	0	0	N/C	0	0	N/C
TOTAL PART 1	424	525	-19%	525	591	-11%	0	0	N/C	0	0	N/C

ARREST STATISTICS for week ending 01/14/2012												
	12/18/11 TO 01/14/12	11/20/11 TO 12/17/11	% CHANGE	11/20/11 TO 12/17/11	10/23/11 TO 11/19/11	% CHANGE	YTD 2011	YTD 2010	% CHANGE	YTD 2011	YTD 2009	% CHANGE
ARRESTS**												
HOMICIDE	1	1	0%	1	0	N/C	0	0	N/C	0	0	N/C
RAPE	0	2	-100%	2	0	N/C	0	0	N/C	0	0	N/C
ROBBERY	9	9	0%	9	15	-40%	0	0	N/C	0	0	N/C
AGGRAVATED ASSAULTS	8	9	-11%	9	6	50%	0	0	N/C	0	0	N/C
BURGLARY	31	22	41%	22	33	-33%	0	0	N/C	0	0	N/C
THEFT	62	63	-2%	63	64	-2%	0	0	N/C	0	0	N/C
AUTO THEFT	3	7	-57%	7	13	-46%	0	0	N/C	0	0	N/C
TOTAL VIOLENT	18	21	-14%	21	21	0%	0	0	N/C	0	0	N/C
TOTAL PART 1	114	113	1%	113	131	-14%	0	0	N/C	0	0	N/C

*Homicide statistics are counts by victims and do not include police interventions nor vehicular homicides.

N/C - Not Calculable

**There are arrests that currently do not receive district values: 55 for last 28 days, 53 for previous 28 days, 64 for earlier 28 days, 0 for 2011 YTD, 0 for 2010 YTD, and 0 for 2009 YTD

**Statistics based on the report date of the crime or arrest. Crime statistics are incident based, meaning each offense in an incident is counted.
Arrest statistics are based on charges, meaning each charge in an arrest is counted.**



CINCINNATI POLICE DEPARTMENT COMPSTAT MEETING PROFILE CITY WIDE, REPORTING PERIOD: 12/18/2011 - 01/14/2012



PATROL BUREAU COMMANDER  LT. COL. JAMES L. WHALEN	 AREA: 11.7 SQ. MILES	DISTRICT 4 CAPTAIN  ELIOT ISAACS	DISTRICT 4 SWORN PERSONNEL <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2" rowspan="2">GENDER</th> <th colspan="3">RACE</th> <th rowspan="2">TOTAL</th> </tr> <tr> <th>WHITE</th> <th>BLACK</th> <th>OTHER</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>MALE</td> <td>113</td> <td>85.0%</td> <td>65</td> <td>44</td> <td>4</td> <td>113</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2">% of Total Males</td> <td></td> <td>57.5%</td> <td>38.9%</td> <td>3.5%</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FEMALE</td> <td>20</td> <td>15.0%</td> <td>8</td> <td>11</td> <td>1</td> <td>20</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2">% of Total Females</td> <td></td> <td>40.0%</td> <td>55.0%</td> <td>5.0%</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>TOTAL</td> <td>133</td> <td></td> <td>73</td> <td>55</td> <td>5</td> <td>133</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2">% of Total Sworn</td> <td></td> <td>54.9%</td> <td>41.4%</td> <td>3.8%</td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p style="text-align: right; font-size: small;">Source: Personnel Unit</p>	GENDER		RACE			TOTAL	WHITE	BLACK	OTHER	MALE	113	85.0%	65	44	4	113	% of Total Males			57.5%	38.9%	3.5%		FEMALE	20	15.0%	8	11	1	20	% of Total Females			40.0%	55.0%	5.0%		TOTAL	133		73	55	5	133	% of Total Sworn			54.9%	41.4%	3.8%	
GENDER		RACE				TOTAL																																																
		WHITE	BLACK	OTHER																																																		
MALE	113	85.0%	65	44	4	113																																																
% of Total Males			57.5%	38.9%	3.5%																																																	
FEMALE	20	15.0%	8	11	1	20																																																
% of Total Females			40.0%	55.0%	5.0%																																																	
TOTAL	133		73	55	5	133																																																
% of Total Sworn			54.9%	41.4%	3.8%																																																	

CRIME STATISTICS for week ending 01/14/2012												
	12/18/11 TO 01/14/12	11/20/11 TO 12/17/11	% CHANGE	11/20/11 TO 12/17/11	10/23/11 TO 11/19/11	% CHANGE	YTD 2011	YTD 2010	% CHANGE	YTD 2011	YTD 2009	% CHANGE
VIOLENT CRIMES												
HOMICIDE*	1	2	-50%	2	4	-50%	0	0	N/C	0	0	N/C
RAPE	5	2	150%	2	7	-71%	0	0	N/C	0	0	N/C
ROBBERY	41	46	-11%	46	36	28%	0	0	N/C	0	0	N/C
AGGRAVATED ASSAULTS	14	12	17%	12	23	-48%	0	0	N/C	0	0	N/C
TOTAL VIOLENT	61	62	-2%	62	70	-11%	0	0	N/C	0	0	N/C
PROPERTY CRIMES												
BURGLARY	78	111	-30%	111	115	-3%	0	0	N/C	0	0	N/C
THEFT FROM AUTO	53	92	-42%	92	94	-2%	0	0	N/C	0	0	N/C
PERSONAL/OTHER THEFT	117	144	-19%	144	108	33%	0	0	N/C	0	0	N/C
AUTO THEFT	28	22	27%	22	31	-29%	0	NA	N/C	0	NA	N/C
TOTAL PROPERTY	276	369	-25%	369	348	6%	0	0	N/C	0	0	N/C
TOTAL PART 1	337	431	-22%	431	418	3%	0	0	N/C	0	0	N/C

ARREST STATISTICS for week ending 01/14/2012												
	12/18/11 TO 01/14/12	11/20/11 TO 12/17/11	% CHANGE	11/20/11 TO 12/17/11	10/23/11 TO 11/19/11	% CHANGE	YTD 2011	YTD 2010	% CHANGE	YTD 2011	YTD 2009	% CHANGE
ARRESTS**												
HOMICIDE	0	0	N/C	0	1	-100%	0	0	N/C	0	0	N/C
RAPE	0	0	N/C	0	0	N/C	0	0	N/C	0	0	N/C
ROBBERY	6	5	20%	5	3	67%	0	0	N/C	0	0	N/C
AGGRAVATED ASSAULTS	7	8	-13%	8	12	-33%	0	0	N/C	0	0	N/C
BURGLARY	12	21	-43%	21	5	320%	0	0	N/C	0	0	N/C
THEFT	24	33	-27%	33	33	0%	0	0	N/C	0	0	N/C
AUTO THEFT	4	4	0%	4	3	33%	0	0	N/C	0	0	N/C
TOTAL VIOLENT	13	13	0%	13	16	-19%	0	0	N/C	0	0	N/C
TOTAL PART 1	53	71	-25%	71	57	25%	0	0	N/C	0	0	N/C

*Homicide statistics are counts by victims and do not include police interventions nor vehicular homicides.

N/C - Not Calculable

**There are arrests that currently do not receive district values: 55 for last 28 days, 53 for previous 28 days, 64 for earlier 28 days, 0 for 2011 YTD, 0 for 2010 YTD, and 0 for 2009 YTD

**Statistics based on the report date of the crime or arrest. Crime statistics are incident based, meaning each offense in an incident is counted.
Arrest statistics are based on charges, meaning each charge in an arrest is counted.**



CINCINNATI POLICE DEPARTMENT COMPSTAT MEETING PROFILE CITY WIDE, REPORTING PERIOD: 12/18/2011 - 01/14/2012



PATROL BUREAU COMMANDER  LT. COL. JAMES L. WHALEN	 AREA: 18 SQ. MILES	DISTRICT 5 CAPTAIN  DAVID BAILEY	DISTRICT 5 SWORN PERSONNEL <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th rowspan="2">GENDER</th> <th colspan="3">RACE</th> <th rowspan="2">TOTAL</th> </tr> <tr> <th>WHITE</th> <th>BLACK</th> <th>OTHER</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>MALE</td> <td>100</td> <td>79.4%</td> <td></td> <td>100</td> </tr> <tr> <td>% of Total Males</td> <td>68.0%</td> <td>32.0%</td> <td>0.0%</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FEMALE</td> <td>26</td> <td>20.6%</td> <td></td> <td>26</td> </tr> <tr> <td>% of Total Females</td> <td>53.8%</td> <td>42.3%</td> <td>3.8%</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>TOTAL</td> <td>126</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>126</td> </tr> <tr> <td>% of Total Sworn</td> <td>65.1%</td> <td>34.1%</td> <td>0.8%</td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p style="text-align: right; font-size: small;">Source: Personnel Unit</p>	GENDER	RACE			TOTAL	WHITE	BLACK	OTHER	MALE	100	79.4%		100	% of Total Males	68.0%	32.0%	0.0%		FEMALE	26	20.6%		26	% of Total Females	53.8%	42.3%	3.8%		TOTAL	126			126	% of Total Sworn	65.1%	34.1%	0.8%	
GENDER	RACE				TOTAL																																				
	WHITE	BLACK	OTHER																																						
MALE	100	79.4%		100																																					
% of Total Males	68.0%	32.0%	0.0%																																						
FEMALE	26	20.6%		26																																					
% of Total Females	53.8%	42.3%	3.8%																																						
TOTAL	126			126																																					
% of Total Sworn	65.1%	34.1%	0.8%																																						

CRIME STATISTICS for week ending 01/14/2012												
	12/18/11 TO 01/14/12	11/20/11 TO 12/17/11	% CHANGE	11/20/11 TO 12/17/11	10/23/11 TO 11/19/11	% CHANGE	YTD 2011	YTD 2010	% CHANGE	YTD 2011	YTD 2009	% CHANGE
VIOLENT CRIMES												
HOMICIDE*	1	2	-50%	2	1	100%	0	0	N/C	0	0	N/C
RAPE	2	1	100%	1	4	-75%	0	0	N/C	0	0	N/C
ROBBERY	23	27	-15%	27	21	29%	0	0	N/C	0	0	N/C
AGGRAVATED ASSAULTS	9	18	-50%	18	19	-5%	0	0	N/C	0	0	N/C
TOTAL VIOLENT	35	48	-27%	48	45	7%	0	0	N/C	0	0	N/C
PROPERTY CRIMES												
BURGLARY	164	160	3%	160	148	8%	0	0	N/C	0	0	N/C
THEFT FROM AUTO	39	58	-33%	58	98	-41%	0	0	N/C	0	0	N/C
PERSONAL/OTHER THEFT	76	114	-33%	114	97	18%	0	0	N/C	0	0	N/C
AUTO THEFT	14	20	-30%	20	19	5%	0	NA	N/C	0	NA	N/C
TOTAL PROPERTY	293	352	-17%	352	362	-3%	0	0	N/C	0	0	N/C
TOTAL PART 1	328	400	-18%	400	407	-2%	0	0	N/C	0	0	N/C

ARREST STATISTICS for week ending 01/14/2012												
	12/18/11 TO 01/14/12	11/20/11 TO 12/17/11	% CHANGE	11/20/11 TO 12/17/11	10/23/11 TO 11/19/11	% CHANGE	YTD 2011	YTD 2010	% CHANGE	YTD 2011	YTD 2009	% CHANGE
ARRESTS**												
HOMICIDE	0	2	-100%	2	0	N/C	0	0	N/C	0	0	N/C
RAPE	0	1	-100%	1	1	0%	0	0	N/C	0	0	N/C
ROBBERY	7	3	133%	3	10	-70%	0	0	N/C	0	0	N/C
AGGRAVATED ASSAULTS	6	3	100%	3	6	-50%	0	0	N/C	0	0	N/C
BURGLARY	11	12	-8%	12	6	100%	0	0	N/C	0	0	N/C
THEFT	14	19	-26%	19	23	-17%	0	0	N/C	0	0	N/C
AUTO THEFT	2	3	-33%	3	7	-57%	0	0	N/C	0	0	N/C
TOTAL VIOLENT	13	9	44%	9	17	-47%	0	0	N/C	0	0	N/C
TOTAL PART 1	40	43	-7%	43	53	-19%	0	0	N/C	0	0	N/C

*Homicide statistics are counts by victims and do not include police interventions nor vehicular homicides.

N/C - Not Calculable

**There are arrests that currently do not receive district values: 55 for last 28 days, 53 for previous 28 days, 64 for earlier 28 days, 0 for 2011 YTD, 0 for 2010 YTD, and 0 for 2009 YTD

**Statistics based on the report date of the crime or arrest. Crime statistics are incident based, meaning each offense in an incident is counted.
Arrest statistics are based on charges, meaning each charge in an arrest is counted.**



CINCINNATI POLICE DEPARTMENT
COMPSTAT MEETING PROFILE
CITY WIDE, REPORTING PERIOD: 01/08/2012 - 01/14/2012



7 DAY						
CRIME	CITY	DST 1	DST 2	DST 3	DST 4	DST 5
Homicide	2	0	0	1	1	0
Rape	4	2	0	0	1	1
Robbery	36	6	3	8	13	6
Agg Assault	14	1	0	7	3	3
Burglary	115	7	25	41	22	20
TFA	48	11	11	7	12	7
OTHER THEFT	108	19	25	29	24	11
AUTO THEFT	20	4	2	5	5	4



Rahm Emanuel
Mayor

Department of Police · City of Chicago
3510 South Michigan Avenue · Chicago, Illinois 60653

Garry F. McCarthy
Superintendent Of Police

January 11, 2012

Dear Chief Craig

I am writing this letter to thank you and your department for your assistance during a recent visit to your city. Detective Robert Rodriguez and I were conducting a Homicide investigation from 1987 which revealed that the suspect lived in Cincinnati. We contacted your homicide division and were put in contact with Cold Case Detective Jennifer Mitsch, who assisted us in locating the suspect.

On 15 Dec 2011, Detective Rodriguez and I travelled to Cincinnati in an attempt to interview the suspect and obtain a confirmatory DNA sample. Homicide detectives Howard Grant and Sandy Haynes were assigned to work with us. Their assistance proved invaluable, including preparing a search warrant to obtain the above mentioned DNA sample and execution of same.

These detectives' professionalism was beyond reproach as were their investigative skills. They approached our case as it was one of their own.

Their assistance in this matter will undoubtedly aid in the clearance of a 24 year old homicide.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "William Svilar".

Sergeant William Svilar #1961
Cold Case Homicide Squad

Dear Chief Craig,

With this letter I would like to commend Officer Lou Egnor and Officer Janya Cook who assisted me after being in a car accident. Officer Egnor, who was off duty at the time witnessed the accident and immediately came to assist me and waited until Officer Janya Cook arrived at the scene. She was very efficient and exceedingly kind. The two officers are a credit to the police force and to Cincinnati.

Thank you.

Sincerely,
Judy Saba



Union Township

Established in 1965
Chief of Police Terrence D.

Union Township Police



4312 Gleneste-Withamsville Rd.
Cincinnati, Ohio 45245
tzinser@union-township.oh.us

(513) 752-1230
Fax (513) 753-2688
Direct Line (513) 753-2226

January 9, 2012

Chief James E. Craig
Cincinnati Police Division
310 Ezzard Charles Drive
Cincinnati, Ohio 45214

Dear Chief Craig,

Welcome to Cincinnati.

I am pleased to forward this letter of commendation to you on behalf of the United States Attorney's Office for the Middle District of Florida. The U.S. Attorney's Office requested assistance from Union Township Police Department in the investigation described in the enclosed letter. We in turn, requested assistance of your officers, ^{NATE} Nat Young and Brian Greene.

Our two agencies have always enjoyed a marvelous working relationship for which I am grateful. The Cincinnati Police Division is a leader in this region that we have come to count on when resources are limited. If I can ever be of any assistance, please don't hesitate to call.


Terrence D. Zinser
Chief of Police

4312 Glen Este-Withamsville Road • Cincinnati, Ohio 45245

(513) 752-1230 • FAX (513) 753-2687

Equal Opportunity Employer

2110 First Street, Suite 3-137
Fort Myers, Florida 33901
239/461-2200
239/461-2219 (Fax)



300 N. Hogan Street, Room 700
Jacksonville, Florida 32202
904/301-6300
904/301-6310 (Fax)

35 SE 1st Avenue, Suite 300
Ocala, Florida 34471
352/547-3600
352/547-3623 (Fax)

U.S. Department of Justice
United States Attorney
Middle District of Florida

501 West Church Street, Suite 300
Orlando, Florida 32805
407/648-7500
407/648-7643 (Fax)

Main Office
400 North Tampa Street, Suite 3200
Tampa, Florida 33602
813/274-6000
813/274-6358 (Fax)

Reply to: Orlando, FL

BXR

January 7, 2012

Chief James E. Craig
Cincinnati Police Department
1012 Ludlow Avenue
Cincinnati, OH 45223

Re: Commendation Letter re: Belinschi, et al. Prosecution

Dear Chief Craig:

I write to make you aware of the extraordinary sacrifice several of your employees have made in reference to a recent criminal prosecution. Agent Nate Young and Agent Brian Greene went above and beyond the call of duty in investigating, preparing and assisting the United States Attorney's Office in the prosecution of Tatiana Belinschi, et al.

For several years, Roman Caraiman, Tatiana Belinschi, and other aliens from Moldova, Ukraine, and Russia, operated a prostitution business which spanned the eastern United States. The operation branches were located in residential areas, near schools, and in office parks. After a long term investigation which utilized the services of law enforcement agencies in five states, the defendants were arrested and prosecuted. After a two month long trial, the top six defendants were convicted and now await sentencing.

The investigation and evidence collected by your agency was a centerpiece of our trial presentation. As a federal prosecutor, I am able to work with and review the investigative techniques of all types of agencies and investigators. Without question, the investigation performed by your agency, which formed a part of our case that spanned five states, was exceptional in all respects. As witnesses at trial, your investigators were some of the best that we offered. Each one was composed, extremely well-prepared and able to field extensive cross-examination from a number of defense attorneys. In short, we could not have asked for anything more from your agency and applaud your efforts.

January 7, 2012


Commendation Letter re: Belinschi, et al. (ICE)

Page 2

Thank you for your consideration. Your agency has been a pleasure to work with in this case. Please forward a copy of this letter to these employees' immediate supervisors and the Human Resources Department.

With kind regards, I am

Respectfully,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "J. Bishop Ravenel". The signature is written in a cursive style with a large, sweeping initial "J".

J. Bishop Ravenel
Assistant United States Attorney

1.7.12

CC: Carlos A. Perez-Irizarry, Orlando Chief
CPD Investigators
File (United States v. Tatiana Belinschi, et al.)
JBR Correspondence File



*VILLAGE OF ADDYSTON
POLICE DEPARTMENT*

*235 Main Street Addyston Ohio 45001-0536
Phone: (513) 941-1313 Fax: (513) 941-2514*

*Thomas P. Van Luehrte
Chief of Police
David W. Palmer
Lieutenant
Dorian D. LaCourse
Sergeant*

January 13, 2012

James E. Craig
Chief of Police
City of Cincinnati Police Department
310 Ezzard Charles Drive
Cincinnati, Ohio 45214

Chief Craig,

I am writing this letter to you to give my thanks to one of your officers and to let you know that he deserves a notation in his personnel file for his actions. I was covering the Village of Cleves under mutual aid on the evening of January 12th 2012 when I was dispatched to a trouble run at Tommy's Drive Thru at 17 N. Miami Ave. in the Village of Cleves. The Cleves unit was transporting a psychiatric patient to Children's Hospital so I was on my own for the call, while on my way I received an update that I now had two subjects fighting in the drive thru. I went on scene moments later and encountered two subjects on the ground one appearing to be holding the other obviously drunk and combative subject from gaining his feet. I ordered the first subject off the downed man and he complied with my orders, the subject on the ground who was now free did not listen to my commands and after several requests for him to do so and the subject becoming more hostile and making an aggressive move towards me I deployed my X26 Taser on the subject. I advised my dispatch that I had one tased and they immediately started more cars to me including the Cleves unit who advised he was still at least five minutes out even running code 3 to my location. I could hear on the radio units from The Hamilton County Sheriff's office advising they were en route to my location but here in the West you could still be waiting a good 5 minutes for that backup to arrive. This is where your officer who was on his way in to work noticed me having trouble with subject and could see I was alone in what could become a very hostile situation, your officer did not hesitate to exit his car and to place the subject into custody for me as I was still giving orders to the subject I had tased but also to the now growing crowd. Your officer could have just drove past and went on to his scheduled shift but he chose to stop and help a fellow officer in what could have been a very bad situation knowing that this would most likely cause him to be late for roll call. I do hope if he was disciplined for arriving late this will offset any punishment as to the fact he was assisting me. The officer I am writing you about is Police Officer Michael Brogan Badge # P269; please pass this thanks on to him since I never got the chance to as he headed for roll call once other units arrived and he knew I had assistance. Once again thank you for your time and attention to this request.

Submitted Respectfully,

Cpl. Donald M. McWhorter Badge # 4
Village of Addyston Police Department
235 Main Street
Addyston, Ohio 45001



HAMILTON COUNTY, OHIO
Founded 1795

POLICE DEPARTMENT

1130 COMPTON ROAD
CINCINNATI, OHIO 45231
Phone (513) 729-1300
Fax (513) 729-2106
www.springfieldtwp.org

Trustee
Tom Bryan

Trustee
Joseph Honerlaw

Trustee
Gwen McFarlin

Fiscal Officer
John Waksmundski

Township Administrator
Michael T. Hinnenkamp

Law Director
Laura A. Abrams

Assistant Township Administrator/
Development Services Director
Christopher D. Gilbert

Police Chief
David J. Heimpold

Recreation Director
Melanie McNulty

Service Director
John B. Musselman

Fire Chief
Robert W. Leininger

Senior/Community
Services Director
Thom Schneider

Chief James Craig
Cincinnati Police Department
310 Ezzard Charles Drive
Cincinnati, OH 45214

January 6, 2012

Dear Chief Craig,

On January 5, 2012 our agency was involved in a short vehicle pursuit which travelled from Springfield Township into Cincinnati District 5 (specifically Winton Terrace). At the conclusion of the pursuit, the suspect and his passenger fled from the car into a nearby apartment building. Upon entering the building, the passenger was taken into custody; however the driver forced entry into an occupied dwelling and jumped from a 4th floor window. Miraculously, the suspect was not injured and fled on foot.

As with all situations that cross jurisdictional boundaries, an "All-County Broadcast" was administered and assistance was requested from your agency. Within minutes, numerous officers from District 5 responded and immediately set up a perimeter.

Canine Officer Eli Orth and K9 Bear without hesitation started a K9 track. After an exhausting and grueling search, the suspect was located approximately 25 minutes later hiding in the woods.

Officer Orth showed extreme patience and persistence while conducting this track. Due to the terrain and weather conditions, "giving up" would have been easy. However, Officer Orth and K9 Bear refused to quit. Were it not for his aggressive and proactive policing tactics, the suspect could have eluded capture.

Please express our sincere appreciation to Officer Orth and all of the District 5 officers for their assistance that evening.

Respectfully Submitted,

Sergeant Scott Hughes
Springfield Township Police Department
Cincinnati, Ohio